

Subject: NTP Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:52 PM

From: Nancy A. R. Honeychuck <narh@adelphia.net>

Reply-To: narh@adelphia.net

To: "Olden, Ken (NIH/NIEHS)" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs,

I have learned that each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals; for example:

*** The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.**

*** The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.**

*** A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.**

This archaic practice is not only inhumane but inefficient, often redundant, and wasteful. It is NOT state of the art, and NOT a system that any modern,

educated American could ever be proud of. Studies of animal behaviour increasingly validate the sentience and capacity for feeling and emotional suffering of the "other" animals with which we share the planet. In light of this rapidly evolving knowledge, and the fact that newer non-animal research methods are humane, more accurate, less expensive, and less time-consuming than animal experiments, the time is ripe to abolish animal testing of toxic substances NOW. Animals are not test-tubes with whiskers. Please do NOT initiate, or permit to continue any of the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely,

Nancy A. R. Honeychuck, BS, BSN, RN, FNP-S

--

A human being is part of the whole called by us universe , a part limited in time and space. We experience ourselves, our thoughts and feelings as something separate from the rest. A kind of optical delusion of consciousness. This delusion is a kind of prison for us, restricting us to our personal desires and to affection for a few persons nearest to us. Our task must be to free ourselves from the prison by widening our circle of compassion to embrace all living creatures and the whole of nature in its beauty... We shall require a substantially new manner of thinking if mankind is to survive.

--Albert Einstein

And:

We have to do the best we can. This is our sacred human responsibility.

--Albert Einstein

and:

"I am not interested to know whether vivisection produces results that are profitable to the human race or doesn't. ... The pain which it inflicts upon unconsenting animals is the basis of my enmity toward it, and it is to me sufficient justification of the enmity without looking further." -Mark Twain

Scott Masten

Subject: Request

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:51 PM

From: Marleen Merriweather <marleen_meyers@hotmail.com>

To: <mmmerriweather@yahoo.com>

Dear Gentlemen,

Please stop the senseless cruelty to animals. As I am sure that you are aware, there are numerous alternative methods of scientific research that does not require the abuse of animals. Furthermore, some of these alternatives are actually less expensive than the harmful experiments conducted on defenseless animals.

While I greatly appreciate the marvelous advances of modern medicine and science, the days of brutal cruelty to reach these heights are no longer necessary. Please take a moment to reconsider your stance, as the supposed "research" you facilitate does not advance the scientific community. For the most part, the chemicals to be tested on the animals are already known to have a harmful affect on both animals and humans. Therefore, the experiments are not only a waste of time, money and resources, they are a waste of precious life granted by One higher than us all.

In closing, I respectfully request that you reconsider your stance. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Don,t just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search! <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENUS/2737??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: poison tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:46 PM

From: April Allen <lelewahine@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please put an end to your poison tests, which seem out-dated, wasteful and barbaric. I urge you to stop animal suffering from these unnecessary tests.

April Allen
1914 Lawndale Dr
Fort Wayne IN 46815

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:43 PM

From: Bernard and Jennifer Kahl <thekahls@atlanticbb.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten

Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection

National Toxicology Program

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

I am writing to ask the **NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates**. I have read that each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze. It also seems that the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

As kindly as I can I wish to remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers! Please consider stopping animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination and replace these tests with more current and accurate methods.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Jennifer L. Kahl

Scott Masten

Subject: National Toxicology Program

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:37 PM

From: Suzanne Haws <suzanne_haws@yahoo.com>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten:

I am writing today to express my outrage that my tax dollars are being used to fund unnecessary and outdated toxicity tests on animals. The current batch of National Toxicology Program (NTP) testing recommendations include many unnecessary tests--tests that result in the suffering and death of thousands of animals. For example, extensive research on the toxicity of perfluorinated compounds has already been performed and the data is available for use. However, the EPA recommended that the toxicity be tested again. How many animals will suffer to determine what we already know?

At a time when the national deficit is at an all-time high, I expect my tax dollars to be put to better use. Not only is animal experimentation itself ethically questionable, but these toxicity tests are particularly cruel and outmoded. We should apply our resources to better use.

Animals are not pieces of lab equipment that we can use like beakers or Bunsen burners. Please reconsider the testing recommendations and cancel the animal tests recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely yours,

Suzanne Haws

SUZANNE HAWS

4230 E. Pima, Apt. C

Tucson, AZ 85712

Tel/Fax: 520.319.5933

Email: suzanne_haws@yahoo.com

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:35 PM

From: Amber :) <amberhalst@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To Dr. Scott Masten

I have just found out about the NTP is planning to undertake a series of tests on animals and that these test are really not necessary, not that most animal tests are!

i would like to encourage you to look into ways of testing the toxicity of chemicals in means other than by using defenceless feeling animals ie cell culture methods, computer models (they may be more expensive, but if enough people used them instead of animals then perhaps it would not be so expensive and you could sleep at night knowing that you have prevented the needless, barbaric, painfull deaths of millions of animals). Animals feel pain just like you and i and also suffer. I wouldnt put you through that and would hope you would not want to put me through the tests you endorse, what make non-human animals so different? They dont have vocal cords and cant say in english 'no stop it you are hurting me, why do you want me to suffer, what have i done to you', would you do this to a human child that cannot speak? NO ANIMAL, HUMAN OR OTHER WISE, DESERVES TO SUFFER FOR OUR SHALLOW MATERIAL NEEDS.

Also as you are incharge of which chemicals are tested and retested, i beg you to think long and hard about the lives you are about to ruin with possibly needless tests, i mean really grapeseed and pine bark extracts?! And i dont know about you but i think that most people now about well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze. i personally dont know how you can sleep at night knowing that you are personally responsible for the suffering of so many non human animals. i wonder how someone becomes so desensitized to doing it, did it ever make you think maybe this isnt right? how much time have you spent on researching other toxicity methods?

On that note i would like to remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical

Evaluation and Coordination.

regards

Amber Halstead BSc (Melbourne, Australia) BSc (UWA)

=====

=>We can bomb the world in to pieces-

but we won't bomb it in to peace <=

ALL-NEW Yahoo!
Messenger - all new features - even more fun! <http://uk.messenger.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: Please be careful

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:30 PM

From: Mike Moskowitz <mrmoskowitz@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hi, Drs. Olden and Masten,

I know you must be getting a lot of emails from PETA members like me. I just hope that you use your conscious to only test animals for tests that really promote the welfare of mankind. Animals are feeling beings and any of us could have been born one but by luck we were born human. Please have empathy for these poor creatures.

Thank you and have a good weekend,
Mike

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:26 PM

From: Dale LaCognata <dalelacognata@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Masten,

Please stop testing chemicals on animals. In this day and age of enlightenment and advanced technology surely this kind of torture is unnecessary.

Sincerely,

Dale LaCognata

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:19 PM

From: Michael Vinciguerra <mtv111@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing to respectfully ask that you put an end to the testing of chemicals on live animals. The tests, recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination, are inhumane and unnecessary.

Many of the chemicals being 're' tested are known to cause severe medical problems, suffering and often times death in both humans and animals and there is absolutely no logical reason to test them again. Additionally, there are better ways to test new substances than to put any living creature that can feel pain through the cruelty of these tests.

Please work to use those alternatives and spare the lives of thousands of innocent animals that suffer and die needlessly each year. Thank you.

Mike Vinciguerra
mtv111@hotmail.com

Scott Masten

Subject: RE: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:11 PM

From: Shanna Livermore <adora78@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

The NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Scott Masten

Subject: Let's See - Trying Poisons on Monkeys & Rats

Date: Thursday, September 30, 2004 11:09 PM

From: laura <laura@gain.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I would strongly suggest you take these poisons first! Or maybe try them on your family - what about you grandchildren? What you say, I wouldn't do that - I would suffer terrible pain and maybe die! They would also suffer terrible pain and die!

Well, knowing that animals suffer the same pain and die - why would you do that to them? Aren't they God's creatures, also. Or has our country turned into feelingless Nazis who find any excuse to make money out of making helpless animals suffer and die. It's time to stop these sadistic experiments. We didn't like when the Nazis did it on sentient humans and we don't like it when you do it on sentient animals. It truly is horrible what you do!

Laura L. Leighton
3332 E. Pima St.
Tucson, AZ 85716

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:02 PM

From: Dinda Evans <dindamcp4@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for

three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

There is no excuse for testing chemicals on animals we already know are poisonous. That is just making the public pay for someone's sadistic thrills. Stop all redundant and unnecessary testing on animals. Once done, most are considered inconclusive as to the effect on humans anyway-and computer mockups are faster, kinder, and more cost-effective.

Do you Yahoo!?

Y! Messenger - Communicate in real time. Download now.
<http://messenger.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop chemical tesing on animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:59 PM

From: Carol Vanderschaaf <carovan@mindspring.com>

Reply-To: carovan@mindspring.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten:

I urge you to stop testing chemicals on animals and not follow the recommendations made by the NTP in regard to this in June.

Thank you,

Carol Vanderschaaf
carovan@mindspring.com

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP TESTING

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:48 PM

From: Scott Moran <scott@avalanchemedia.net>

To: <scott@avalanchemedia.net>

Drs. Olden and Masten -

I am writing to urgently request that the NTP discontinue its practices of testing chemicals and compounds on defenseless animals. Contrary to scientific belief, all animals have the ability to feel pain, are contributing members of Earth's ecosystem, and their status as diminutive and needless are as wrong as they are perverse. We live in an evolved culture where animal abuse is no longer excusable.

Animals, as well as humans all have a vital role to play in the natural world, and deserve respect and lives free of pain and unfair treatment.

Thank you.

Scott Moran

"Let your life be a counterfriction to stop the machine."
--Henry David Thoreau

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:47 PM

From: Bpenn7 <Bpenn7@cox.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop these tests recently proposed by the NTP. These are redundant and not necessary.

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:45 PM

From: Nicole Watson <redhots89@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Directed to:

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov
Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

To NTP:

I would like to tell you that what you are doing to animals is not only inhuman, but morally wrong. Animals are not test tubes with whiskers and on behalf of the animals, I demand that you stop animal testing as recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Thank you for your time and consideration. I know you will do the right thing.

Sincerely,
Nicole Watson

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail is new and improved - Check it out! <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/new/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail>

Scott Masten

Subject: testing and rights

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:42 PM

From: site <pixelfiction@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To Dr. Scott Masten and any/all employees at the National Toxicology Program:

Firstly, I admit I do not have very detailed and/or vast knowledge of your program, but what I have gained knowledge of is that it involves testing on animals, just as many companies do. Please realize animals deserve their life and privacy just as much as we do. They are creatures with feelings, just like us. Animal testing is not the way to go in any circumstances. Animals are not objects, they are living, thinking beings. Just because we have the ability to hurt and/or use them, doesn't mean it's right, just like it's not right to do so because so many other people are doing it. Please... think about what you are considering to do to these animals that did nothing to you, and do not deserve any type of cruel treatment just like you don't. Please, take the ethical path and reconsider your plan for animal testing. Thank you for your time in reading this message, and I truly hope you will take it seriously.

Sincerely,

Laine M., a person against undeserved suffering

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: important testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:41 PM

From: angela watson <omygoddess90@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

how can you possibly justify the use of animals?

the treatment of other life defines the worth of a supposedly "higher" species.

those who do what you're doing are worthless.

Scott Masten

Subject: stop the testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:41 PM

From: MrSamaras@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

It is appalling what you do to poor little innocent primate babies for no reason at all.
How would you like it if they tested your children?

Maria Samaras

I hope you think of your children each time you poison one of these innocent creatures that God created!

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:49 PM

From: Michelle Senne <senne10@earthlink.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing to sincerely urge you to put an end to animal testing. Most of the testing is not only outdated but unnecessary. Even if it were "necessary" it does not give us the right to volunteer an innocent being.

Thank you for your compassion in this matter.

Michelle Senne

Saylorsburg, PA

"For as long as men massacre animals, they shall kill each other. Indeed, he who sows the seed of murder and pain cannot reap joy and love." Pythagoras

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:26 PM

From: bettyburger <wally68@kc.rr.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

What is going on? Why run inane tests on poor animals that have no reason to be run and tells nothing. Do it on the computer or just forget about it. I declare there is something every day where Scientists are figuring new ways to torture animals. how can you look at yourself in the mirror? Try it.

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing is wrong

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:28 PM

From: darkpuppet451@netscape.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr Scott Masten,

It have recently read that the National Toxicology Program conducts hideous experiments on animals, despite already knowing large amounts of information on the chemical(s) being used. These tests are highly unnecessary and extremely cruel to the creatures you experiment upon. Please stop testing on animals.

-Concerned animal activist

Switch to Netscape Internet Service.

As low as \$9.95 a month -- Sign up today at <http://isp.netscape.com/register>

Netscape. Just the Net You Need.

New! Netscape Toolbar for Internet Explorer

Search from anywhere on the Web and block those annoying pop-ups.

Download now at <http://channels.netscape.com/ns/search/install.jsp>

Scott Masten

Subject: primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:19 PM

From: Heller and Scott <heller@futura.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop the poison testing on newborn primates. It's unconscionable!

Sincerely,

Heller Hodge

Scott Masten

Subject: animal test

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:28 PM

From: Donnalaboo@cs.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten,

Please do not let the NTP test on animals. Please pull the plug on the animal test recommended in June by the NTP.

Thanks, Donna Eveland

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop cruel Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:24 PM

From: Amyjanefsu@cs.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Good Evening,

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you for your time,
Amy Mullenhoff

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:22 PM

From: kiran nair <kirankutty@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten:

Please know that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I demand that your organization pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Thank you.

Kiran Nair, 23 Graystone Way, Laguna Niguel, CA

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:40 PM

From: Robert K. Meyer <hedvig@mindspring.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello, I am angry at an article that i read about these "necissary expirements". In this modern age i find it dumb to go back and test things that have already been proved to be harmless. I do not think animals lives are for some peaoples amusement. Animals do not have a say in much these days. There land is taken and they are used in our food and clothes and we owe many of them our lives but they get no reconition. I think it unfair to test on these wonderful creatures who can provide us with so much love and are the ones who truly dont judge us but love us no matter how ugly we are. Please stop using animals in labs where they have no voice.

-Hedvig Lindqvist

Scott Masten

Subject: Poisoning Test on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:22 PM

From: Don Phillip Romero <DonPhillipRomero@centurytel.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am strictly apposed to testing of poisons on any primates if there is an alternative. Surly there are alternatives to animal testing.

Don Phillip Romero

10490 David Road

Welsh, Louisiana

70591-5616

Scott Masten

Subject: Please take a moment to read this mail

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:22 PM

From: Morrisseythe23rd@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear sirs,

I know that you can make a difference to the world in your life. Can I ask that you take a few moments to think of why the National Toxicology Program spends millions of dollars in crude, ill-conceived and outdated tests of chemical toxicity?

These tests cause the death and suffering of many animals who have a right (or should have) to live and the right to live without suffering. Many of these tests continue despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for the chemicals being tested.

Please make a difference and use your power to also make a difference. It is not too late to reflect on the sense and morals of playing a part in allowing these barbaric test to continue.

Regards

Hugh Clark
Scotland

Scott Masten

Subject: Testing Policies

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:17 PM

From: Martine MacDonald <mcfm0626@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Imagine your three year old daughter or son being experimented on and tortured.

Really picture it.

If that's ok to you, if that doesn't make you sick, then I suppose you could continue your inhumane practices with whatever form of sanity that is you have.

Otherwise, put an end to testing on animals; they feel fear and love and pain just as deeply as humans do, and most animals have the same brain capacity of your three year old sister, nephew, niece, neighbor.

Designer Mail isn't just fun to send, it's fun to receive. Use special stationery, fonts and colors.

http://join.msn.com/?pgmarket=en-ca&page=byoa/prem&xAPID=1994&DI=1034&SU=http://hotmail.com/enca&HL=Market_MSNIS_Taglines

Start enjoying all the benefits of MSN(r) Premium right now and get the first two months FREE*.

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:17 PM

From: PervertidMunkie@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I was just writing to remind you that animals have rights too. What you put them trough is inhumane and just plane evil. They have feelings too, I know, crazy isnt it!?! Yes... they cry, feel pain, feel lonliness... just like the rest of us. so please, PLEASE, stop the TORTURE to them and find new ways to do your job, which are available. Thank you.

Scott Masten

Subject: HI

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:15 PM

From: Pathfinder914@aol.com

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir,

Animals are not "test tubes. " Please stop your antiquated research on animals. Step into the 21st Century. There are plenty of cruelty free alternatives out there. Also, why are known poisonous substances being RE TESTED on animals? It does not make sense to me.

I look forward to your reply.

Barbara Scotto

Scott Masten

Subject: Testing recommendations

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:07 PM

From: Doris Potter <dorispotter@sprint.ca>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten:

I am writing to ask you to stop spending millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity.

Your latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals and for what?

I do not buy the argument that these tests are necessary.

Sincerely,

Doris Potter

1150 Cote Vertu #214, St. Laurent, QC H4L 1Y5

Scott Masten

Subject: New Methods, Old Story

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:06 PM

From: suzanne26@comcast.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir(s),

Please do take a moment to read this short message. I know you have a conscience. Please forgive me, but using animals for testing chemicals is disgusting. There is a place in hell for people with blood on their hands. It's not too late to stop this madness. Have love for all beings.

thank you.

Suzanne Mark

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:57 PM

From: Dena Mamantov <jdmamantov@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: jdmamantov@earthlink.net

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden and Dr. Masten:

I was saddened today when I read an e-mail explaining that the NTP is continuing it's use of animal tests even though the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination in June recommended that animal testing be stopped. It is a shame that non-human animals are subjected to such inhumane treatment when there are clear alternative testing methods that can be utilized. I will not go on and on with all the reasons why animal testing is wrong - I am sure you will receive many, many e-mails that will detail those reasons. I just wanted to voice my opinion and speak out for the animals who cannot speak out for themselves. Animal testing is wrong and different methods are available and should be utilized to spare all the suffering and pain that is inflicted on each and every animal with each and every test. Please have compassion for the animals and discontinue the NTP's use of animal testing.

Thank you for you time and consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Dena Mamantov

jdmamantov@earthlink.net

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:55 PM

From: BarbieBauer1@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden & Dr. Masten,

Please stop the unnecessary, repetitive and cruel poison testing on animals. May I remind you that all animals can feel **pain** and they do **suffer**. I know with all our technological advances there are other ways you can test poisons without using animals.

Thank you,

Barbara Bauer

People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals

Subject: FW: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:52 PM

From: Vanessa Hunter <nestlebaby737@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

>

>The NTP need Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

>Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax
>dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity.

>What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate
>chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a
>veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations,
>including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts
>that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements
>(e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest
>such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

>

>True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and
>death to many thousands of animals:

>

>- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a
>chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and
>other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn
>male rats and nonhuman primates.

>

>- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds"
>for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the
>basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large
>amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these
>chemicals.

>

>- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter
>orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means
>force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months),
>as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth
>defects in animals.

>

>Your voice can make a difference for animals! Please take a few moments
>today to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with
>whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended
>in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and
>Coordination. You need to stop all this. It is not fair to those defenseless

>primates.Please stop this!!!
>
>
>Thank you for helping animals,
> Vanessa H.

>

Get ready for school! Find articles, homework help and more in the Back to
School Guide! <http://special.msn.com/network/04backtoschool.armx>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:50 PM

From: Paola Castano <francescastano@msn.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop experimenting on animals. Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, so please pull the plug on the animal tests

Scott Masten

Subject: NO TESTING ON ANIMALS!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:50 PM

From: Marco Villafan <micorreoveloz@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

Dear Dr. Masten

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, please, pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Respectfully,

Marco Villafan
micorreoveloz@hotmail.com

T1msn Hotmail Plus. Más espacio, más funcional

[http://join.msn.com/?page=features/es&pgmarket=es-mx&xAPID=1817&DI=233&SU=http://](http://join.msn.com/?page=features/es&pgmarket=es-mx&xAPID=1817&DI=233&SU=http://www.t1msn.com.mx)
www.t1msn.com.mx

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:36 PM

From: Candace Oakes <candacecruzn@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: candacecruzn@earthlink.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Sir: Please stop what you know to be unnecessary and inhumane animal testing. Think about something other than your pocketbook. How do you sleep? How is your life going? Your health? Everything is connected. wize up. sincerely, one of many animal lovers who detest what you do and why you do it. Sincerely, Candace Oakes

Candace Oakes

candacecruzn@earthlink.net

Why Wait? Move to EarthLink.

Scott Masten

Subject: Please explain to me why....

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:47 PM

From: shannon shinn <sshinn@usc.edu>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

...there is a need to conduct testing on animals for:

□bitter orange extract□ to undergo □subchronic□ toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

and for...

the injection of Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects.

Please know that animals should not be used for inappropriate tests and I am making a request for you to cease going through with the animal tests recommended in June.

Always sincerely,

Shannon Shinn
USC Annenberg School of Communication
Public Relations Major/Business Minor
#310-908-9756

Scott Masten

Subject: Poisoning Test on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:42 PM

From: KERRY BARTOLETTI <kerrybartoletti@sbcglobal.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I would like to remind you that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. It is my understanding that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available on these chemicals.

Thank you,

Kerry Bartoletti

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the plug on poisoning tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:39 PM

From: Ronnie Steinau <rsteinau@neteze.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please realize that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I am urging you to pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Thank you for your consideration and thank you, in advance, for your compassion for the animals.

Ronnie
rsteinau@neteze.com

Animals are not ours to eat, wear, experiment on or use for entertainment...the questions is not, Can they reason? nor, Can they talk? But rather, Can they suffer?

Animals are not ours to eat, wear, experiment on or use for entertainment...the questions is not, Can they reason? nor, Can they talk? But rather, Can they suffer?

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:37 PM

From: Regina Heidorf <reginaheidorf@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop testing on animals!! This is the 21st century and there are other ways of testing your products. It would make such a difference!! Be a leader and set an example for other companies that test on animals.

Sincerely,

Regina

Reginaheidorf@yahoo.com

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:36 PM

From: babs <bwood1@maine.rr.com>

Reply-To: "babs" <bwood1@maine.rr.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop the chemical testing on Primates (non human).

B. Wood

Scott Masten

Subject: URGENT!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:35 PM

From: renata olczak <lipsandwish@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Scott Masten,

I recently read of the NTP spending millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. It is wrong of you to do this, and is wrong of you to actively encourage people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds%” for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed%” widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A „private individual%” recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract%” undergo „subchronic%” toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

I am writing you to remind you that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and to ask you to pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

I look forward to hearing your response.
Thank you.
Renata Olczak

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!

<http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: Testing on animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:29 PM

From: Eileen Scott <escott16@charter.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir: I would like to request that you stop testing on animals. It is a cruel and unnecessary practice that shows no respect for the animals by inflicting pain and suffering . Thank you for your consideration. Eileen Scott

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Monday, November 1, 2004 10:22 PM

From: Gail <4tizzy@bee.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Scott Masten:

Do you realize that it is 2004 and not 1804? Don't you think it is time that you found testing that didn't cause suffering and death of innocent beings? Let's get with the future, Mr Masten.

Sincerely,

Gail and Willilam Wittman

Coatesville, PA

Scott Masten

Subject: C/O Dr. Scott Masten =)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:22 PM

From: KineticGlow@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten,

My husband and I are animal activists and we were extremely shocked to hear what you guys are doing to innocent animals and the tests that are recommended for June: Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. These tests the NTP are doing on animals are both pointless and harmful. We are tax payers and we DO NOT want to see our money going to the use of pointless experiments. Not only is it immoral to hurt a living being with thoughts and feelings of their own, it honestly serves no purpose. Why on earth would you need to study grapeseed, it is obviously harmless. And as for things like anti-freeze, I think we all know that it is toxic already. Animals are not YOURS or anybody elses to study on. These animals including the primates and babies (which by the way should NEVER have been taken from the wild), are NOT meant to be subjected to such cruel things. They deserve to be happy and have a life filled with love just like everyone does. It has been proven that animals of all kind and definatley primates think like us and feel pain just like us. Would you have your baby subjected to this? We didn't think so. The babies should be with their mommies. Please put yourself in their shoes and imagine your baby being ripped from you and studied and tested. Please give them a chance.

WE DEMAND THAT YOU STOP TESTING ON THESE ANIMALS AND PULL THE PLUG ON THE TESTS THAT ARE RECOMMENDED IN JUNE FOR CHEMICAL EVAULATION AND COORDINATION. WE WILL NOT ALLOW OUR TAX DOLLARS TO BE SPENT ON THESE RIDICULOUS AND VERY UNECCECARY TESTS. IT IS IMMORAL BEYOND BELIEF!!!

We will continue to spread the word about what goes on behind closed doors at the NTP.

Thank you for your time and we hope that you will have a change of heart =)

Kristen and Michael Newport

Scott Masten

Subject: PLEASE STOP THE CRUELTY

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:20 PM

From: Patty Gregg <hugacowtoday@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Drs. Olden and Masten,

It has come to my attention that the NTP will once again be poisoning thousands of animals in crude and outdated chemical toxicity tests. I find it extremely disturbing that animals will be forced to suffer through extensive testing (at the taxpayers' expense, no less) in order to retest substances like antifreeze and turpentine, which have already been proven to be hazardous and deadly, as well as other substances that have been placed on the list of "suggested" trials. Please spare the lives of innocent animals. Too many have suffered and died already. Animals are not mere test tubes for your experimentation purposes. They feel joy and suffer pain, just as we do. Please reconsider. You have the power to make things change. There are more humane ways to conduct testing in the present day. As a taxpayer, I am disheartened to see public funding being allocated to such death camps. Please, please listen! to your hearts and help bring us out of the Stone Age. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,
Patty Gregg
973-857-7502

Do you Yahoo!?
vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: Enviromental health issue, please help

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:20 PM

From: KineticGlow@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten,

My husband and I are animal activists and we were extremely shocked to hear what you guys are doing to innocent animals and the tests that are recommended for June: Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. These tests the NTP are doing on animals are both pointless and harmful. We are tax payers and we DO NOT want to see our money going to the use of pointless experiments. Not only is it immoral to hurt a living being with thoughts and feelings of their own, it honestly serves no purpose. Why on earth would you need to study grapesseed, it is obviously harmless. And as for things like anti-freeze, I think we all know that it is toxic already. Animals are not YOURS or anybody elses to study on. These animals including the primates and babies (which by the way should NEVER have been taken from the wild), are NOT meant to be subjected to such cruel things. They deserve to be happy and have a life filled with love just like everyone does. It has been proven that animals of all kind and definatley primates think like us and feel pain just like us. Would you have your baby subjected to this? We didn't think so. The babies should be with their mommies. Please put yourself in their shoes and imagine your baby being ripped from you and studied and tested. Please give them a chance.

WE DEMAND THAT YOU STOP TESTING ON THESE ANIMALS AND PULL THE PLUG ON THE TESTS THAT ARE RECOMMENDED IN JUNE FOR CHEMICAL EVAULATION AND COORDINATION. WE WILL NOT ALLOW OUR TAX DOLLARS TO BE SPENT ON THESE RIDICULOUS AND VERY UNECCECARY TESTS. IT IS IMMORAL BEYOND BELIEF!!!

We will continue to spread the word about what goes on behind closed doors at the NTP.

Thank you for your time and we hope that you will have a change of heart =)

Kristen and Michael Newport

Subject: what is the point?

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:18 PM

From: P. Margot Bogdonavich <ronjsusie@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir - please stop this. Cheyenne WhiteCloud

Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Your letter is needed by October 19, 2004!

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity.

What,s worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP,s latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

□ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

□ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

□ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Your voice can make a difference for animals! Please take a few moments today to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Don,t just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search!

<http://search.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200636ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:16 PM

From: Truequality17@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am writing you in regard to the animals that you are using to conduct your experiment, and I will like for you to stop abusing those animals.

Thank you for your advanced cooperation.

"The means we choose dictate the ends we achieve."

Peace & Infinite blessings,

TruAsia from Brooklyn, NY

Scott Masten

Subject: TESTING ON ANIMALS

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:09 PM

From: Mtnspirit7@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

PLEASE RECONSIDER YOUR TESTING ON ANIMALS.
THERE ARE MANY WHO CONSIDER THIS CRUEL.
PLEASE RECONSIDER YOUR TESTING ON OUR FELLOW CREATURES.

THANK YOU,
THERESA BARRETT FROM LOUISVILLE, KY.

Scott Masten

Subject: animals are not test tubes with whiskers!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:02 PM

From: Beverly Goebel <myid3332002@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Stop testing on animals!!!!!!!!!!

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/10/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/efficiency.html> - Send 10MB messages!

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:50 PM

From: Crystal Engler <crystal@vci.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Animals should not be objected to these cruel tests.

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 9:00 PM

From: AIMEE <OJEEMIA@ADELPHIA.NET>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov <mailto:masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten,

The National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that I just found out the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. NTP testing recommendations included proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements, and to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

The NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that **large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.**
- ☐ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

My question to you Dr. Masten, is how you do you consider yourself a medical practitioner, when instead of healing - you cause death?

Here is one of my favorite quotes:

"Think occasionally of the suffering of which you spare yourself the sight." -Albert Schweitzer

Aimee Gintz -118 East 11th Street- Dover, OH 44622

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:58 PM

From: Fareeba Boonabi <fboonabi@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop testing on animals! It is a disgrace that this immoral cruelty still continues today, and especially by the NIH. Your organization makes me embarrassed to be a human being.

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail Address AutoComplete - You start. We finish.

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:53 PM

From: dsinclair <dsinclair@lightspeed.ca>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I would like to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Daphne Sinclair

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:56 PM

From: Almera Morin <a_m_76@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I have recently been informed by PETA that you are planning on conducting toxicity tests on animals. I find this to be completely cruel and unnecessary. I can't believe anyone with common sense would need a test to determine whether anti-freeze (for example) is harmful if ingested. Animals are not test tubes with whiskers and should therefore be treated with compassion and respect. It's time to enter the 21st century and update your methods of experimentation with animal friendly computer technology.

Sincerely,
Almera Morin

Do you Yahoo!?

Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!

<http://vote.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:56 PM

From: electrodzec@comcast.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Stop

Scott Masten

Subject: Poisoning Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:55 PM

From: Chris Sweeney <csweene2@twcnny.rr.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Scott Masten,

I am writing to ask that you stop the animal tests **recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination**. As a parent of four young children, I am very concerned for their health in relation to exposure to toxic chemicals. Everyday, families are putting themselves in danger by purchasing products containing untested chemicals - household cleaners, cosmetics, even children,s plastic toys. I believe we need to educate consumers. We need to teach them about using natural, biodegradable, healthy alternatives to these products. Animal testing is an expensive, unethical method, which really does nothing to immediately protect the public from harmful chemicals. Inflicting pain and suffering on innocent animals is cruel. I urge you to explore alternatives to these tests. Thank you for your time and attention to this very important issue.

Ginger A. Sweeney

22 Judson Street

Canton, NY 13617

315 386-4108

Scott Masten

Subject: stop

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:54 PM

From: Zena3333@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

please stop and pull the plug on this horrible act to animals, they have feelings and our human to they may not be able to talk like us but they hurt and bleed like any other human, and its our right to protect our animals from harm and danger. just as you would your own family and kids .please stop

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Suffering

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:53 PM

From: Cynthia Weil <cinray@webtv.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

Please stop doing research on animals of ANY kind. Injecting newborn primates and rats is a monstrous thing to do, plus all the other suffering you "scientists" inflict on innocent animals. Why don't we inject you and your colleagues and see what happens, as you are no better than any animal. All creatures are equal in soul. That's where you guys go wrong. You don't think animals have souls, only the high almighty humans, but you are wrong. Even though the animals cannot talk, or think as we do, they have the same FEELINGS as we have. Emotions for their young as we do. Stop creating evil in this world; there is enough of that already. And, also, stop eating poor dead animals because that is morally wrong also. Good luck. You need it, as we all do.

Sincerely,
Cynthia B. Weil

<http://community.webtv.net/cinray/RamonDoughertysArt>
We must broaden our tiny horizons in order to deal with the Infinite
<http://community.webtv.net/cinray/RamonDoughertysArt0>

<http://community.webtv.net/cinray/CynthiaWeilsArt>

<http://community.webtv.net/cinray/CynthiaWeilsArt0>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:53 PM

From: Laura Richardson <rich7071@bellsouth.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop all testing on animals. They are not our test tubes, but living creatures much like ourselves. You would not want to live, suffer, and die as a test tube any more than they do. I beg you to use your power to stop this cruel testing. Thank you.

Scott Masten

Subject: ANIMAL TESTING

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:52 PM

From: hgt <hgt@shaw.ca>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

AS I WRITE THIS I AM SICKENED TO READ WHAT SOCIETY DOES TO ANIMALS WITH ALL THE HORRIBLE CRUEL TESTS THAT ARE DONE TO POOR DEFENCELESS ANIMALS. PLEASE STOP THE INHUMANE TREATMENT TO ANIMALS.

HEATHER TOYE
660 PINETREE ROAD
KELOWNA BC
CANADA
VIX3G4

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:47 PM

From: kenneth c. wenzel <kwclio@wap.org>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten: Please stop the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Thank you, Dr. Kenneth C. Wenzel

**Subject: Request to stop the tests on animals recommended by the NFT h
whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in
June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and
Coordination**

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:44 PM

From: Anja Jauernig <jauernig.1@nd.edu>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden, dear Dr. Masten,

My name is Dr. Anja Jauernig and I am Assistant Professor of Philosophy at the University of Notre Dame. This letter might strike you as a bit odd, for I am writing to ask you to please no longer perform experiments on animals to test substances that are known to be toxic. The simple reason is that these experiments are immoral. Don't laugh. If 200 years ago one had told a slave supervisor that what he was doing was immoral, he would have laughed. If 65 years ago one would have told a KZ supervisor that what he was doing was immoral, he would have laughed. Unfortunately, moral progress takes time. It is one thing for philosophers to have figured out that slavery, KZs and animal experiments are morally wrong, but it is a further step for the general public to understand and accept these moral truths.

Why are toxicological studies of the kind your agency is performing immoral? The argument is actually not complicated at all. All we need is one premise, which is obviously true and not hard to understand, namely that suffering is intrinsically and absolutely bad. It doesn't matter where or when the suffering takes place, or for what end or purpose it is caused, or who it is that suffers, or who causes it. Suffering is bad, anywhere, anytime, anyhow, by anybody. As long as we are talking about the suffering of human beings, most people seem to share the view that suffering is bad. This is why we take it to be our responsibility and moral obligation to try to alleviate the suffering of other human beings as much as we can. And this is, obviously, right and good. But human beings are not the only creatures capable of suffering. Animals can and do suffer as well. And, as mentioned above, it doesn't take away from the intrinsic badness of the suffering that it is an animal and not a human being who suffers. This doesn't imply that the suffering of a human being might not be worse than the suffering of an animal; all that is asserted here is that the suffering of animals is ALSO bad. From this it directly follows that we should try to prevent the suffering of animals as much as we can. I agree that there are difficult moral problems surrounding the question whether some animal suffering might not be justified if the moral good that comes from it, e.g., in form of new effective cures against diseases that affect human beings, outweighs the moral evil of the animal suffering. But it is quite obvious that the overwhelming bulk of the experiments carried out by the NFT are not of this kind, i.e., they don't have any consequences that could reasonably be classified as MORALLY good. The outcomes of the studies might be useful or interesting, but this falls far short from being

sufficient to outweigh the moral evil caused by these studies.

I hate to be so frank with you – for, in effect, I am saying that your work is morally evil, which might have the effect of making you angry with me, which, in turn, might have the effect that you won't think about what I just told you, and that would be terrible. But what else can I do? It's my responsibility as a professional philosopher and a fellow human being to try to prevent you from engaging in something that is morally objectionable. Maybe you never thought about it before. So, please, please, think about it. And please try to do everything you can to stop this horror. If you believe in God, do it in the name of God. If you don't believe in God, do it in the name of justice. Please.

Sincerely,

Dr. Anja Jauernig

Philosophy Department
100 Malloy Hall
University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, IN 46556
(574) 631-7303

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:43 PM

From: Antonio Calabria <cavaglione@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello, Masten. I would like politely remind you and the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. So, pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. I'm sure you can get your kicks in other ways. If not, write me and I'll suggest some to the great scientist that you are.

**With all the respect that you deserve, suc as it is,
AC, PhD**

Antonio Calabria

The Animal Rescue Site needs your help in feeding previously abused animals who are now living their lives in a protective sanctuary or are awaiting adoption! Help feed tens of thousands of animals every day with a simple click, at no cost to you. Visit <http://www.theanimalrescuesite.com> today!

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:41 PM

From: CatBeth528@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I am writing to remind you that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I urgently request that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. It's time to stop torturing dear creatures who have no say in their outcomes. Please, please be a pioneer for animals and end these cruel, unnecessary tests.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this crucial matter.

Sincerely for animals,

Beth Doherty

Scott Masten

Subject: testing on animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:39 PM

From: cohills <cohills@ecentral.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please please please do not use animals for the testing of chemicals.
To me it is unethical and inhumane. I am an elementary school teacher
in Colorado and follow these issues closely. Please find another
way..... Mary Hill

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:32 PM

From: Jan Stern <RatAttackTeam@toast.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am amazed that NTP is still wasting tax payer time & money on cruel, worthless tests on animals which have no direct influence on human problems.

It is well documented that animals are NOT a reliable tool for human problems. Less than 2% of human illnesses are ever seen in animals.

Over 98% never affect animals. Animal tests and human results agree only 5% - 25% of the time.

Over 70% of medical schools have abandoned the use of live animals as teaching tools , opting instead for alternatives such as computer simulators and videos. Trusting the animal model for human health issues amounts to gambling with human life. Isn't it time for researchers to "reduce, refine & replace" animals in experiments?

Using animals only gives the labs involved government grants to keep abusing animals instead of finding real cures.

These animals deserve better, they feel pain as you do. I rescue rats and know their anatomy is nothing like ours! Rats are often used in laboratory experiments. The Office of Technology Assessment estimates that between 3.4 & 3.7 **million** rats are killed annually in research labs, and estimates from other sources range as high as 23.6 million each year! Rats differ markedly from humans in many respects, making rat experiments difficult to extrapolate to humans This is true of all other non-human species. The variables are too wide spread. The number of scientists who are refusing to use animal models increases every day. Apparently the NTP is out of touch with what is happening in the scientific community.

Please use humans for human problems.

Thank you for your time,

Jan Stern

1303 92 Ave.W.

Duluth,MN 55808

Rat Attack Team &

Safe & Humane Circus Coalition

"The real bottom line is stark: no animal experiment has ever saved a human life. But animal experiments have led to many human deaths."

Copyright Vernon Coleman 2003

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:30 PM

From: Rleng616@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten. Thank you for reading this.

Please remember that animals are not test tubes for toxicity testing.

Thank you for your time.

Bob Lengyel

Scott Masten

Subject: be kind and compassionate

Date: Saturday, October 2, 2004 4:40 AM

From: jill emery <theagnessparrow@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: theagnessparrow@earthlink.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

be KIND TO ANIMALS , stop the testing. think for one moment with you not being able to speak and your in that testing position.

sincerely jill emery

jill emery

theagnessparrow@earthlink.net

Why Wait? Move to EarthLink.

Scott Masten

Subject: STOP POISONING THE ANIMALS!!!!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:21 PM

From: Melanie Macmurray <MMACMURR@flemingc.on.ca>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Stop Poisoning the animals. Each year you let people choose what they want to be tested on animals. Does that sound like something that should be done? If we had our choice to test products on you should we be allowed? This is a very strong issue that you should re-think. The harming of animals is the harming of people as well, since after all we are animals ourselves.

Scott Masten

Subject: re: NTP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:19 PM

From: NoelleFSU@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Cruelty to animals must stop.

Noelle Caserta

Scott Masten

Subject: Primate Test Plan

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:16 PM

From: sculptor <sculptor@neo.rr.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

This really sound excessive, won't you please reconsider?

John Schiffer, Ohio

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:16 PM

From: CCW <ccw32@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten -

I implore you to stop the cruel animal tests that you are conducting. The torture of animals that you are responsible for is completely inhumane. I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. What you are doing does not serve any useful purpose and it's barbaric. I do not want my tax dollars to support such disgusting 'tests'. How you can live with yourself knowing the suffering that you cause is beyond me. Please, be a man, be a good American, be a human being, and do the right thing - NOW!.

Caryn Wilson

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail Address AutoComplete <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/aac/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/ease.html> - You start. We finish.

Scott Masten

Subject: Re: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:15 PM

From: SharaLo12@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I am writing to you to ask you to please not use animals for your testing.
I would truly appreciate your compassion toward animals to prevent them from suffering.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely yours,

Shara Lothane
236 East 82 Street #1D
NY NY 10028

212 7944823

Scott Masten

Subject: Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:15 PM

From: JSMITHSHE@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop the proposed testing recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination - we must use more discretion when forcing animals to sacrifice their lives. Not everything needs to be tested - common sense dictates the proper use of chemicals. And supplements that have been proven safe from years of use do not need further testing.

Thank you for reading this - we hope it will make a difference.

Jack and Jackie Smith

San Diego, CA

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:15 PM

From: Lkrock612@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Animals are not yours to decide that you can test on them. I just don't understand how people can be so cruel. There are many other cruelty free ways to test products on that are even cheaper then using animals. Why does their need to be more tests done on products that have already been tested? Please have compassion for the animals that you make live an agonizing life, and put your self in their position. Please don't just have sympathy for them you need to have empathy and think about how much pain they are going through. Would you want that for your self, or even your kids or family members? I would not wish it on my worst enemies. Animals are some body's children too, and they need to be respected. Just because humans can over power them and lock them up, that does not mean that we should.

Thank you for your time

Lauren

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:13 PM

From: Opalrose2003@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop testing that is unnecessary and hurtful to animals. They were not put on the Earth to have this happen to them.

Scott Masten

Subject: Shame On You

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:12 PM

From: Ladymcquaid@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

What makes you think you have the right to abuse helpless animals, to confine them to cages with painful and completely unnecessary tests thrust upon them? What gives you the right? How dare you be so cold and cruel. I'll bet the story would be different if your children or grandchildren were hooked up to a machine or being injected daily with turpentine...just to see what it does. What detached sort of mentality allows people to have such a low disregard for life? Your "experiments" WILL be stopped. It's a matter of when, not if.

Suzanne McQuaid

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop animal testing now!!!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:10 PM

From: Esmeralda Nadeau-Jasso <frozenbubbles359@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

STOP ANIMAL TESTING!!!

Powerful parental controls improve your peace of mind with MSN Premium: <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENCA/2755??PS=47575>> Join now and get the first two months FREE*

Scott Masten

Subject: Primate Poisoning Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:07 PM

From: advanced.home@inetmail.att.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop this horrible practice and find more humane ways of advancing science.

Steve

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:06 PM

From: Sandra P. Hays <sandyhays@highstream.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to ask you to consider pulling the plug on the use of animals as "test" subjects in toxicity experiments/studies.

It is my understanding that each year, the National Toxicology Program is spending millions of dollars of tax money (some of which I have contributed) to poison animals using some extremely crude and most certainly outdated tests. I have read that the latest "batch" of tests would include testing on animals of Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate which is used in the manufacture of IV bags (I would think that since this chemical is already in use there should now be sufficient data to warrant its safety - why more tests???); perfluorinated compounds, again a substance that has already been repeatedly tested, why more tests???; and bitter orange extract which is a dietary supplement, and again I MUST ask why more tests???

I very strongly oppose the waste of the hard earned tax dollars of American citizens, and equally strongly oppose the use of animals in barbaric laboratory tests which are utterly senseless and useless and are performed solely to line the pockets of "researchers", who otherwise could and should be using their considerable God-given abilities for the genuine good of all living beings.

Stop the insanity and stop these tests!

Sincerely,

Sandra P. Hays

Pelham, NC

www.peta.org

www.stopanimaltests.com

Virus checked by Guardian Worm Killer && AntiVirus

Version: AVK 15.0.243 from 01.10.2004

Scott Masten

Subject: National Toxicology Program

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:07 PM

From: Greyblue10@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten,

I was stunned to learn of the National Toxicology Program's cruel and outrageous animal tests. Not only are they cruel, but absolutely unnecessary. Turpentine and antifreeze (most likely among many, many others)? Everyone knows that these substances are poisonous, why are they being continuously tested? This is a waste of taxpayer money and millions of innocent lives. I strongly oppose the practice of animal testing, and want you to know that what you are a part of is wrong and deeply immoral.

Thank you for your time,
Bobbie Claire Stoufer

Scott Masten

Subject: Annual toxicology testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 8:06 PM

From: Barbara Maxwell <wuxeter@cox.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To Drs. Olden and Masten:

I write to request that toxicology testing be restricted to only those substances for which there does already exist ample research data. I also ask that common sense be applied with considering which substances need to be tested.

Finally, I ask that the use of live, sentient beings as subjects in these experiments be halted. In vitro studies, computer modeling, all are available.

I realized that the animal research industry is big business. But I believe that at some point, human beings need to live up to their name of 'homo sapiens'. Thinking man does not imply "thinking only of man".

Sincerely,

Barbara M Maxwell RN PhD
Southwest Medical Psychology

Scott Masten

Subject: Re: animal tests recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:58 PM

From: Tanja Schwalm <tschwalm@fastmail.fm>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Re: Animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

Dear Dr Olden and Dr Masten

I am distressed to hear of your intention to test chemicals on defenseless animals. I hereby would like to respectfully ask you to please stop for a moment to consider the suffering you inflict on those poor creatures. These tests are unnecessary and inherently cruel. Animals are feeling, living beings, and they deserve our care and protection. I sincerely hope that you will find it in your hearts to change your minds.

Yours sincerely

Tanja Schwalm

--

Tanja Schwalm
tschwalm@fastmail.fm

Scott Masten

Subject: please stop tests on newborn primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:56 PM

From: bowlingdoctor@juno.com <bowlingdoctor@juno.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Matsen

The tests done on newborn primates do not yield useful scientific data. Please stop these tests.

Sincerely,

Dr. Pat Bayers
New Port Richey, FL

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:56 PM

From: julaine harris <bangandclatter@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Writing today to beg you, cease and desist! Animals are not ours to abuse and kill, especially for such a needless experiment. Please reconsider the decision to conduct toxicity testing on all animals, who are blameless innocents in this world.

Free yourself from those irritating pop-up ads with MSN Premium: <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENCA/2734??PS=47575>> Join now and get the first two months FREE*

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:52 PM

From: Smschy@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten

I would like to remind you that most people oppose animal testing. Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I am respectfully requesting that you stop recommendind the sensless testing of animals.

I am sure you receive lots of emails with this same request. Please take the time to review your decision

**Sincerely,
Stacey M. Shy**

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Committee, Chemical Evaluation

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:50 PM

From: Kathy Welch <kwelchinto@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten,

I urge you in the strongest possible way to please pull the plug on animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

I feel that we, as human beings, have an obligation to conduct our testing and research on lower forms of life in a responsible and compassionate manner. These tests are not in keeping with this obligation, as they subject helpless animals to suffering and death.

Actually, it's the suffering, even more than the death, that upsets me. Animals, unlike test tubes, suffer as we do, and cannot be conscionably utilized for such purposes. Please let us not continue to torture Nature to reveal her secrets to us. Rather, help us to keep pace with our scientific advancements by employing an equally advanced form of social responsibility.

In an age of high technology, I bet there are alternatives.

Sincerely Yours,

**Kathleen Welch, Winston-Salem, NC
kwelchinto@hotmail.com**

FREE pop-up blocking with the new MSN Toolbar - get it now! <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENUS/2752??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:48 PM

From: Snezhana Velkova <grobista@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Don't use animals for solving your problems...try to find another way out....stop using them just for achieving yur goals the easy way.animals need help!

Help STOP spam with the new MSN 8 <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBEN/2731??PS=47575>> and get 2 months FREE*

Scott Masten

Subject: Please Stop Testing/Killing Animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:47 PM

From: Eunice Richmond <Erichmond@simmonsperrine.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dear Dr. Masten:

Please put an end forever to all animal testing, including the tests that were recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination this past June.

It is disheartening to learn that millions of tax dollars are squandered to poison animals in senseless, crude and outdated tests. All animals have needs and feelings and are not inanimate objects like test tubes. Please reconsider and stop the torture and suffering of any more precious animals.

Thank you.

Eunice Richmond
Marion, IA

Scott Masten

Subject: Regarding Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:43 PM

From: Alicia Pharo <aliciapharo@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello,

I am writing today to ask that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Animals are not test tubes! And one day, when animal experimentation is illegal, you will look back on the cruelties performed, by your assistance, and be ashamed.

Spending millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity is not only wrong, but disgusting. And to go as far as to allow the testing of substances which we already know the effects of, is just idiotic.

Don't be a monster. Animals are living, feeling things and should not be apart of our flawed sciences. End these animals tests!

Alicia Pharo

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
<http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: Research

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:43 PM

From: jen holquist <jholquis1@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

To: Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov

AND

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

I'm asking the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates and other animals. This activity does not get my vote.

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated

Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

□ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds“ for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed“ widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

□ A „private individual“ recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract“ undergo „subchronic“ toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Your voice can make a difference for animals! Please take a few moments today to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

Sincerely,

Jen Holquist

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail - Send 10MB messages!

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:42 PM

From: Dolly Dearth <starfish_d@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir,

I am asking that the NTP stops its testing & recruitment of poisons to be tested on primates. These tests are a waste of time and money. Animal testing is not responsible or even good science! The vast majority of Americans would choose cruelty-free products over those tested in labs like yours. Who are you conducting these tests for anyway? Stop this cruelty & let these poor creatures live in peace! What did they ever do to you?

--Dolly D. Dearth

3315 Fiesta Dr
Lake Havasu City, AZ
86404

Do you Yahoo!?

Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!

<http://vote.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: Poison Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:41 PM

From: Glenn Sorino <glerino@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Date: Fri, 01 Oct 2004 19:40:43 -0400

Mime-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director & Dr. Scott Masten

As a American citizen, tax payer, & pet owner, I'm compelled to remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with arms & legs, and urge you to pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Please give this matter your immediate attention.

Sincerely,

Glenn Sorino

Scott Masten

Subject: Please!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 10:37 PM

From: panosfr@mail.gr

Reply-To: panosfr@mail.gr

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir,

I would like to remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and politely demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Millions of tax dollars are spented to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. Please stop this cruelty!

Yours,

Panos Nardos,
Athens, Greece

<http://www.mail.gr/> - Get Your Private Free Email Address!
<http://www.ringtone.gr/> - Ringtones & Logos for your mobile!

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing for Poison

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:38 PM

From: Kirtti Kearney <kirtti@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello.

I was once a pre-med student; and was traumatized by watching the calousness with which my professors and fellow students wasted the lives of animals for unnecessary experiments (at the BA level).

Understanding that using animals to experiment on might be the correct choice in extreme circumstances, I nevertheless am absolutely horrified by the cold assumption of the worthlessness of animal life and suffering, and the over-reliance upon using animals in the laboratory.

There is an important difference between using animals as needed and abusing them or wasting them.

Please be cautious and limit such testing to the absolute minimum, for the sake of the animals, and that of humans, who don't need to be any more hardened to suffering than we already are.

Sincerely,
Deanna Kearney

Do you Yahoo!?

Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!

<http://vote.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:14 PM

From: animal_lover 2003 <against_all_animal_cruelty@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

The pain and suffering is really not necessary. I have pets i love dearly and maybe you or someone you love have pets they care about. So i would like to beg you for those who cannot talk for themselves to de cease with the unnecessary tests.

Unseen they Suffer
Unheard they Cry
In agony they linger
In lonliness they die!

You can be the person to see them, hear them, befriend them and save them. Just try to imagine your loved ones being the ones suffering. And take a moment to think about what you're doing. Pull the plug on poisoning tests!

Thank you from the bottom of my heart!

Take charge with a pop-up guard built on patented Microsoft® SmartScreen Technology

http://join.msn.com/?pgmarket=en-ca&page=byoa/prem&xAPID=1994&DI=1034&SU=http://hotmail.com/enca&HL=Market_MSNIS_Taglines

Start enjoying all the benefits of MSN® Premium right now and get the first two months FREE*.

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:29 PM

From: JOEDERL@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir

Please no more testing on animals. Enough is enough. Please use non animal ways of testing.

Pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination!

From a very concerned and watchful animal lover.

Joe W. Droege

Scott Masten

Subject: To Dr Scott Masten -

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:28 PM

From: CHOOZYLADY@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To Dr Scott Masten:

I am politely reminding the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

D.Travillion

Richmond VA

Scott Masten

Subject: Animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:27 PM

From: ZHOTDEE@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To whom it may concern,

Animals are not meant to be test dummies!! Isn't this a given? Do you really think God put them here so you can study the effects of poison? I don't think so. Please consider pulling the plug on animal testing. Its good for the world!!!!

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:25 PM

From: Fedor <fedor@libcom.com>

Reply-To: "Fedor" <fedor@libcom.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Using Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates is just horrible. After all, all animals have feelings and nerves, they experience fear and pain they are not test tubes with whiskers. I find it hard to believe that you are still performing these terrible tests and have a hard time to sleep at night, thinking of all those poor creatures in your hand!

I urge you in the name of many, many friends , to please not continue the immensely cruelty on helpless animals and instead stop it immediately.

Thank you.

Sincerely, Sybille Fedor

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates.

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:22 PM

From: Tobal Loyola <loyolac@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: loyolac@earthlink.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello Dr. Scott,

I would like to remind you that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Please pull the plug on animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Do this for all of us. Thank you.

Thank you for helping animals,

Tobal Loyola

loyolac@earthlink.net

Why Wait? Move to EarthLink.

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:21 PM

From: Nelson, Mary Jane <MaryJane.Nelson@stlukeshospital.com>

To: "'masten@niehs.nih.gov'" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten,

Please discontinue NTP poisoning tests on newborn primates.

Mary Jane Nelson

Computer Information Services

St.Lukes Hospital

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:20 PM

From: brit holik <orange_pekoe04@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing this letter to ask you to stop the numerous animal tests that have been recommended to take place in June. These tests are cruel, inhumane and completely unnecessary. People need to stop being taught that cruelty to animals is alright, because they have the same feelings of fear, pain and suffering as we do when our lives are in jeopardy.

I understand that many of these tests involve the injection of a chemical found in plastic IV bags into the bodies of rats and nonhuman primates. I also understand that there have been proposals of testing well-known toxic substances such as turpentine and antifreeze on animals. We already know that these substances are hazardous to humans and animals. Why waste more lives proving what we already know to be true?

There have also been proposals to test substances that people have, for generations, used as dietary supplements. If these people continue to use these plant extracts, there are obviously no harmful or toxic chemicals in them that will cause humans harm. Why would we torture hundreds of animals to test something that we already know to be harmless?

If people won't test these substances on other humans because of the possible harmful effects, what gives us the right to test them on animals? Please consider the idea of being a compassionate person and stopping these cruel and horrible tests.

Thank you for your time and consideration,

Brittany Holik

Enjoy 25MB of inbox storage and 10MB per file attachment with MSN Premium. <
<http://g.msn.com/8HMAENCA/2746??PS=47575>> Join now and get the first two
months FREE*

Subject: pull plug on animal tests recommended in June by NTP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:18 PM

From: Debbie Burack <phoebearchie@yahoo.com>

Reply-To: dhurwitz@prodigy.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail - 50x more storage than other providers!

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:17 PM

From: Hjoh780@cs.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

There are surely more creative ways to test products without using helpless animals. Please explore them, and stop animal testing. Thank you, Helen Hastings

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:17 PM

From: Brian Chancellor <dark_jedi_requiem@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Kenneth Olden and Dr. Scott Masten,

I would like to voice my concern and disgust over the news about the new experiments on primates. I find it appalling that you would retest a chemicals (perfluorinated compounds) toxicity that already have lots of information presently on the results. How many animals do you have to kill before you will be happy with results?

Another is Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate. We do not need this inhumane practice to kill countless primates because of this. The last is a so-called „bitter orange extract%. The testing methods are horrible. How do you sleep after putting an animal through subchronic toxicity studies? Seriously, force-feeding it to animals every day for three to six months. This is unnecessary.

Animals feel pain too.

Sincerely,
Brian Chancellor

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
<http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: concerns

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:13 PM

From: JEsther@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop poisoning newborn primates. it's abominable. this is the 21st century and your experiments are cruel, barbaric and 3rd world-like.

Judith Fish

School Social Worker

Subject: Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:10 PM

From: Vira Confectioner <hug_a_pet@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I AM VERY FURIOUS AND SHOCKED TO READ SUCH HORRIBLE AND HEINOUS STORY ABOUT WHAT YOU ALL DID TO POOR AND INNOCENT PRIMATES. HOW SICK AND CRUEL OF YOU TO DO SUCH THINGS LIKE THAT!!!!

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds“ for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed“ widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

☐ A „private individual“ recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract“ undergo „subchronic“ toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

I remind you all the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pulls the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: ANIMAL TESTING

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:02 PM

From: HorweenJazmine@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

**PLEASE STOP THIS SENSELESS TESTING OF ANIMALS; THEY ARE GOD'S GIFT
TO HUMANITY AND IT IS CRUEL TO TREAT THEM THAT WAY. HARRIET F.
HORWEEN, NORTH MIAMI, FL**

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 7:01 PM

From: Marschall, Parashita <pmarschall@sf.whitecase.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir,

This is just a reminder that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Thank you for your consideration.

P.R. Marschall

2385 18th Avenue

San Francisco, CA 94116

=====
=====

This communication may be privileged and confidential and is intended only for the individual or entity named above and others who have been specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, copy, use or disclose this communication to others; also, please notify the sender by replying to this e-mail or by telephone at (415) 544-1100, and then delete the e-mail and any copies of it.

=====
=====

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:55 PM

From: Hesselmo@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers!

Pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination!

Maureen Hessel

Scott Masten

Subject: Please STOP Testing on Animals!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:55 PM

From: DONNA MILLIGAN <mr.milligan@sbcglobal.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello, My name is Donna Milligan.

I am very concerned with the fact that you use sweet helpless animals for tests recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee For Chemical Evaluation And Coordination.

I am appealing to you to please STOP!!

I would truly like to know how a compassionate Human being can do this to animals on purpose. God put these animals here to be companions to human kind, not to tear them apart.

Please STOP!!!!

Donna

Scott Masten

Subject: DON'T POISON ANY MORE ANIMALS

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:20 PM

From: Robert C Gaudet <bobg87@juno.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

PLEASE DO NOT POISON ANY MORE HELPLESS ANIMALS, WOULD YOU WANT TO BE
TREATED THE SAME WAY AS YOU TREAT THE ANIMALS.

ROBERT C. GAUDET
44 VIRGINIA LANE
NEWBURYPORT, MA 01950

Scott Masten

Subject: ANIMALS

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:48 PM

From: glowjean1945@netzero.com <glowjean1945@netzero.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

PLEASE stop doing this to the animals..You have not right playing GOD..

Please they are just helples babies..

gloria taylor

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:48 PM

From: Gina Ciofani <a_unicorns_tear@petml.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Scott Masten-

I am writing to you about my concern about the animals that are poisoned in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. Animals are not ours to test on, they are not ours to insert tubes into them, and most of all they are not ours for us to torture.

Encouraging people to select a chemical that will be tested on an animal, is like encouraging people to select a way a man should be tortured and killed. Both of them are getting the same motivation. Many people already know antifreeze is not good for animals, why does the test have to be done over? You're wasting plenty of money that could be given to other animal cruelty free researches. A lot of chemicals that you plan on testing on these poor animalsΣinnocent animalsΣhave been tested plenty times before. Will these results honestly have any use for the human race?

Humans are not force fed, neither should animals be. Both of them feel the same amount of pain and hurt when they go through traumatic episodes in their life.

Please stop these experiments for the animals, sake, and for your sake.

Thank you

Gina Ciofani

Care about animals and what you buy.

CaringConsumer.com

Scott Masten

Subject: Poisoning Animals is wrong:

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:43 PM

From: WintersLoss@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <StreetTeam@peta.org>

Newborn Primates? Are you joking me? Can one even justify that?

I am begging you to seriously evaluate your stance when it comes to NTP and their treatment of animals. The cost is too high; animals do not deserve to be poisoned or killed for knowledge that may or may not help humankind.

I pay taxes, I am outraged that my taxes go to this cause.

Austin Wright

Scott Masten

Subject: WHAT THE !!!!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:39 PM

From: The_Ripper <the_ripper@rock.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

HEY!!! what do u think u are doing !!!! putting these poor animals in cages and injecting them with chemicals that can poison and even kill them!!!!!! why don't u big shot guys do the tests on humans !?! We are just like animals, they have feelings to u know!! that's why there are people like me that defend these animals !!! Really take a reality check they kill babies over in china if parents already have two kids so why not a few babies over here !?! We already have way too many people in this world to if u haven't noticed!!!!!!

Pissed off teen vegan,
Someone who is mad because people use
they think animals are test tubes!!

You Rock! Your E-Mail should, too. Sign up at Rock.com! <<http://servedby.advertising.com/click/site=195016/mnum=92437>>

<<http://servedby.advertising.com/click/site=195016/mnum=92437> target=>

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop cruel animal tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:36 PM

From: Veganxthunder@aol.com

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

The above describes a disgusting and unethical practice that needs to be stopped.
Please stop testing harmful chemicals on new born primates!

Simiya Sudduth

Scott Masten

Subject: I know Peta's extreme

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:36 PM

From: K-9Lives <k9lives@sbcglobal.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

but isn't there any other way to "test" these chemicals???

Put yourself in the little rat's body - would you want it done to you?

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:32 PM

From: Nita Boucher <queenscorpio2@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

PLEASE STOP YOUR TESTING ON HELPLESS ANIMALS. USE YOUR MONEY IN A MORE HUMANE WAY!!

Don't just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search! <<http://g.msn.com/8HMAENUS/2740??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: NPT

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:30 PM

From: chrisd6@optonline.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I read the below and I have a very hard time see you and your peers as worthy of being called human.

What your doing equals deliberate cruelty and I insist on behalf of the other animals who have a right to live in peace on this planet that you STOP these inhumane tests once and for all.

Animals are NOT test tubes with whiskers created for experimentation.

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop using animals for tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:29 PM

From: audrey engel <vehg4me@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To whom it may concern,

Please stop using the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Animals respond differently to chemicals and substances than humans do. If you are going to do tests they should be done on voluntary humans, not force-fed animals.

Please reconsider your testing.

Audrey Engel

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/100/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/efficiency.html> - 100MB free storage!

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:23 PM

From: KACalder@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please, stop the senseless, needless and cruel chemical testing on animals! THEY HAVE FEELINGS! Pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Kathie Calder
Nashua NH
KACalder@aol.com

Scott Masten

Subject: COWARDLY, CARELESS, HEARTLESS NTP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:23 PM

From: Jack Denman <cashmere@adelphia.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

NTP Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates NOW!!!

WE THE UNDERSIGNED REMIND THE NTP THAT ANIMALS ARE NOT TEST TUBES WITH WHISKERS!! WE DEMAND TO PULL THE PLUG ON THE ANIMAL TESTS RECOMMENDED IN JUNE BY THE NTP INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR CHEMICAL EVALUATION AND COORDINATION.

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

STOP THE CRUELTY YOU HAVE TOWARD THESE DEFENSLESS ANIMALS!! THIS CALLOUS ANIMAL POLICY HAS TO STOP NOW!!! THIS BUREAUCRATIC ORDERED OF ABUSE AND CRUELTY HAS NO PLACE IN THE 21st. CENTURY!!!

WE THE UNDERSIGNED DEMAND YOU TO END YOUR SUPPORT TO CRUELTY NOW!!! THE DEDICATION OF INDIVIDUALS COMMITTED TO END YOUR BARBARIC CRUEL WAYS WILL NOT GO AWAY!!!

"The Greatness Of A Nation And Its Moral Progress Can Be Judged By The Way Its Animals Are Treated". GHANDHI

Jack & Margarita Denman
P.O.Box 5062
Fullerton, Ca. 92838

Marjorie Speirs
2024 - 3 Assoc. Rd.
Fullerton, Ca. 92831

Lon Kanode
305 Elizabeth Way
Fullerton, Ca. 93831

Ann Joy

2006 - 3 Assoc. Rd.
Fullerton, Ca.92831

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop testing animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:32 PM

From: joel c sundstrom <thejadebadger@juno.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello

Concerned citizen here, asking you to please stop testing products, or anything, on animals. Ethically and morally it is wrong. Those animals feel pain just as well as well humans do. So, empathetically, the question then becomes, would you want to be tested on? The answer is definitely no. Why then can you persist on testing on another creature, one who is feeling the same pain and agony that you would feel. Thank you for reading this, and please make the right decision.

Joel

Subject: poisoning primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:20 PM

From: tatteredtartan <kyurenka38@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I

demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests
recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee
for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you.
Katrina, Jaffrey, NH

Do you Yahoo!?
Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!
<http://vote.yahoo.com>

Subject: animal testing

From: moli fegan <fegan@usc.edu>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I was appalled to learn of the cruel animal testing conducted by the NTP and hope that you will cease these horrendous practices. Animals ought not be used for testing and should be treated in the most humane fashion. Not only are your tests cruel but unnecessary and I urge you to look into different methods that do not require the use of animal testing.

Whatever your justification for using animals, it cannot negate the fact that they are still living beings and suffering at the hands of your work. This is unethical and I hate to think that as a taxpayer, my money is contributing to such debauchery. Once again, I urge you to cease these practices.

Moli Fegan

"The greatness of a nation and its moral progress can be judged by the way its animals are treated."

Page 1 of 1

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:15 PM

From: Lin Seahorn <lseahorn@comcast.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden and Dr. Masten,

After spending millions of our tax dollars on animal testing I am asking you to please stop the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Animals are not test tubes.

I will also be contacting my senators and congress regarding this. I am sick of the corporate lobbyists in DC bribing the politicians and disgracing the many non-profit organizations trying to protect animals. We have worked very hard for many years to get anti-cruelty laws passed in the USA and we will fight to the end. Studies have also shown that many animal abusers in the private sector often become violent criminals. We must stop all abuse in animals NOW. I represent over 1/2 organizations now and will do whatever it takes to get Washington to listen to US.

Sincerely,

Lin Seahorn

10306 Cecile Drive

Frisco, TX 75035 Representing all of Gods creatures

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:14 PM

From: barbara handy <barbhandy33093@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please refuse to allow animal tests recommended in June by NTP Interagency Committee for chemical evaluation and coordination. This is not necessary. Please save the animals. Thank You

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: Testing on animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:11 PM

From: Matt Garron <Matt@straightedgeonline.org>

Reply-To: Matt@straightedgeonline.org

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello, my name is Matthew Garron. I'm 16 years old and I live in Knoxville, Tennessee. I am writing you this e-mail to beg that you stop testing on animals. They were not placed on this Earth just so we can experiment on them. They are social, intelligent, and maternal. They have their own language, they reason, and they are loyal. So please, stop any further suffering of animals. Thankyou for your time and have a nice day. -Matthew Garron

Straight Edge is a Non-Violent Movement
<http://www.straightedgeonline.org>

Scott Masten

Subject: National Toxicology Program

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:10 PM

From: Frank Ostlinger <frank.ostlinger@gmail.com>

Reply-To: Frank Ostlinger <frank.ostlinger@gmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

Dear Dr. Masten,

I have been married for almost 11 years, and not quite six years ago, I became somebody's father. My daughter and my wife are the loves of my life, in part because they have taught me just how precious life is.

Witnessing the miracle of birth, beholding my child's excitement at a discovery made during a "nature walk," and experiencing the seasonal variations that set the pace of our lives are among the factors that, in the last dozen years, have led me to develop a greater appreciation for what it means to be alive. With that, has come a deeper sense of humility and respect for all living creatures, whether large or small, whether flora or fauna.

As a veteran, I know the horrors of armed conflict, and how easy it has become for us, as a species, to take life for granted. The human race has shown and continues to show a remarkable sense of disregard not just for other humans, but also for other species.

It is with this in mind that I am writing you now. I understand that despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data exists already for a wide range of chemical compounds, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends significant sums each year to poison non-human animals in what are most often crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity.

Why is this done? One of the first things I remember learning about scientific experiments years ago is that once the data has been gathered in a specific experiment, there is no need to perform the experiment again - there is no need to "reinvent the wheel," so to speak. In light of this, it would seem to me that not only are these

repeated experiments unnecessary from a scientific point-of-view; they are also a waste of money, not to mention needless acts of cruelty.

There was a time when I didn't think much about the well-being of other species, whether pets or farm animals, circus animals, or animals used for scientific experiments. Even now, when such concerns are of significance to me, I have yet to see, hear, or read a rationale for why we employ (to put it mildly) thousands and thousands of non-human animals for scientific research.

Without being the least bit condescending or patronizing, Dr. Masten, I invite you to offer me such a rationale. Please explain to me what is the purpose of these experiments? What is it that is so urgent for us to learn, that the lives of other beings must be extinguished? What do I tell my daughter when she asks me why we avoid buying products that are tested on animals, and then follows that question with another: "Why do animals need to die [for the cream, soap, perfume, or household chemical - she has yet to learn about industrial chemicals or the weapons yet]? How do you cope with the knowledge that these animals die under your watch - especially for what often must be considered very questionable purposes? Please, I ask that you humor me - I really would like to understand how this is possible.

Meanwhile, I ask that you use your authority and knowledge to immediately terminate all animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Your kind consideration of this letter and the requests it contains are greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Frank Ostlinger
North Bennington, Vermont

Scott Masten

Subject: Poison

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:05 PM

From: Barblovesanimals@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am urging you to STOP poisoning animals. This product and chemical testing is horrendously cruel and outdated. There are other ways to test products. Stop the torturing of animals with unnecessary and lethal tests.

Thank you.

Barb Varellas

San Dimas, CA 91773

Scott Masten

Subject: animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:02 PM

From: valerie hamilton <beautifulv82@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please don't make these animals pay for something they did not do. There is no reason these animals need to die! I wonder how you sleep a night with what you do? That's ok because one day you will meet your maker and we will see what happens!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On the road to retirement? Check out MSN Life Events for advice on how to get there! <http://lifeevents.msn.com/category.aspx?cid=Retirement>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:01 PM

From: lenore herget <lherget@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I beg you to please remember that animals are not test tubes with whiskers.
PLEASE pull the plug on the animal tests that were recommended in June by
the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination
Thank you,
Lenore Herget

lherget@hotmail.com

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
<http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: Re: NTP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:01 PM

From: Nikkimock@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program

Please, if you have any understanding of the role of human beings on this earth, stop the inhumane **animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. This barbaric practice is so unnecessary. Look into your heart. Do not put these innocent animals through this torture.**

**Sincerely,
Barbara Mock**

Scott Masten

Subject: toxins and animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:00 PM

From: BRayWHB@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please rethink the use of animals to test your toxins. They are living breathing creatures that need compassion from us. They have feelings and fears just as we do.

Will you be able to look at God's face and explain your choices?

Belinda Ray,
Martinez California

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 6:00 PM

From: Ella1015730@cs.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

For the Animals,

Claire Sefiane

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:59 PM

From: Sherry <LittleKat@comcast.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals feel pain, they feel fear, they feel loneliness - to the deepest depths of my heart I mourn and hurt for the animals used to test things that humans wish to test. It is WRONG. Please, please find alternative methods to test your curiosities on. How about death row prisoners? Volunteers? People on life support who are going to be "unplugged"? ANYTHING but the helpless and innocent animals that don't deserve to die horrible, cruel deaths in laboratories. Animals have souls, and they are not here for people to USE.

Thank you,
Cheryl G. Johnson
Sarasota, Florida

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop cruel animal tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:54 PM

From: erika kiefer <erikahk@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)

Please stop cruel animal tests with substances we already know are harmful, or think might be harmful. Animals feel pain just like humans. If you wouldn't do such things to humans, don't do them to animals, either. IT IS NOT IN THE BEST INTERESTS OF HUMANS TO TORTURE OTHER LIVING BEINGS!

Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- * The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- * The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- * A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Animals are not test tubes with fur. I demand you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely,

Erika Kiefer BSN
2946 Keswick Rd #3
Baltimore, MD 21211

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP-Requested Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:55 PM

From: Sarah Jane Hall <earthsmile@earthlink.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr.Masten :

I am writing in the name of humane animal treatment to ask you to stop the animal testing recommended last June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. This program is outdated, inhumane, redundant (many of its recommended tests having already been done), and totally out of touch with the real health and safety needs of people and animals.

Animals are living creatures, not things to be exploited for profit and status.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Sarah Hall

Scott Masten

Subject: Newborn primate experimentation

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:47 PM

From: Judy Schultz <jschultz@mhccable.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir

I beg you to eliminate your planned experiments on newborn primates. They are defenseless. Remember how close they are to you in terms of DNA; you wouldn't do that to your child or grandchild.

Yours sincerely

Judith Schultz

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:46 PM

From: William Sternman <alec879@hotmail.com>

To: <alec879@hotmail.com>

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

* The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

* The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

* A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

William Sternman

<http://www.angelfire.com/pa4/sinuhexxx>

People who grew up in difficult circumstances and yet are successful have one thing in common: at a critical juncture in their early adolescence they had a positive relationship with a caring adult. Bill Clinton

Nothing human disgusts me. Tennessee Williams

The real voyage of discovery consists not in seeing new landscapes, but in having new eyes. Marcel Proust

Hate the sin, but love the sinner.

I am only one, but I am one. I cannot do everything, but I can do something. And I will not let what I cannot do interfere with what I can do. Edward Everett Hale

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:45 PM

From: robert tamkin <bobtamkin@yahoo.com>

Reply-To: bobtamkin@yahoo.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Esteemed Sir,

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers! Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you.

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Testing Program

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:42 PM

From: Buffyenta314@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
and

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dear Drs Olden and Masten,

I respectfully ask that the NTP halt the use of animals in cruel and needless and outdated toxicology tests. I do not wish my tax dollars used in this manner and I object to the suffering of living creatures for testing that is often already documented or pointless.

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. Apparently, NTP also actively encourages the public to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a lengthy list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

The NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth

defects in animals.

Animals are not unfeeling test tubes. I ask you to pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. There are non-animal alternatives if such testing truly needs to be performed.

Respectfully yours,

Arlene Steinberg
805 Red Lion Road #C-18
Philadelphia, PA 19115

Scott Masten

Subject: reccomendation

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:40 PM

From: Jason Cook <placidbathwater@bellsouth.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Most doctors are looked up to, because they heal. This is not the case for you and Dr. Olden. Testing chemicals on helpless animals that I must be a voice for, is a horrible crime against nature and humanity. I wouldn't be proud of my work if I were you, numerous poisonings aren't exactly something to brag about at a dinner party.

Scott Masten

Subject: stop the horror

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:34 PM

From: Deborah Leigh Wood <d-wood3@kellogg.northwestern.edu>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Doctors Olden and Masten,
Please pull the plug on poison-testing newborn primates! These tests are ill-conceived and unnecessary, to say nothing of horrifying and barbaric. Other such outmoded tests have been scrapped by government agencies. This one too belongs on the scrap heap. Staying humane in this violent world is the only way to create a society that cares for its young--whether they be of the human or animal race. Thank you for listening.
Deborah Wood

*Deborah Leigh Wood
Assistant Editor, Kellogg World
Kellogg School of Management
Northwestern University
Donald P. Jacobs Center
2001 Sheridan Road, #4235
Evanston, IL 60208-2001
Tel: 847-491-6658
Fax: 847.467.3140
<http://www.kellogg.northwestern.edu/>*

Scott Masten

Subject: animal research. has never been validated..

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:34 PM

From: Fairgary@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

please visit. mrmcmed.org pcrm.org & curedisease.com, please use the many alternatives that exist.

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:33 PM

From: Dorothy Wilcox <Yousela@webtv.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please use your humanity in preventing animal suffering during toxic tests.

Most sincerely,

Dorothy Wilcox

Scott Masten

Subject: Please

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:31 PM

From: Julie Fisher-McGarry <julieandadog@fea.net>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please sir, animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Please pull the plug on animal testing. Thank you Julie Fisher
Vern McGarry San Clemente, CA

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:29 PM

From: godfrey33@juno.com <godfrey33@juno.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden & Dr. Scott Masten:

I hereby ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates.

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

I'd like to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Godfrey

Scott Masten

Subject: STOP TEST

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:25 PM

From: Suzane Vanina <suzane.vanina@freeworld.be>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Please, please, for animals and ... me, stop, stop TESTS !!!

Suzane VANINA

Rue de Rome,30 Bt 02

1060 BRUXELLES

Tél: +32 (0)2 534 85 41

Scott Masten

Subject: ~Please Reconsider Recommended Animal Tests~

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:22 PM

From: Rosybluestar@cs.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

We are asking that you not perform the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

We are against toxicology testing on animals for any reason. Please stop. There are other less barbaric, humane ways of going about this such as using computers.

Thanks for your time,

Debbie and Shane (age 5 3/4) Rose
28 Lucero Rd
Santa Fe, NM 87508

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop Animal Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:21 PM

From: EnigmaEnigmagirl@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten:

I have learned that you are using my hard earned tax dollars to conduct unnecessary tests on animals. Not only this, but you actively encourage organizations and people to suggest poisons that you will test on animals. I can only wonder why you feel this is justified.

Animals were not put on this earth to be your test subjects. Please put an end to your animal tests.

Thank you,
Margie Elger
1030 South 104th Street
West Allis, WI 53214
Enigmaenigmagirl@AOL.com

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:20 PM

From: Mary Anne Keul <doggies@maqs.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden

Dr. Scott Masten

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank You,
Mary Anne Keul
Kay Trulen
Friendship, Wi.

Scott Masten

Subject: Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:20 PM

From: Heather K-W <dreamsofdownunder@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing to ask that you reconsider alternative methods for the animal tests recommended in June. Animal tests are not only misleading and dangerous, but they violate scientific logic. There is no logical basis for extending the results of an animal test to human beings. For example, chocolate is toxic to dogs, while thalidomide is safe in many animal species. Extending animal testing results to homo sapiens would result in the rejection of chocolate and the approval of thalidomide. The problem is that animal chemistry is different than human chemistry.

I would suggest other methods such as computer models, testing of homo sapien cell cultures, and microdose human testing.

Please take this under consideration.

Scott Masten

Subject: help

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:19 PM

From: generg@ hotmail.com <generg@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

**Sincerely,
D. Muraco**

Scott Masten

Subject: chemical testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:17 PM

From: D K <purrfactionist@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing to ask that you immediately cease the senseless torturing of animals with chemical testing. The citizens of this country do not want animals subjected to these stupid, worthless tests.

Thank you,

Dana Kennedy

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
<http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: aniimal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:14 PM

From: Gail and Dudley Prisel <euclid66@comcast.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Sirs,

Please put an end to the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. There are other ways of testing. Animals were not put on this planet to be used for testing. They are just as entitled to a cruelty free life as we are. They have nervous systems and emotions just like you and I have. I'm begging you to help end animal suffering. Thank you in advance.

Humanely yours,

Gail Prisel

Volunteer Coordinator

Calvert County Humane Society

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:09 PM

From: LASHLASS@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please consider the voice of the animals that you are testing. What do you see when they look up at you? Do you take the guilt of your work home with you? Do your children know the extreme nature of what you do for a "living"?

Please find another way.

thank you.

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop poisoning precious animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:05 PM

From: Felicia <ffarace19@netzero.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

This barbaric practice must cease. With all the advances in technology, there is no reason to continue to torture and kill God's creatures.

Sincerely,

Felicia Farace

Scott Masten

Subject: A concerned consumer

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:59 PM

From: pfeiffer_j@netzero.com <pfeiffer_j@netzero.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden and Dr. Scott Masten,

PETA has emailed me today asking that I write a letter of protest in regards to poisoning animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. Primarily in regards to your intent to poison newborn primates.

I have read the letter provided by PETA and I wanted to take a moment to voice my concerns and ask a few questions.

First, I would like to address the testing of dietary supplements that have been used by humans for generations, without harm. It is not required by law that dietary supplements be tested on animals. Why would the National Toxicology Program recommend this?

Next, there are databases in existence that supply required test results for many chemicals. Why would the National Toxicology Program recommend that these chemicals (such as turpentine and antifreeze) be retested?

Finally, with all of the alternatives to animal testing why is the National Toxicology Program spending millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity? And worse, why is NTP actively encouraging people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals?

I agree with PETA that the NTP,s latest testing recommendations spell the unnecessary suffering and death to many thousands of animals. I would hope that the NTP reconsiders for the sake of these animals.

Thank you for your time,

Jaime Pfeiffer
Mesa, AZ

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Animal Abuse MUST STOP!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:00 PM

From: Cheryl Meide <cmeide@meidelaw.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Scott Masten:

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals.

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. We demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you. I look forward to your reply.

Cheryl Meide, Esquire

Meide Law Firm, P.A.

6622 Southpoint Drive South, Suite 150

Jacksonville, Florida 32216

Scott Masten

Subject: Primate Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:55 PM

From: Karyanne Sekerak <karyannesekerak@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Olden and Masten,

Why does the National Toxicology Program (NTP) have to spend millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. Is there really such a need to make these animals suffer.

I urge you folks to come up with some other way to test any chemicals.

Animals were not put on this earth for humans to abuse...

Thank you for your time,
Karyanne Sekerak

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: Abusive Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:55 PM

From: Mark Langley <mark@mlpi.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten ^

I respectfully request that the NTP cease the animal testing recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Many of the items selected for testing have been in widespread use for years without harm. Others, such as turpentine and anti-freeze, are well-known to be hazardous and offer little or no new useful information about those substances. Furthermore, large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for many of these chemicals.

I strongly suspect that most of the proposed tests do not meet the principals proposed by British physiologist Marshall Hall more than 170 years ago:

- 1) An experiment should never be performed if the necessary information could be obtained by observations;
- 2) No experiment should be performed without a clearly defined and obtainable, objective;
- 3) Scientists should be well-informed about the work of their predecessors and peers in order to avoid unnecessary repetition of an experiment;
- 4) Justifiable experiments should be carried out with the least possible infliction of suffering (often through the use of lower, less sentient animals); and
- 5) Every experiment should be performed under circumstances that would provide the clearest possible results, thereby diminishing the need for repetition of experiments.

If these principles cannot be met, then isn't it time to re-think all animal testing recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination?

Sincerely,

Mark Langley

Scott Masten

Subject: Primate Poisonings

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:55 PM

From: Nancy Gathing <gathingn@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please ban cruel poisoning tests on animals. Thank you. Nancy Gathing

Scott Masten

Subject: primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:53 PM

From: Sherry <sissy6@optonline.net>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop needless tests on primates and other animals. With all of the advanced technology of this country, you would think there would be a more up to date process to test these chemicals that are being used on animals. A life is a life, which should be protected. That should be the worlds motto, all life is precious.

Thank you.

Sherry DeGroat
Westtown, NY

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:32 PM

From: amanda gopman <ag285803@ohio.edu>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To whom it may concern:

As you know, The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates. The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals. A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Many members of the public are concerned and upset about all of this. We do not understand why you need to test these substances on animals. It is completely unnecessary. I am asking you, along with several concerned citizens, to reconsider. You need to put an end to the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Please help speak for those who cannot speak for themselves. Thanks for your time.

-Amanda-

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:57 PM

From: Jean <grams2003100@famvid.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

stop the abuse of all primates!!!!!!!!!!

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal protection

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:26 PM

From: Jesse Robertson <goalieforsale@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello Dr. Scott Mason, I am apart of PETA. People for the Ethnical Treatment of Animals. I do not believe that you should be treating animals in such a manner that it hurts and affects the animals so greatly. These chemicals that you put into animal systems does cause damage to them and alot of them may die or will die. I wish that you would stop this program. Thank you for your time Jesse C.Robertson Feel free to contact me.

Powerful parental controls improve your peace of mind with MSN Premium:
<<http://g.msn.com/8HMAENCA/2755??PS=47575>> Join now and get the
first two months FREE*

Scott Masten

Subject: Plea to Reject Toxicity Test Recommendations

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:47 PM

From: zipporah weisberg <tzipile@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Masten,

I am writing to encourage you to reject the series of recommendations the NTP has recently received for conducting a variety of toxicity experiments on rats, non-human primates and other animals.

The proposals I am referring to include:

- ✓ the Food and Drug Administration,s proposal to study the effects of Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate on newborn male rats and non-human primates
- ✓ the Environmental Protection Agency,s proposal to study nominated *perfluorinated compounds* and their effects
- ✓ the recommendation that the dietary supplement, *bitter orange extract* undergo *subchronic* toxicity studies, and that testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals take place

First of all, the test proposed by the Environmental Protection Agency is completely unnecessary as there is already significant human and animal toxicity data already available for these chemicals.

Secondly, and most importantly, **all** animals - whether they are rats or non-human primates - are sentient beings and therefore just as capable of suffering as human beings. The proposed toxicity tests will undoubtedly cause the animals used as subjects severe pain and distress. Not only will the tests themselves hurt the animals in question, but the highly unnatural conditions of life in a laboratory will undoubtedly cause them ongoing physical and psychological trauma.

Please take pity on the innocent creatures that are at your mercy, and do not go ahead with the toxicity tests.

Would you not take pity on a suffering dog or cat on the street? Why is a dog or a cat or a rat or a non-human primate any less deserving of your compassion?

Yours,

Zipporah Weisberg

Atrocities are not less atrocities when they occur in laboratories and are called medical research.
George Bernard Shaw

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/50x/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/efficiency.html> - 50x more storage than other providers!

Scott Masten

Subject: HELP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:42 PM

From: Dalton Boynton <dboynton@nycap.rr.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing as a concerned animal rights individual from Upstate New York and in regard to some information that I have recently read from PETA.

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What,s worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze. True to form, the NTP,s latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds%00 for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed%00 widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual%00 recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract%00 undergo „subchronic%00 toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

I write to you today to remind you that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you for your time and consideration. Dalton Boynton - Cohoes, New York

Scott Masten

Subject: Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:41 PM

From: Merete rietveld <merete_rietveld@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

Please put a stop the NTP's poisoning tests on newborn primates. Can the NTP really argue that there are no other means of acquiring knowledge of toxicity than by torturing these highly sentient beings? Have you really exhausted all other methods?

More importantly, even if you feel that poisoning animals is the most effective testing available to you, please consider that the inhumane suffering caused by this testing may not be justifiable despite the knowledge gained.

Thank you for your consideration. I understand the importance of your work in helping the world to understand the potential human health effects of chemical and physical exposures. Please don't compromise the benefits produced by your work by continuing to inflict cruel and inhumane experiments on newborn primates and other sentient species.

Sincerely,
Merete Rietveld

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail - 50x more storage than other providers!

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: stop animal tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:41 PM

From: valerie melancon <valwoman@charter.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I just received an e-mail from Peta informing me of the ridiculous animal testing that is or being done.

On already existing substances. Please look into alternatives or stop. I do not want my tax dollars spent on this.

Valerie Melancon

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:38 PM

From: Jon Fife <jon.fife@gmail.com>

Reply-To: Jon Fife <jon.fife@gmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

It is sad that animals are still tested upon for anything. In this age of heightened social awareness, it is directly observable that non-human creatures feel love, anger, and pain. To see this and subsequently continue using them as test subjects for awful experiments is deplorable. Please consider stopping all animal testing for the sake of another's life. Animals are ours to watch over, delight in, and protect. Exploitation for any purpose is inhumane and unjust.

Thank you for your time,

Jon

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:37 PM

From: Leticia Ennist <tcmetsdnc88@msn.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To Dr. Scott Masten:

I am writing to ask you to stop animal testing in you facility. It is highly unnecessary for your organization to spend millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. Injection of chemicals into animals is inhumane and causes unnecessary animal suffering. Please keep in mind that animals were not put on the planet for people to use at their own whims, especially if the animals are used in unnecessary and hurtful manners. Seek more accurate and more humane ways of testing chemicals, and leave the animals to lead happy and safe lives.

Sincerely,
Leticia Ennist

Scott Masten

Subject: Cruelty

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:37 PM

From: KevinSeanO@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers! Pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Kevin O'Connor, 156 First Avenue #11, New York, NY 10009

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop Animal Testing!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:36 PM

From: Kandis Burton <kdb1648@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten,

I would just like to remind you that for every cruel act you perform or allow to happen, it will come back on you ten-fold. Animals have rights and testing toxic substances on them, is DEFINATELY not one of them! Would you allow these tests to be performed on helpless newborns? I would certainly hope not, and that is what these animals are-HELPLESS! They depend on us to treat them with kindness, not harm them simply because we are "stronger" or more "intelligent" than they are. They are a part of this earth also and it is not right to torture them simply because they cannot fight back. It is like stealing candy from a baby- you know it's possible but it is MORALLY wrong. I really hope you will consider stopping animal testing.

Thank you,
Kandis Burton

=====

Kandis D. Burton

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail - Send 10MB messages!

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:35 PM

From: victoria becker <becker_victoria@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

please please please stop the senseless tesing on animals. These tests can be done on cells to see the reactions that they have on humans. The testing that you are doing is causing undue harm to animals that have no voice to tell you that it hurts and that what you are doing is wrong. Please have a heart.

Sincerely
Victoria Rogers

Don't just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search!

<http://search.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200636ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop it!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:35 PM

From: Jlallbutt@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am asking that you stop the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. We can not continue causing suffering and harm. It does have consequences for all of us.

Judy Allbutt
2904 32nd Ave So
Minneapolis, MN 55406

Scott Masten

Subject: animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:35 PM

From: PK B <purpleravenhawk@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I have recently heard about the NTP's chemical toxicity tests on animals and would like to request that more humane methods be searched out. Animals should not be subjected to such pain and torture. Thank you for your time and consideration.

Patricia Barkley

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE! <<http://g.msn.com/8HMAENUS/2728??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:34 PM

From: Chancesare397@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals.

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, you must pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Scott Masten

Subject: re: Please stop

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:34 PM

From: Samantha <sevolution@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please do not conduct poisoning tests on newborn primates. I was unaware that this was going on and it makes me feel horrible.

It is not fair to the animals. How can people do this?

As a concerned citizen and human being, I ask that you please stop conducting tests on primate newborns.

Thank you,

Samantha Dean

Do you Yahoo!?

Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!

<http://vote.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: A Plea

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:30 PM

From: Michela <michela@shaw.ca>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I am writing from Canada to urge to stop all toxicology testing on newborn primates. Please take one minute to imagine you are the little being who is going to be tested on; would you feel fear? Would you feel pain? We both know you would feel both, so, please, be compassionate and consider my plea. Thank you for your attention,

Sincerely,

Michela Scheuerman

Michela@shaw.ca

Scott Masten

Subject: ICCEC

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:32 PM

From: Bayes, Ronald <bayesron@sapc.edu>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten:

Please do all in your power to stop needless suffering by innocent animals will be inflicted if the Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination's recommendations are implemented.

Unwarrented cruelty is never justified.

Respectfully,

RONALD H. BAYES

Distinguished Professor of Writing

St. Andrews College

Laurinburg, NC 28352

Scott Masten

Subject: Thanks

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:30 PM

From: Heath <heathbar@myway.com>

Reply-To: heathbar@myway.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I've been asked to remind you by a respectable watchdog **that animals are not test tubes with whiskers and demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.**

Thanks.

Heath Day
heathbar@myway.com

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct ! extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze. True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals: □ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates. □ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals. □ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

No banners. No pop-ups. No kidding.
Make My Way your home on the Web - <http://www.myway.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:30 PM

From: Elina Alvarez <secretkeeper878@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello my name is Elina Alvarez, and I have become aware of the cruel animal testing that is causing the death of thousands of animals, and I am personally appauled that any living thing could commit such cruel acts. Think about it the way the animals you are harming do. Imagine the pain and suffering they go through everyday, the fear that is everlasting because you know that someone might come and pump antifreeze inside of you, or test turpentine's toxicity. I just really want you to think hard about what is going on. When you go to sleep at night think about the thousands of defenseless animals that have been killed due to your cruelty and carelessness. Then if you can still not be disgusted by what you do then I'll pray for your soul and hope that one day you will understand what you have done! . What you do makes me sick.

Luv ya
Elina

Do You Yahoo!?

Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
<http://mail.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: suggestions

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:27 PM

From: megan defoe <iluvvampyres@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Masten,

Please stop poisoning animals! They are in pain every day of their lives they don't deserve to be born into a world of pain and suffering. LET THEM GO!!!!!!!!!!

Do You Yahoo!?

Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around

<http://mail.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:26 PM

From: Katgal98@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten

Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection

National Toxicology Program

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

Please stop the needless chemical toxicity tests on animals. As human animals, we should show compassion and stop this crude, sadistic practice.

Thank you.

Kathrin Segal

Reseda, CA

Scott Masten

Subject: Aniamals are not test tubes.

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:25 PM

From: ZeUsYYoRgE@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop this unnecessary animal testing, they are not test tubes with whiskers.

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:25 PM

From: Patricia Plumb <TrollHouse@webtv.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten, kindly pull the plug on animal tests recommended by the NTP Interagency Comm. for Chemical Evaluation & Coordination. Cordially, P. Plumb

<http://community.webtv.net/TrollHouse/ANTIQUES>

Scott Masten

Subject: re:Pull the Plug on Testing Infant Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:23 PM

From: Leigh Waters <leighwaters@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animal rights groups are sending letters like these to their members which include these shocking facts about companies like yours:

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds“ for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed“ widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual“ recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract“ undergo „subchronic“ toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

PLEASE STOP HURTING THESE ANIMALS. It may not mean anything to you or your company, but to those of us who feel this wrong, we appeal to you to stop testing on animals.

Thanks and have a nice day,

Leigh Waters

leighwaters@yahoo.com

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/100/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/efficiency.html> - 100MB free storage!

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:22 PM

From: rhya cawley <rhyacawley@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you.

Rhya Cawley

PO Box 5073
Oceanside, CA 92052

Don,t just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search!
<http://search.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200636ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: primate studies

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:22 PM

From: Heather Wunderlich <hwunderlich@fujicolor.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten,

Please seek out alternatives to animal testing. We live in a time where it is no longer necessary to keep testing the same substances over and over on unwilling subjects. It is so sad.

Heather Wunderlich

Scott Masten

Subject: animals are not test tubes with whiskers

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:21 PM

From: Tee <tinacami@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

animals are not test tubes with whiskers. please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

thanks,

tina

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/10/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/efficiency.html> - Send 10MB messages!

Scott Masten

Subject: Toxicology testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:19 PM

From: Mary A Williams <abiit@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: abiit@earthlink.net

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

It's hard to believe that the U.S. is still conducting outdated, barbaric tests using animals. You are an embarrassment to the U.S. scientific community. On a personal level, I cannot conceive of a moral individual agreeing to participate in the infliction of such suffering, and horror on God's other creatures.

This sort of testing is outrageous, and appalling. And not even useful anymore. Are you all idiots over there?

Mary A Williams
Advisory Systems Engineer
California State University, Hayward

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:17 PM

From: m k <thoseloversareliars@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I'll be frank.

Animal testing is outdated.

Poisoning animals is a sick and disturbing thing for a human to do. Perhaps newborn children should be exposed to antifreeze and tested for problems.

Both humans and other primates are of the same species, so what is the difference? Simply because animals do not speak our language, does not mean that they have no feelings or are not able to feel pain. I wonder if you've ever really thought about what you're doing to these living, breathing creatures. Taking nominations for which chemicals to test makes matters worse, as the public most likely has no idea what the chemicals are capable of doing.

It's wasting lives. Last I checked, killing another being was murder. Though it may not be murder to kill an animal in the eyes of the law, it is murder to the ones being killed.

Mary Kirkpatrick

Is your PC infected? Get a FREE online computer virus scan from McAfee® Security. <http://clinic.mcafee.com/clinic/ibuy/campaign.asp?cid=3963>

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:16 PM

From: Bspknox@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop the torture of these animals. Someone has to help them and although I am not one of the best at expressing my feelings about this, I know you cannot justify all the pain that they go through.

Scott Masten

Subject: PLEASE STOP THE SUFFERING

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:14 PM

From: Frappier, Emily M <emily.m.frappier@intel.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Isn't there enough suffering, abuse and violence in this World without you adding to it. Testing animals with poisons that kills them is unnecessary. Please don't do it for me. I don't want the suffering these animals go through on my conscience. I will take my chances and deal with whatever disease I might get. People have become heartless, more than ever. Animals suffer in so many different ways, from slaughter of horses to little minks and rabbits so people can wear fur. We have got to stop all this suffering and abuse.

Thank you,

Emily Frappier

PO Box 455

Corrales, NM 87048

Scott Masten

Subject: CHEMICAL TESTING

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:13 PM

From: rene.sienkowski@abnamro.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Priority: Highest

I do not understand why these horrific and primitive animal tests continue this day in age. What gives the human race the right to inflict this pain and suffering on innocent living creatures whom are unable to consent to this? Therefore, having no choice as we would. We just take it upon ourselves to make this horrific choice for them.

They may not be able to defend or speak for themselves but, that is where many of our differences seem to end.

They feel pain, fear, sadness, grief, loneliness, boredom, hunger and misery. They also play and feel love, happiness and contentment as we do and care for their babies.

Please stop these animal tests and make a difference. Don't we already know most of the chemicals that would obviously be harmful to us. If not, there are alternatives to this primitive behavior. I believe any alternative would be more acceptable than this.

Imagine your helpless infant being put into a cage unable to speak out or defend itself. Does that make it right or mean that this he/she is not suffering? Do we have no shame?

Thank you,
Renee Sienkowski
Chicago, IL

This message (including any attachments) is confidential and may be privileged. If you have received it by mistake please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this message from your system. Any unauthorised use or dissemination of this message in whole or in part is strictly prohibited. Please note that e-mails are susceptible to change.
ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (including its group companies) shall not be liable for the improper or incomplete transmission of the information contained in this communication nor for any delay in its receipt or damage to your system. ABN AMRO Bank N.V. (or its group companies) does not guarantee that the integrity of this communication has been maintained nor that this communication is free of viruses, interceptions or interference.

Scott Masten

Subject: Follow the Recomendations of the NTP interagency committee

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:12 PM

From: CWLeibert@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

make your special place in the after life go either way. What goes around always comes around.

Would you like to endure what the animals at your facilities have, you may.

Do the right thing.

Chad W. Leibert

Scott Masten

Subject: Request

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:11 PM

From: TailWagger001@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir, I strongly support the discontinuance of animal testing by the NTP. These animals who cannot speak for themselves are in a living hell. Sincerely, Jack L. Miller Police Officer, City of Phila.

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:10 PM

From: Patricia Biksacky <patskyspad@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten;

I am writing to you asking that you please not conduct chemical testings on new newborn primates, or any other animals for that matter. Not only are these incredibly cruel, but also unnecessary. And to involve young people in this, who obviously are feeling power in being able to choose whatever chemicals they want is scary. The world at this point is showing us every day that they don't care about each other, nor the torture they put even little children through. We must teach compassion. You know very well that we are aware that chemicals are dangerous--many of these have been used for years. No matter what chemicals are used--a chemical burn results in case of an accident. Why must we torture innocent creatures just cause we can??? PLEASE sir, change this awful plan. That will be one less horrendous thing going on in this world. I hope you will let your heart decide. Thank you so much for allowing me to tell you how I feel.

Sincerely,

Patricia Biksacky
9203 West Montgomery Drive
Orland Park Illinois 60462

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop the animal testing !

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:48 PM

From: Sue Baczynski <SBaczynski@PennFlorida.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

My plea is to ask that chemical testing/poisoning on animals be brought to a halt. The majority of your testing is useless and unnecessary. Subjecting animals to such practices is cruel and inhumane.

I don't recall this type of testing being performed in the olden days and people lived their lives just about the same as today but without CANCER.

Thanks for listening.

Sue

Scott Masten

Subject: Please Stop Animal Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 4:00 PM

From: Elizabeth Nicely <eanicely@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs:

I am writing with deep concern regarding the National Toxicology Program's latest testing recommendations because they spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals.

Animals are not test tubes, and I ask that the NTP pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Nicely
Arlington, VA

FREE pop-up blocking with the new MSN Toolbar - get it now!
<http://toolbar.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200415ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: information

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:59 PM

From: sunshinegrrrrl@netzero.net <sunshinegrrrrl@netzero.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I have recieved information that you are using newborn primates and rats and other animals for tests that you seem to think are necessary. i urge you to stop that at once. Animals are not ours to abuse like that and do whatever we want to them. They are to be treated as you would you own self. If you need testing done on chemicals and other things, they should be tested on people, ... the ones who are going to use them. My name is Debra Sullivan. My address is 25 Harris St. #1 Acton, Ma. 01720. My phone # is (978) 263-7306 and i personally will volenteer for these tests, if you truely see them fit for animals. I know there are others out there like myself. I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Thanyou kindly for reading.

With deepest sincerety,

Debra Ann Sullivan

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:58 PM

From: Alina <jasmin753@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Your voice can make a difference for animals! Please take a few moments today to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Dear Dr. Kenneth Olden and Dr. Scott Masten,

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP. That is cruel and inhumane. How would feel if you were one of those animals?

Sincerely,

Alina SMalyukhovskaya

Do You Yahoo!?

Tired of spam? Yahoo! Mail has the best spam protection around
<http://mail.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: stop the poisoning

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:57 PM

From: holly twining <hollyshelf@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Please Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

I'm outraged to hear of the following actions:

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity; NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

The NTP,s latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Please stop using animals in testing scenerios. Animals are sentient beings. Would you like your relative tested on?

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Holly Twining

Orono, ME 04473

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
<http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:57 PM

From: Circularsmiles@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr.Masten,

I'm writing to you today because I've recently become aware that the NTP has forgotten th science behind animals other then humans having feelings. I wanted to remind you today that the creatures you choose to inflict this pain upon will feel it just as vividly as you or I. They are no less negatively stimulated by deadly chemicals then your pet dog or cat or hamster. How can you,as a scientist, knowing through and through that animals feel pain just as we do, continue with these painful experiments? ? I hope that you at least take a moment to think about what I've said. I hope that you decide not to do this. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Megan Melvage

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:56 PM

From: JOgens1226@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

PULL THE PLUG ON ANIMAL TESTING NOW

Judith Ogens

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop testing on animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:55 PM

From: Aondrovic@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Scott Masten

Subject: please stop

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:55 PM

From: suzy hopkins <frosuz@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

PLEASE STOP TESTING ON ANIMALS. DO NOT PLAN ANY FURTHER TESTS.
ANIMALS DESERVE MUCH BETTER THAN THIS. THANK YOU.

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:51 PM

From: CRW <wilsonusa1@earthlink.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Priority: Highest

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and we demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination, PATHETIC.

Do you have any shame?

**C. Wilson
Naples, FL**

Scott Masten

Subject: National Toxicity Program

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:48 PM

From: triciadavid@juno.com <triciadavid@juno.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Gentlemen:

I am writing you today to ask you to please reconsider the cruel and unnecessary experimental tests currently being used on newborn primates.

These tests such as chemical injection and force feeding of rats and nonhuman primates are cruel and inhumane. How anyone could consider them otherwise is insanity. There are ways in which products and medicines can and are being tested without the use of animals. I am pleading with you today to reconsider using animals in your tests.

Animals are living, breathing beings and deserve kindness and respect. Keeping them in laboratories for their entire lives while being tortured with cruel tests is no life for any creature big or small. All animals feel pain and it is simply not fair that they have to endure such an existence.

Thank you for your time and consideration on this subject.

Tricia Gordon

Subject: Re: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:48 PM

From: Lesley Freedman <l Freedman@mcbone.com>

Cc: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, "Olden, Ken (NIH/NIEHS)" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden and Dr. Scott Masten:

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP

Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Lesley Freedman

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:37 PM

From: ann cohen <acohen@gis.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I will be brief and to the point: please do not proceed with the barbaric practice of testing chemicals on animals. Animals are not test tubes with whiskers and tails. They are living beings and deserve to be respected as such (I know, ... there is not enough of that kind of respect in the world, even among different people, but that is another story....).

In conclusion, please reject the recommendations of the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination that were issued in June.

I could debate this issue with you on many levels, but I am sure that you are already well aware of the righteousness of the arguments against animal testing.

In closing, please do not support or condone the proposed animal testing.

Yours Truly,

Ann Cohen

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:45 PM

From: CBell55@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please cease using animals for testing of poison.

Thank you.

C.Bell

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP protest

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:45 PM

From: Deanne Franklin <jumbosound@earthlink.net>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

animals are not test tubes with whiskers, I truly demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination: thank you for your time, please listen.....

PEACE ALL,

DEANNE FRANKLIN

JUMBOSOUND

1000 JARBOE AVE

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF. 94110

415 641 7113 HOME

415 613 7113 CEL

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:43 PM

From: S. Franklyn <sarahmusic1@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir,

I am appalled that the National Toxicology Program (NTP) is still looking to spend millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity and that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals, which only serves to promote a sick industry that is unethical, abhorrently cruel, and not a practise that should be condoned, perpetuated and relied upon. Guys, why are you still promoting this sick industry? Why aren't you using cultures and all of the other non-animal methods for testing?

You cannot keep trotting out the same old out-of-date excuses about why animals are necessary. It is common knowledge that 99% of tests can be replaced with simulations that do not require the use of animals. Propsals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze is bordering on the absurd.

The NTP?s latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals, and there are millions of people who would agree that this is a misuse of funds and a disgraceful and sad way to prop up an industry that needs a complete overhaul to keep up with the times. I'm sorry, but you cannot keep pulling the wool over the eyes of the public and trying to blind everyone with science. It won't do. I am particularly appalled at:

? The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman

primates. How can anyone in their right mind see that there is any justification whatsoever for poisoning primates? Only those who are so blind to the significance and consequence of what they are really doing. Any normal human being, if posed with the question; "would the incarceration, mistreatment, emotional and natural habitat deprivation and slow poisoning to the death of a primate justify your wanting to use this product" would be shocked and reply "of course not. I don't want the blood of innocent animals on my conscience".

? The Environmental Protection Agency nominated ?perfluorinated compounds? for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of ?presumed? widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

? A ?private individual? recommended that the dietary supplement ?bitter orange extract? undergo ?subchronic? toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals. This is absurd. IF SOMETHING IS TOXIC TO ANIMALS, THEN OF COURSE IT WILL BE TO HUMANS AND PERHAPS IT SHOULDN'T BE MANUFACTURED IN THE FIRST PLACE! If it needs to be tested at all then it doesn't take a brain scientist or anyone else to realise that anything except food can be toxic if you put it in your mouth and ingest enough of it.

So why do millions of animals every year have to live a life of sheer hell, terror, torture and deprivation in order to be subjected to these horrendous tests? ANSWER: MONEY! The only reason this is allowed to continue is because the pharmaceutical industry controls the government and THERE IS NO PROPER OVERSIGHT FUNCTION TO STOP THEM GETTING AWAY WITH MURDER, LITERALLY.

All funding should go towards transitioning from animal testing to non-animal tests. ANIMAL TESTING SHOULD BE COMPLETELY PHASED OUT STARTING NOW! The consumer does not want animal tested products. It is

the job of the NTP to KEEP UP WITH THE TIMES, MOVE
AWAY FROM ANIMAL TESTING AND USE ALTERNATIVES.

Sincerely,

S. Russell

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail - Send 10MB messages!

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: Testing Recommendations

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:42 PM

From: Dana Deforge <ddgrrl@sbcglobal.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Masten,

It is my understanding that each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity.

It,s appalling that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used WITHOUT HARM for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

The NTP,s latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of innocent animals.

I write with the intent to remind the NTP that animals with families and feelings are not appropriate test tubes. I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

I can only trust that you are a loving human being and that you will show mercy to these innocent animals enough to actually do something about this crucial issue.

Sincerely,

Dana DeForge

828 Riverside Ave.

Santa Cruz, CA 95060
(831) 454-0277

Scott Masten

Subject: Please Stop The Animal Tests!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:41 PM

From: Kara Graul <Kara.Graul@pgs.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten,

Please remember that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Regards,

Kara K. Graul

Administrative Assistant

PGS Data Processing

Direct: 713-268-7278

Fax: 713-952-1037

kara.graul@pgs.com

Scott Masten

Subject: Please consider the suffering

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:39 PM

From: SherriLLittle@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten:

I am a 42-year-old woman who has gone back to school for a MPH program in Epidemiology. Obviously, I care about the health of the public. However, we all know from science that animals experience pain and suffering in the same biological and chemical ways as humans. How can you in good conscience support animal "testing" that inflicts inhumane suffering on defenseless creatures? We have genetic and cell level testing available. We have all kinds of data on toxic substances. The old "animal model" is not necessary, and is used often just from habit and legislation.

You have the power to change the system and stop this cruelty. I urge you to take a step back and do the right thing. Please stop the torture that was recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely,

Sherri Little

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:38 PM

From: RadLoserGirl@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To whom it may concern-

I was recently notified that you test unnecessarily on animals. I'm just sending you a correspondance to remind and let you know that animals are not ours to preform cruel experiments on, and it is totally unhumane to do so. If you want to know if a chemical hurts a human, test it on a human. Or, if you question a chemical's toxicity, then why do we even test it? If it seems harmful, we shouldn't even consider using it.

Please refrain from animal testing,

Stacie L. Worden

Scott Masten

Subject: No animal tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:38 PM

From: Wayne Chaet <wchaet@nycap.rr.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

This email serves to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Regards,

Wayne

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:36 PM

From: JodieTigger@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and WE demand that YOU pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

PLease stop using Gods creatures as your expiramental toys. They are not there for you to torture. Animals are not test tubes and I am horrified to hear that you still use them to however you feel in which ever way you feel necessary. These tests are not necessary. PLEASE stop immediatly. People of america will not tolerate you people destroying what god gave us to enjoy, not destroy.

Mrs. Jodie M GhisoIU & Family
family includes one dog, 2 cats, 2 fish
2011 sassafras street
Erie, pa 16502
814-461-8793
JodieTigger@aol.com
ParentsOfPremie@aol.com

Scott Masten

Subject: toxicity testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:35 PM

From: Metal Erin <metalerin@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

hello

i am writing you with concern to the toxicity tests that i believe, from the research i have done, are unnecessary and unkind.

from working in an emergency room, i know that i have never seen a doctor refer to toxicity tests on animals (LD50 for example) when dealing with an accidental poisoning. what applies to an animal very rarely applies to a human. A good example of this is the effect of morphine, which has the opposite effect on rodents as it does on humans. How then, can you test drugs on any animal, rodent or primate and expect the same to apply to humans?

i think it is rather disturbing in this day and age that we even consider using other species in these kinds of useless experiments. we seem not to have learned much in the last 100 years.

please consider this when you think about your tests. are all creatures not equal? would you test on your pets? your neighbours or kids pets?

thanks for your consideration

sincerely,

erin b.

MSN Hotmail <http://www.hotmail.com> Med markedets beste SPAM-filter. Gratis!

Scott Masten

Subject: chemicals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:35 PM

From: Jasmen91490@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Scott Masten ,

I am writing to you because of my concern for the animals, your program is testing on. This program spends millions of dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. To recommend testing turpentine and antifreeze on animals you have to have none or little care about life. You arent supposed to test chemicals and other substances on living things, in my opinion that is killing lives that could be vital to our ecosystem. I know what your thinking the opinion of a 14 year old doesnt not matter but I have a choice and a voice and I will do what I can to persuade you to stop testing on animals. Please every life that is born has a destiny!

sincerely,
A concerned teen

Scott Masten

Subject: Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:37 PM

From: Don & Rene Pizzo <renedon@juno.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Drs. Olden and Masten:

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I respectfully ask that you cancel the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds%” for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed%” widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual%” recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract%” undergo „subchronic%” toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. The NTP,s latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals.

There are alternatives that don't involve this horrendous torture and killing of animals. Please seek out these alternatives, and please consider all the other research available on these products so they don't need to be repeated.

Thank you.

Rene' Pizzo

PO Box 1308

Oregon City, OR 97045

Scott Masten

Subject: Respect

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:33 PM

From: Gerri L. Seymour <GeSeymour@student.msun.edu>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs,

I am asking you to please reconsider your views on Animal Testing. I really believe that testing is detrimental to the health of animals and also to humans. There has to be better alternatives to animals. Cannot the government use their advances in Science to create tissue similar to human tissue and then, test on these? Animals are our friends and we should treat them with the love and respect that they show us.

Thank you for listening.

Sincerely,

Gerri L. Seymour

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:32 PM

From: Price, David E <David.Price2@BELLSOUTH.COM>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I was outraged to learn of animal experiments that are currently in use and those that are planned for the future.

Please immediately stop any animal testing recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

There is no room for cruelty in today's world.

Respectfully,
David E. Price, Jr

The information transmitted is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential, proprietary, and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from all computers. 117

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing--please cease

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:32 PM

From: Robert Diskin <robertdiskin2003@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello.

I receive news from PETA, and have been informed of animal testing for toxicity.

It is my belief that an animal's life and fate should not be at the disposal of humans. Just because they can't fight back, doesn't mean we can use them as we wish.

Please do what you can to see that this testing is stopped; with all our technology and biological advancements, there must be other ways to test the safety of chemicals and products without endangering another species.

Sincerely,
Robert D.
Illinois

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:33 PM

From: Jennifer Welshons <jmw@iveyandkadlec.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Olden and Mr. Masten,

I write to you to ask that you please take a realistic look at the need for animal testing. Such practices are inhumane and barbaric. The practices that you and your company endorse and engage in are outdated. These test are easily performed today by computer programs which simulate the results.

Again, I ask you, as do my cats, dogs, fish, rats and birds, to please, put an end to animal testing.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Welshons
jmw@iveyandkadlec.com
Juris Doctorate
Ivey, Kadlec & Hill, P.L.L.C.
713-225-0015

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:29 PM

From: T ammy <tjnelson005@msn.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I feel that you should pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination!

A concerned citizen for animal rights,

Tammy Nelson

Scott Masten

Subject: Re: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:29 PM

From: JMaeStark@aol.com

To: <newsmanager@peta.org>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Drs. Olden & Masten:

I strongly request that you not proceed with your plans for animal testing. We don't want it. Put it to a popular vote and see what response you get.

There is enough data on most of the substances today - it's not necessary. Example -- I for one have been using Grapeseed for years. I have just been through a battery of tests for annual physical and everything came back perfect. Listen to what people can tell you.

Surely in this time of great technical advancement, there must be humane ways to test the effects (if at all necessary) of new drugs and substances.

There is enough suffering in the world today -- we weep on a global scale. Don't add to it unnecessarily. It's all about Karma!

Best regards,

Jennifer Stark

In a message dated 10/1/04 10:31:59 AM, newsmanager@peta.org writes:

Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Your letter is needed by October 19, 2004!

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Your voice can make a difference for animals! Please take a few moments today to politely

remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

Thank you for helping animals,
Troy Seidle
Biological Science Advisor
People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals
[StopAnimalTests.com <http://cl.extm.us/?fe64157077640379711d-fe2611727d600d7e741c71>](http://cl.extm.us/?fe64157077640379711d-fe2611727d600d7e741c71)

Go [here <http://cl.extm.us/?fe6c1570776403797014-fe2611727d600d7e741c71>](http://cl.extm.us/?fe6c1570776403797014-fe2611727d600d7e741c71) to leave this mailing list or [modify <http://cl.extm.us/?fe6b1570776403797015-fe2611727d600d7e741c71>](http://cl.extm.us/?fe6b1570776403797015-fe2611727d600d7e741c71) your email profile.

This email was sent by: **PETA**
501 Front St Norfolk, VA, 23510, United States

Scott Masten

Subject: toxicity tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:28 PM

From: Erin Healy <afuacat@yahoo.com>

Reply-To: swiftE@pobox.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Mr MAsten,

As a human being, I am deeply angered and offended by your agency's gross disrespect for life. Testing for toxicity on animals is unbelievably cruel, inhuman(e), and unnecessary. Animals experience physical pain and emotional stress, just like humans. I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely, Erin Healy

Do you Yahoo!?

Y! Messenger - Communicate in real time. Download now.

<http://messenger.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:27 PM

From: David Godin <dgstarks@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Scott Masten,

Animals are sensitive feeling beings. Please put an end to the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Godin
29 Deer Drive
Sound Beach, NY 11789
Tel: 631-821-0942

FREE pop-up blocking with the new MSN Toolbar - get it now!
<http://toolbar.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200415ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:27 PM

From: sarahjane casey <sarahjanecasey@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten,

I have recently found out about the testing of products on animals in your laboratory.

Please for the animals sake do not test on these poor harmless creatures that are in our hands.

Why is it ok to kill animals in the name of human interest? You are asking what substances should be tried out???? Why?, why when they have already been tried!. Enough is enough.

Think about it from the animals point of you. A human inflicting unnecessary pain is not the right thing to be doing.

I beg you to stop.

Sincerely,

Sarah-Jane Casey

There,s never been a better time to get Xtra JetStream @
<http://xtra.co.nz/jetstream>

Scott Masten

Subject: animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:26 PM

From: Layton <laytonma@frontier.net>

Reply-To: "Layton" <laytonma@frontier.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I have been told about the NTP to test animals for toxicology. I continue to be appalled that animals are regarded as non-feeling beings with no rights. Animals have a right to live on this planet unmolested as much as you as a human would like to do so. I adamantly oppose your plan and demand that you cease immediately such animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Yours truly,

Marie Layton

Scott Masten

Subject: PULL THE PLUG

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:24 PM

From: Antonia.White@phila.gov

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I AM E-MAILING YOU TO REQUEST THAT THE NTP STOP THE UNNECESSARY AND CRUEL TESTING ON NEWBORN PRIMATES. ANIMALS ARE NOT TEST TUBES TO BE USED IN THE NAME OF SCIENCE, BUT FEELING CREATURES WHO ARE TERRORIZED BY THESE TRIALS.

PLEASE STOP THE CRUELTY.

ANTONIA M. WHITE

Scott Masten

Subject: Vivisection

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:26 PM

From: Manzanedo, Marcella <Marcella.Manzanedo@ssa.ocgov.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden & Dr. Masten

I have included for you an enlightening quote by Mark Twain hoping to shed some light on your work.

Marcella ~

"I am not interested to know whether vivisection produces results that are profitable to the human race or doesn't. ... The pain which it inflicts upon unconsenting animals is the basis of my enmity toward it, and it is to me sufficient justification of the enmity without looking further." –Mark Twain

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:25 PM

From: NovellinoWolf@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

*Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov <mailto:olden@niehs.nih.gov>*

*Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov <mailto:masten@niehs.nih.gov>*

Dear Drs. Masten and Olden:

I respectfully request that **the NTP pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. We are primates who have remained at a level of savage barbarism. We kill our cousins, the other primates, much as we do each other--for a cause. It must stop.**

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Louis Novellino

522 Clubhouse Drive

Middletown, N.J. 07748-1345

Go here <<http://cl.extm.us/?fe661570776403797113-fe5d15737c6605757217>> to leave this mailing list or modify <<http://cl.extm.us/?fe65157077640379711c-fe5d15737c6605757217>> your email profile.

This email was sent by: **PETA**

501 Front St Norfolk, VA, 23510, United States

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:24 PM

From: k nv <k_navalifter@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Masten:

I was saddened to read about the newly nominated animal testing below:

☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds‰ for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed‰ widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

☐ A „private individual‰ recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract‰ undergo „subchronic‰ toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Please consider pulling the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you.

Warm Regards,

Kathleen Nava

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE! <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENUS/2731??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:24 PM

From: Pandpsgina@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I am an animal lover since the innocence of childhood.
I am vegetarian purely for ethical reasons.

I was, unfortunately, diagnosed with Multiple Sclerosis ten years ago for which there is no cure and I suffer daily from numbness, stiffness, balance problems and difficulty walking as well as optic nerve damage and potential loss of brain cells.

My neurologist has told me that he is amazed at the level I am functioning on because my MRI shows a lot of disease and he cannot guarantee that I will not end up in a wheelchair.

I would not, however, want any innocent animal to suffer in any way from testing.
I implore you not to play God with helpless innocent victims, the animals who have unfortunately found their way into your laboratories.

I understand that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for the chemicals being tested. So what are you doing?
Please give these poor animals a chance at a normal life.

Sincerely,

Pearl Stengel

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:24 PM

From: Lauren Silverman <laurens@americanhumane.org>

To: "'olden@niehs.nih.gov'" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, "'masten@niehs.nih.gov'" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden and Dr. Scott Masten:

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

* The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

* The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

* A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Lauren Silverman

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:22 PM

From: Carol Lauletta <carolanne5136@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <newsmanager@peta.org>

ARE YOU AWARE THERE IS ANOTHER WAY OF TESTING CHEMICALS WITHOUT POISONING ANIMALS? "PETA" CAN TELL YOU HOW.

PLEASE STOP THIS INSANE TESTING. I CAN'T BELIEVE YOU CAN FIND UNCARING PEOPLE TO PERFORM THESE TASKS. THEY MUST HAVE NO HEART.

>From: "PETA" <newsmanager@peta.org>

>To: <CAROLANNE5136@HOTMAIL.COM>

>Subject: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn
>Primates

>Date: Fri, 01 Oct 2004 12:20:48 -0500

>

>Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

>Your letter is needed by October 19, 2004!

>Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax
>dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity.

>What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate
>chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a

>veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations,

>including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts

>that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements

>(e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest

>such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

>

>True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and

>death to many thousands of animals:

>

>- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a

>chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and

>other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn

>male rats and nonhuman primates.

>

>- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds"

>for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the

>basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large

>amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these

>chemicals.

>

>- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter

>orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means

>force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months),
>as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth
>defects in animals.
>
>Your voice can make a difference for animals! Please take a few moments
>today to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with
>whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended
>in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and
>Coordination:
>
>Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
>National Toxicology Program
>National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
>P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
>Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
>919-541-2260 (fax)
>olden@niehs.nih.gov
>
>Dr. Scott Masten
>Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
>National Toxicology Program
>National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
>P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
>Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
>919-541-3647 (fax)
>masten@niehs.nih.gov
>
>
>Thank you for helping animals,
>
>Troy Seidle
>Biological Science Advisor
>People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals
>[<http://www.stopanimaltests.com>]
>
>
>Go here to leave this mailing list or modify your email profile:
><http://cl.extm.us/accountinfo.asp?fe661072756700747715>
>
>
>This e-mail was sent by:PETA
>501 Front St Norfolk, VA, 23510, United States
>

Get ready for school! Find articles, homework help and more in the Back to
School Guide! <http://special.msn.com/network/04backtoschool.armx>

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:17 PM

From: terryandjoanna <terryandjoanna@earthlink.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Masten-

Please put an end to your cruel and inhumane testing on the animals. Animals have suffered long enough and there are no logical reasons to continue abusing them. I ask you and your associates to please stop torturing animals now.

Sincerely,

Terence J. Coakley

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing protocols

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:21 PM

From: kendra zamzow <kzamzow@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden:

I am writing to urge the National Toxicology Program to re-consider its extensive use of animals in chemical toxicity testing, particularly for substances (plasticizers, antifreeze, perfluorinated compounds, etc) already well-documented as having effects in humans from epidemiological studies. As a taxpayer, I feel it is a waste of money ("white coat welfare") to conduct tests for chemicals whose effects are already well-known, even to the general public. As an environmental scientist (PhD program, University of Nevada Reno), I feel that your program would be more effective if it focused on gathering epidemiological studies to identify potential hotspots of areas where toxic symptoms present and identify sources and solutions. And as a human being, I feel it is inconceivable to cause unnecessary suffering, particularly in defenseless beings.

While I am aware that my one small voice/email will not make any difference, I would like to point out that the sentiment of this country and Europe are moving towards feeling that medical science will provide better, more accurate, and less lethal (side effects) information and drugs by rejecting animal testing (which has consistently allowed dangerous medications to be approved -- note even today that Vioxx was just taken off market-- and may have delayed or eliminated the production of drugs that would benefit humans) and embracing further research through molecular, cell culture, and epidemiological means.

Thank you for your attention.

Sincerely,
Kendra Zamzow
Dept ESH -- Miller Lab
University of Nevada, Reno MS 199
Reno, NV 89557

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
<http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: Chemical Nomination & Selection

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:22 PM

From: Manzanedo, Marcella <Marcella.Manzanedo@ssa.ocgov.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Scott Matsen,

I am writing you this email to plea for the lives of many innocent animals needlessly suffering due to outdated tests of chemical toxicity. I have learned that the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. Please correct me if I am wrong, yet I learned that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals they would like to see tested on animals. I understand this has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g. grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as wells as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

If my tax dollars are funding such tests, I have a right to know why hazardous substances, such as the ones stated above, need to be retested when the information is clear that they are already hazardous. What's the purpose for retesting if the result is known? Also, it is a proven fact that rats may consume large amounts of cyanide and live whilst humans are not able to consume small amounts without facing death. There are other chemicals that we may consume in large amounts without harm that may kill a rat instantly. With this in mind, how does your animal testing, be it for medical reasons or otherwise, assist humans in any capacity if our nervous system is affected so drastically different than on those animals you are testing?

Also, it is not ethical for you to be using tax dollars for such testing that is really not benefiting anyone yet torturing helpless, innocent animals without a voice or choice. There is no cure for cancer or AIDS that has arisen from all the various forms of animal testing. The cure is stop putting toxics into our environment that affect our health and practicing safe sex. Testing animals is futile and unethical.

Please reconsider such practices because as humans, we are not living independently of other creatures on this earth. Whatever we subject the earth (and its' creatures to) we are ultimately subjecting onto our ourselves. Eventually, the repercussions will catch up to us and destroy us.

Instead of using tax dollars to needlessly torture and kill innocent animals, think about using this money to assess and improve the condition of our planet to make it a healthier place for all living creatures.

I appreciate your time in this matter and would like to thank you in advance for responding to me.

Marcella Manzanedo

Long Beach, CA

Marcella.Manzanedo@ssa.ocgov.com <mailto:Marcella.Manzanedo@ssa.ocgov.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:33 PM

From: D. Lyons <dlyons@nethere.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten,

I am writing to ask you to please not perform the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. The forced intake of substances on animals, whether toxic or not, is cruel and unnecessary.

Primates especially, as higher order animals, and suffer emotional distress at such treatment. Such distress must logically skew any results obtained in this manner in a negative direction anyway as it affects the physical condition. The effects of stressors (such as pain, threat, captivity, crowded conditions, and lack of stimulation to name a few) on the health of living things are well known. The immune system suffers and disease has an open door. How can results obtained under great stress be valid?

I don't doubt that you have the goal of making the world a safer place for people, but I ask that you find another way to do it. Some of this research seems superfluous from the beginning.

There are already large amounts of toxicity data on prefluorinated compounds, for example, and many dietary supplements have been used without incident by generations of people. I ask you to please reconsider any scheduled animal tests.

Sincerely,
Dawn Lyons

Subject: animals tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:20 PM

From: Lindsey Stephens <yo.ghostie@btinternet.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Considering the following, why does your organization continue with cruel animal tests? "According to Dr. Arie Brecher, M.D., head pediatrician in Holon, Israel, "Animals are completely different from humans, and no animal species can serve as an experimental model for man. Each animal has a genetic code of its own, which is a fixed datum, and characteristically unique in each species. For this reason, a method that is based on the similarity between the species, while there are differences, and different genetic codes, can only lead medical science into error." [Brecher, 1989]

Animal tests do not protect the consumer from the sale of dangerous drugs and substances because it is impossible to reliably predict human reactions in animals. There are countless biological variations between all species of animals. These biological variations exist in terms of anatomy, genetics, physiology, cell makeup, life span, nutritional needs, etc. As a result, all species of animals react uniquely to each and every drug and every substance.

Strychnine, one of the deadliest poisons to humans, is harmless to monkeys, chickens, and guinea pigs.

A dose of belladonna that would kill a person is harmless to rabbits and goats.

Sheep can consume enormous quantities of arsenic, which is fatal to humans in small amounts.

What we consider poisonous mushrooms (*Amanita Phalloides*) are

commonly eaten by rabbits.

Hemlock is a deadly poison for humans, but is consumed without ill effect by mice, sheep, goats and horses.

PCP, or "angel dust", which drives humans into a frenzy, is used as a sedative for horses.

These examples are typical of the wide range of reactions that occur between species, and they clearly demonstrate the danger of using animals to "safety test" drugs intended for human use. According to Gianni Tamino, medical researcher at the University of Padua and Member of the Italian Parliament: "It has been demonstrated that results from animal experiments are in no way applicable to human beings. There is a natural law connected with metabolism (the aggregate of all physical and chemical processes constantly taking place in living organisms), according to which a biomedical reaction that has been established for one species is valid only for that particular species and for no other. Often times two closely related species such as rat and mouse may react in entirely differing ways."

A Few of the Thousands of Drugs With Side-Effects Not Predicted by Animal Tests

DRUG PROMOTED AS RESULTS[1]

Clioquinol anti-diarrhea 2,000+ deaths [2]; 30,000+ blinded, paralyzed

Isoproterenol anti-asthma 3,500+ deaths

Thalidomide sleeping pill anti-nausea 10,000+ birth defects; 3,000+ stillbirths

DES anti-miscarriage cancer, birth defects

Cigarettes social drug 420,000 deaths/year [3]
Phenylbutazone anti-inflammatory 10,000+ deaths
Chloramphenicol antibiotic aplastic anemia, 42+ deaths
Opren anti-arthritis liver damage, 61+ deaths
Fialuridine anti-hepatitis liver damage, 5+ deaths
Clofibrate anti-cholesterol fatal heart attacks up 37%
Eraldin cardiotoxic blindness, 23+ deaths
Parlodel stops breast milk *production heart attacks, seizures, 13
deaths (as of 1993)

[1] Death, while a common reaction to drugs, is by no means the only problem. More often, temporary or permanent damage of varying degrees occurs. This, too, is often under-reported, as it may take months or years to develop, and may be the result of the patient taking several prescribed drugs whose interactions in humans are not yet catalogued.

[2] Death rates followed by a "+" include deaths from First World nations only. Pharmaceuticals are often sold in Second-and Third-World nations after being banned in their nations of origin. Having poorer record-keeping and haphazard distribution of pharmaceuticals - many being sold over-the-counter - the deaths and other problems often go unrecorded in those nations. Moreover, as physicians are often reluctant to admit that a drug they prescribed harmed a patient, such deaths are less likely to be reported, even in first-world nations.

[3] Estimated yearly death rate, USA only. The New York Times, December 6, 1993, quoted sworn testimony of William Campbell, President and CEO of cigarette manufacturer Phillip Morris USA:

Q. Does cigarette smoking cause cancer?

A. To my knowledge, it has not been proven that cigarette smoking causes cancer.

Q. What do you base that on?

A. I base that on the fact that traditionally, there is, you know, in scientific terms, there are hurdles related to causation, and at this time there is no evidence that - they have not been able to reproduce cancer in animals from cigarette smoking."

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:19 PM

From: csteven5 <csteven5@maine.rr.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please end all animal testing. Work with a conscience. Test in a more ethical way.

Sincerely,

Amanda Stevens

Maine

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Abuse-I Mean Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:19 PM

From: Jennifer Robinson <jerobinson@dynamicsys.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

To Whom It May Concern:

Hi! My name's Jen and I am a college student and animal rights activist. It has recently come to my attention that the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars each year. The NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals. Animals are not ours to perform cruel experiments on. I urge you to use your clout to **pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination, especially since many** of the chemicals are ill-conceived recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze. There's no reason for needless suffering. I beg of you to help these doomed animals.

Thank you for your consideration,

Jen Elaine Robinson

P.S.

Be an Angel for the Animals. ☺

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:19 PM

From: Amy Robinson <pinky_895@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please consider pulling the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Animals are not ours to experiment on. Just think about the horrible pain and suffering these animals will go through. They are not test tubes and this definately needs to be reconsidered. Thank you, Amy

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/security/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/protection.html> - You care about security. So do we.

Scott Masten

Subject: Speaking for those who cannot speak for themselves

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:17 PM

From: Xy08@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. They are living, breathing, conscious, feeling creatures, no less valuable than you or I. Are we not intellectually superior, compassionate and more technologically advanced ? We are able to develop other testing means than on living beings. Or, is it a case of economics, or just easier to take a life unnecessarily? Don't be a Nazi of the animal kingdom. Please open your mind and your heart. Use your power and influence to do the right thing and pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Respectfully,

JANINE

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Reminder

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:16 PM

From: DeLeone, Monica A <DeleoneMA@aetna.com>

To: <Masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please **do not** conduct chemical toxicity tests on animals. They were not put on earth to become test tubes. To have people nominate chemicals that they want to have tested, and to retest well-known hazardous substances is wasted time and America's resources, not to mention suffering and death to thousands of animals.

Monica DeLeone
deleonema@aetna.com

This e-mail may contain confidential or privileged information. If you think you have received this e-mail in error, please advise the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this e-mail immediately. Thank you. Aetna

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:16 PM

From: Roryfreedman@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden and Dr. Scott Masten:

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze. True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Rory Freedman

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:16 PM

From: Bxbrown@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Misters Olden and Masten:

I am writing to you to ask that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. I am dismayed to read that the NTP has decided to re-test some substances that had already been tested and proven to be dangerous or lethal to animals. I don't see how you can feel good about causing unnecessary suffering. Please discontinue plans to test using animals.

Brandon Brown

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:15 PM

From: MarcieandKati@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs:

I am writing to you to remind you that animals are not test tubes with whiskers and I demand that you pull the plug on animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you for your immediate attention to stopping this horrific behavior on the part of governmental agencies.

Thank you for your immediate attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Marcie Ebenstein
2200 North Central Road
Ft. Lee, New Jersey 07024
Phone: (201) 947-1422
Fax: (201) 944-7864

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:13 PM

From: Robertson, Dina <Dina.Robertson@ArvinMeritor.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden and Dr. Masten,

I am writing this email to you to urge you to realize that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Please refer to the information already available on these chemicals. If we already know it hurts humans and animals, why waste precious lives and money on doing more inhumane tests on innocent animals.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Dina M. Robertson

ArvinMeritor

Purolator / Gabriel / Maremont

Phone: 1-800-242-7846, ext. 142

Fax: (615) 221-7425

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:14 PM

From: Lucymoosey@aol.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <newsmanager@peta.org>

To Whom it May Concern,

When considering the substances in products, please remember that animal testing is not the answer. Especially as regarding substances such as herbs and chemicals for which statistics from previous research are readily available. When animal testing has been conducted, there is no need to repeat cruelty by repeating testing.

Please ban the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

The animals will thank you for your concern for their well being!

Best Wishes,

Regina Lagrasta

Scott Masten

Subject: The Golden Rule

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:12 PM

From: cathy christensen <cathychristy@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop testing on animals! They are our companions on this earth; we do not have any right to torture them - especially not for unnecessary purposes! Remember the golden rule - for it applies to all who live:

Treat others as you yourself would like to be treated!

Don,t just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search! <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENUS/2740??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: animal tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:12 PM

From: Heather Witham <heather@thoughtoffering.org>

To: "Olden, Ken (NIH/NIEHS)" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden and Dr. Masten,

I'm sure you realize that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Please, please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thanks so very much.

peace&love

Heather Witham

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:11 PM

From: Jane O'Hara <jo@ohara-arts.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden, and Dr. Masten,

I received information regarding the animal testing recommended in June , and I am urging you to stop these tests, or stop planning to do them. These animals are feeling creatures, why torture and kill them? So that we can realize once again that these harsh chemicals are actually bad for us?

There are alternatives to animal testing now. I strongly urge you to seek alternative methods. Haven't you ever owned a pet, or known one- these animals are no different, any quick research could bring up the facts that emotional and physical pain are experienced by monkeys certainly, but even rats. These animals are social and even a life in a cage is cruel, but the torturing and killing of them is horrible, and more importantly, unnecessary.

Please consider this- lives are in your hands, and thank you for reading my email
Jane O'Hara

--

Jane O'Hara
Fenway Studios
30 Ipswich St #306
Boston, MA 02215
617-859-4862

Scott Masten

Subject: toxicology testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:11 PM

From: jakrieger@erols.com <jakrieger@erols.com>

Reply-To: jakrieger@erols.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Olden:

PETA has asked that I write a polite letter asking you folks to pull the plug on tests recommended in June by your Committee for Chemical Evaluation. When I read about the chemicals recommended for tests, and think about the nature of the testing, I must confess it's extremely difficult for me to be polite. But I'll try.

In my opinion, using animals for toxicity testing is monstrous. Where the chemicals are already known to be toxic, or are similar to chemicals known to be toxic, the tests strike me as flagrant crimes against nature. And where the chemicals are not known to be toxic and not similar to known toxins, then perhaps you should either find some other way to discover if they're toxic, or consider them toxic and rule against their use where they can cause harm. In these ways you can protect humans while not poisoning and torturing any of our fellow creatures.

Jim Krieger

mail2web - Check your email from the web at
<http://mail2web.com/> .

Scott Masten

Subject: Please halt NTP animal poisoning tests immediately

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:11 PM

From: David Bodnick <david.wo@bodnick.com>

Reply-To: David Bodnick <david.wo@bodnick.com>

To: "Dr.Scott Masten" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

October 1, 2004

Dear Dr. Masten,

I was disappointed and horrified to learn of the National Toxicology Program's poisoning tests on newborn primates.

There is not nearly a sufficient benefit to be gained in testing plant extracts that have been known to be harmless for decades, or substances that are already known to be hazardous, to justify the horrible cruelty of these tests.

The NTP needs to immediately halt animal tests that your Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination recommended in June.

Thank you,
David Bodnick

305 West 28th Street 5C
New York, NY 10001
(212) 604-0224

Scott Masten

Subject: Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:07 PM

From: peter marx <pmarx2863@wowway.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I have a few people that I would like toxics tested on.

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:05 PM

From: Jane Pitrelli <thelodge@ptd.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please Dr. Masten stop the painful testing on these poor animals.

Thank you

Jane Mangini

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:37 PM

From: Ti T Harmony <harmonygarden@earthlink.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear sir,

I am disturbed to find out that a huge waste of taxpayer money in the form of animal torture has been planned for the NTP. In a sane society, animals are known to be sensitive and as averse to pain as are humans. I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Especially disturbing and galling is the proposed testing on baby primates, the testing of substances that have been safely used as dietary supplements for generations, and the testing of substances for which extensive toxicity data already exists.

Please find compassion in your heart to end the animal abuse and end these useless, white-collar welfare, tests.

In peace.

Ti Harmony

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop Animal Tests - The Philosophical Argument

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:06 PM

From: Steve Henderson <hend7152@bellsouth.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Masten,

I'll get right to the bottom line. Being compassionate and kind to all things is at the root of improving how different cultures, countries, religions, and races treat one another. To leave one group out (in this case animals), and moreover an innocent and defenseless group, is to fundamentally undermine the principle of compassion and understanding among all groups, i.e., it becomes tainted. So these tests, whether "necessary" or not, are inconsistent with these higher principles. And if we cannot be true to higher principles such as these, then we are not nearly as civilized and ethically advanced as we thought we were.

I strongly urge you to stop these horrific animal tests which inflict massive misery, agony, suffering, and death on huge numbers of innocent individuals who actually need our help, not our cruelty and indifference.

Sincerely,
Steve Henderson
La Grange, KY

Scott Masten

Subject: Dear Sir;

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:05 PM

From: Charlotte Wolfe <Charlotte.Wolfe@rmtinc.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir;

Please pull the plug on the unnecessary tests that are proposed for June by the NTP Interagency Committee. This is regarding Evaluation and Coordination.

There is, in my opinion to conduct tests that are not needed, that will put the little creations in harm.

Thank you for your time.

Charlotte

Outgoing messages, along with any attachments, are scanned for viruses at RMT prior to sending.

NOTICE-- This email may contain confidential and privileged information for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and delete all copies.

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP's testing recommendations re: animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:05 PM

From: Jennifer Shorey <jennifershorey@msn.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Doctors:

I am writing to request that you please reconsider plans to implement the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

I suspect the tests are all being fueled by "cover your ass" liability concerns. Personally, I do not believe our fellow beings are our's to use and abuse as we wish all for our own benefit and protection.

Please stop unnecessary animal testing.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Shorey

Miami, FL

Scott Masten

Subject: stop

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:03 PM

From: JWblanke2@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Testing on animals is wrong .So please stop

Thank You
David Blankenship

Subject: Inquiry regarding toxicity tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:58 PM

From: Amanda the Cannibal <cherry_shoestring@hotmail.com>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear sir,

I would like to plead that you please read this email.

On behalf of animals suffering in your toxicology tests, I would like to ask you to please put yourself in their place and feel their pain. Do you think that inflicting suffering upon animals is appropriate? Do you have any pets or children? How would you like it if someone were to take your innocent child or pet and force it to undergo cruel toxic testing? NO CREATURE, HUMAN OR OTHERWISE DESERVES TO SUFFER IN THE CRUEL TESTS CONDUCTED BY YOUR ORGANIZATION. PLEASE ACT WITH COMPASSION AND STOP CONDUCTING CRUEL, UNECCESARY TESTS.

WOULD YOU LIKE TO BE TESTED ON? NO, SO WHY WOULD YOU DO IT TO OTHERS? ANIMALS CAN FEEL PAIN JUST AS MUCH AS YOU OR I- THINK OF THAT PAIN AND MAKE YOUR DECISION ABOUT WHETHER YOU CHOOSE YOU CONTINUE ON A PATH IN LIFE THAT IS TERRIBLE AND INHUMANE, OR CHOOSE TO STOP CAUSING HARM TO ANIMALS.

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

* The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

* The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

* A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Thank you for your time, and I hope you'll make the right ethical decision.

Sincerely,

Amanda Hardman

Take the effort out of being organized with MSN® Calendar. [MSN Premium](#): Join now and get the first two months FREE*

Scott Masten

Subject: STOP TESTING ON NEWBORN PRIMATES

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:02 PM

From: A M Netzer <amnetzer@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to respectfully request that you stop executing tests on all, and particularly newborn, primates. These animals are alive, with relationships, feelings, intellectual capacities.

Sincerely,

A. Barton

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/50x/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/efficiency.html> - 50x more storage than other providers!

Scott Masten

Subject: testing on non-human primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:58 PM

From: alison.munday@nysna.org

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs,

I'm respectfully requesting that you do not proceed with the recommendations made in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Animals are not test tubes to be tortured with horrific and ceaseless tests. It is time to put an end to this needless, and gratuitous torture, governed by the FDA, APA and so-called 'private individuals.' This is the most archaic practice that an apparently 'civilized' country can engage in. Please stop - you should be ashamed. And we the public, are largely disgusted.

Alison Munday
PO Box 14
Esperance, NY 12066

Scott Masten

Subject: Cruel Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:57 PM

From: Pattie S. <cakes488@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. MASTEN:

I Urge you to STOP the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

These tests are crude and outdated of chemical toxicity.

You actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. AND WHY IS THIS?? TO SPEND MORE OF MY TAX DOLLARS???

Especially when a lot of them are plant extracts that have already been used without harm for generations.

Why are proposals allowed to be considered to RETEST already known hazards such as turpentine and antifreeze?

As a taxpaying citizen of the United States...I demand an answer to this letter.

Pattie Bauer
72 Ribbon Street
Franklin Square, NY 11010

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop the unnecessary cruelty

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:57 PM

From: Cassandra F. <mynapdragon@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden and Dr. Masten:

Please stop these cruel and unnecessary toxicology tests. Not only are the current tests you perform cruel and unnecessary, but the most recent recommendations from the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination are absolutely ridiculous! What a waste of money and pain!

Who has ever been toxically ill from grapeseed? Furthermore, who does not know that turpentine is toxic? There's no need for fancy, unnecessary experiments to try to prove a point, and spending money on tests for products that everyone on the planet knows are toxic, is a huge waste of money and shows that the organization is more concerned with spending money than helping people.

Animals are not for testing, and if the general public knew what goes on in your labs, they'd be appalled. Would you want to put the family dog in place of that baby primate?

Please stop these tests immediately.

Cassandra Franks

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
<http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop poisoning tests on newborn primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 3:01 PM

From: Cindy & Steve <CindySteve@GoldenPictures.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten,

Please stop all poisoning tests on newborn primates and all animals. These tests are redundant, excessive, cruel and horribly inhumane. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cindy & Steve Golden

125 S. Racine Ave.

Chicago, IL 60607

(312) 226-8240

312 226 8255 fax

CindySteve@GoldenPictures.com

Scott Masten

Subject: FW: Stop poinsoning newborn primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:57 PM

From: Jim Hanson <hansonj@hansonmed.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Hanson [<mailto:hansonj@hansonmed.com>] <mailto:hansonj@hansonmed.com>]

Sent: Friday, October 01, 2004 2:55 PM

To: 'olden@niehs.nih.gov'

Subject: Stop poinsoning newborn primates

I urge you to stop experiments and studies whereby you actually poison newborn primates.

Most citizens and professionals (according to studies and surveys I have seen) consider this rather barbaric and backward behavior in a so-called civilized society.

Dr. Jim Hanson

Florida

Scott Masten

Subject: Newborn primate poison testing must be stopped.

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:56 PM

From: Carrie Powell <revcarrie75@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please, for the love of decency, stop treating these primate newborns as if they requested to be present at these inhumane tests. You must be a very unhappy person if you find it necessary to torture animals this way. If testing must be done, use human subjects. If no human test subjects surface, it is obvious to me that it is unnecessary to manufacture these products.PERIOD.

Thank you,

Carrie Powell

Scott Masten

Subject: Re:NTP Animal Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:56 PM

From: Bumper8220@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hello-Please stop these terrible tests on the poor defenseless animals.Thanks-Rich Heaning LI NY 11758-1026

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:54 PM

From: Carole G-S <carole0505@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

It's trime to halt this unnecessary barbarism.

Carole G-S

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE! <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENUS/2731??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing - NOT!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:55 PM

From: Roy Lieber <relieber@cox.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I respectfully would like to remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I would also demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Roy E. Lieber
Phoenix, Az

Scott Masten

Subject: Testing on animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:55 PM

From: Jennifer Jones <jjones@edgewoodvalleycc.com>

Reply-To: jjones@edgewoodvalleycc.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Olden and Dr. Masten,

As a member of PETA I would respectfully like to urge you to stop tesing on animals. PETA has informed its members of the tests approved in June that your organization is planning on conducting. If the information PETA stated in the letter is factual, which I believe it to be, then I must say that I am shocked that obviously intelligent and talented scientists take suggestions from the general public regarding which chemicals and substances to use as torture against innocent and defenseless animals. We already are aware that turpentine and anitfreeze are harmful to human beings. Humans have been using grapeseed and pine bark extract as dietary supplements for years without harm. Please do not squander away time, financial funding, and most importantly - the lives and health of animals in the name of useless and redundant experiments.

As the daughter of a breast cancer survivor, I know firsthand the devastation that such a disease can have an individual and family. I urge you to limit your experiments to researching possible treatments (and God willing) cures for diseases and illnesses that we know can kill human beings. And, please only use animals when absolutely necessary and keep their pain, suffering, and discomfort to a minimum. I know you became research scientists to help people and society - not to torture animals.

Thank you for your time.

Respectfully,

Jennifer L. Jones

LaGrange, Illinois

Scott Masten

Subject: Please pull the plug on testing on newborn primates. Test it on newborn babies instead.

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:54 PM

From: Eric K. <Eric1704@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please follow the subject matter.

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop animal tests due to June NTP Interagency Recommendations ASAP!!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:53 PM

From: V Marcol <vmanimal88@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)

Dear Doctors Olden and Masten:

It has come to my attention that the National Toxicology Program (NTP) is proposing animal tests of substances whose effects are already well-documented, whether they be benign or damaging.

I ask that you immediately terminate the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Unnecessarily subjecting animals to invasive, painful tests and death is unethical and inhumane. It is also a waste of time and money, both of which could be used to do necessary and helpful research. Please reconsider these tests and end them right away.

There is absolutely no reason to test and retest substances on sentient beings simply because someone or an organization asked that the test to be performed. I also ask that you stop actively encouraging this process.

I look forward to your response.
Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Venessa Sherman
241 W. 108th St.
New York, NY 10025

Is your PC infected? Get a FREE online computer virus scan from McAfee® Security. <http://clinic.mcafee.com/clinic/ibuy/campaign.asp?cid=3963>

Scott Masten

Subject: Annual Toxicology Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:51 PM

From: Harry Alleva <halleva@cogeco.ca>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Sir, I am informed that annually individuals, organizations, etc. nominate/indicate which/what chemical agents they would like see tested. Were the testing not conducted on animals but on willing subjects I would have no quarrel. But to subject defenseless animals to often repeated tests and useless experiments is truly a testament to where we are as a society. I urge you to end these annual tests and do your part to further this society to evolve into a more compassionate one. I close with the words of Dr. Albert Schweitzer.

"Until he extends the circle of his compassion to all living things, man will not himself find peace"

Albert Schweitzer.

Scott Masten

Subject: Please Read

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:52 PM

From: Kirsten E. Hendricks <khendricks@keystone.edu>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Scott Masten,

I'm sending you this email to ask that the NTP please stop conducting poisoning tests and experimentation on animals. I am a college student from Pennsylvania, and I am greatly troubled by the fact that so many animals are currently being used in such practices that are sometimes not even necessary. I think it is safe to say that such things as turpentine and antifreeze are harmful to the human body, and poor, innocent animals should not have to suffer in the retesting of such substances. With all the progression of technology in our world today, I'm sure the you and all the many other intelligent individuals affiliated with the NTP could come up with a better, cruelty-free method for testing substances for toxicity. I firmly believe that if people began to appreciate animals more and value life, the world would be a better place.

I ask that you don't just dismiss my email as the ramblings of a know-nothing teenager, but rather please consider what I've asked. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Kirsten Hendricks

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:51 PM

From: Karen aka "gyprosa" <gyprosa@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

PULL the plug on horrible primate tests !!!

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail is new and improved - Check it out! <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/new/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail>

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:53 PM

From: brenners <brenners@optonline.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

We implore you to pull the plug on the animal poisoning. Animals are sentient beings and are entitled to respect, not torturous pain and isolation. Please do the humane thing. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Natasha and Noah Brenner

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:48 PM

From: kss03m@garnet.acns.fsu.edu

Reply-To: kss03m@garnet.acns.fsu.edu

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten,

I am writing this email to ask you to please reconsider conducting tests of chemical toxicity on animals. There are numerous non-animal tests that can be used as an alternative. Animals are not ours to experiment on. They can feel both pain and pleasure and therefore have rights.

Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

I strongly urge you to consider non-animal chemical toxicity tests.
Thank you for your attention in this matter.

Sincerely,
Karen Shudes
MS Biology
Florida State University

I am not interested to know whether vivisection produces results that are profitable to the human race or doesn't. ... The pain which it inflicts upon unconsenting animals is the basis of my enmity toward it, and it is to me sufficient justification of the enmity without looking further."

~Mark Twain

Scott Masten

Subject: Toxic tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:49 PM

From: Ernie <ern@warwick.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten:

Please do not sponsor or take part in toxic tests on live animals. I believe this is so cruel and would not want the benefits if the tests are done that way. I am sure you can find another method.

Thank you.

Lucy Muller

ern@warwick.net

Subject: FW: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:43 PM

From: donald curmi <donalddurmi@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <donalddurmi@hotmail.com>

From: "PETA" <newsmanager@peta.org>

To: <donalddurmi@hotmail.com>

Subject: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Fri, 01 Oct 2004 12:20:48 -0500

Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Your letter is needed by October 19, 2004!

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze. True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Your voice can make a difference for animals! Please take a few moments today to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP

Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director

National Toxicology Program

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov
Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov
Thank you for helping animals,
Troy Seidle
Biological Science Advisor
People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals
[<http://www.stopanimaltests.com>]
Go here to leave this mailing list or modify your email profile:
<http://cl.extm.us/accountinfo.asp?fe2311727d6d077e751377>
This e-mail was sent by:PETA
501 Front St Norfolk, VA, 23510, United States

Scott Masten

Subject: testing of poisons/chemicals on newborn primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:41 PM

From: Mom5661@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please pull the plug on poisoning tests on newborn primates! Please use every other means to test chemicals. If testing data is already available, please don't duplicate these tests again. Animals do have feelings and we don't need to hurt them unnecessarily. Please find other ways to test things like exposing living tissue samples, etc., this is the 21st century, we should be able to develop a better way of dealing with testing on animals.

Sincerely,

La'Ise Galang

a concerned humanitarian and animal owner

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP toxicity trials

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:40 PM

From: Sandi Wurtz <swurtz@aera.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dear Dr. Masten:

I am deeply troubled by reports that NTP is planning a round of trials recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. These yearly trials are notorious for their redundancy and inflicting needless suffering on animal subjects.

As we see the federal deficit explode it is obvious there will be severe cuts in federal funding to a number of programs. I hope that your agency will reconsider the proposed trials as well as future years animal subject trial recommendations. I will be making it very clear to my representative and senators that such repetitive work as is being proposed by NTP wastes scarce federal resources and causes needless suffering to helpless creatures.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

Sincerely,

Sandi Wurtz

Email: swurtz@aera.net

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop the testing.

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:39 PM

From: JCHBlock <jchblock@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masden:

Please stop the animal tests which were recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Animals are living creatures with feelings, who feel pain, and who suffer as we do. This testing is cruel and unnecessary.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Stuart and Judith Block

Scott Masten

Subject: please stop the planned animal tests.

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:39 PM

From: JCHBlock <jchblock@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden:

Please stop the animal tests which were recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Animals are living creatures with feelings, who feel pain, and who suffer as we do. This testing is cruel and unnecessary.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Sincerely,

Dr. Stuart and Judith Block

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:39 PM

From: Carie Matriciano <cutehoneybeeee@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

STOP ANIMAL TESTING

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:38 PM

From: Anna Drechsler <adrechsler2003@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs,

I urge you to reconsider testing a chemical substances on animals, especially primates.

The animals feel the pain and suffer just as humans do. It is cruel and insensitive to treat them as the test objects. We, the humans have the GFod given obligation to be a good stewards for the animals, which are given to serve us on the Earth.

I am sure there are ways to test chemicals through computer simulations and in the test tubes. Please opt for good deeds.

Thank you,

Anna Drechsler

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:38 PM

From: Mward5358@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers and I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Thank you

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:38 PM

From: Judy Kinsman <zoojudy@ktc.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing to remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and am strongly requesting that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Please, Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Thank you very much for considering the suffering of these precious and intelligent creatures.

Judy Kinsman
Ingram, TX 78025

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:38 PM

From: Marilyn <glasgow1015@rogers.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing concerning the testing on innocent animals of toxic substances, most of which have been around for years and years. Also, actually asking people to nominate products to be tested!! How awful! Aren't there enough animals being already tortured and abused by humans for their own unfathomable reasons? This is the 21st. century, and I think it is time to come out of the dark ages. This testing on animals is nothing but cruel and inhuman and anyone who participates in it should be thoroughly ASHAMED. Do you people, (all of you who do this type of nasty business) have children or pets? Have they learned that it is alright to abuse animals in this way? Also, I am disappointed to know that the EPA and NIH take part in this travesty. I didn't realize they that they promoted that kind of thing. Please show some compassion and humanness and put a stop to this for the sake of the animals.

Marilyn Glasgow

London, Ontario, Canada.

Scott Masten

Subject: Chemicals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:37 PM

From: Barbara Steward <barbara@dandbsteward.f2s.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

You have much info already on these substances and can do many tests without causing terror and pain to animals.

Please don't do it..

Barbara Steward

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:36 PM

From: Kimberly Leach <kagl0110@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I would like to remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

I have pets of my own and would hope that they wouldn't be tested upon. Animals are slowly being wiped out from testing. The thing that bothers me the most is that the tests are cruel and unusual punishment, we don't even use this with humans that have killed others. I would hope for this generation that we would learn and teach the future generations that cruelty to animals is not the answer. Everyday this world we live in is creating new ways for testing without using animals. Let's make sure that no more animals are harmed with cruel testing.

Kim

On the road to retirement? Check out MSN Life Events for advice on how to get there! <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENUS/2749??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: Toxicology Program

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:42 PM

From: Tezel_Ar@se.hroc.navy.mil

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

I would like to respectfully remind the NTP that animals are living creatures that feel pain and hurt just like we do and they are NOT test tubes. I strongly urge you to pull the plug on the poisoning tests on newborn primates recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Retesting well-known hazardous substances and causing unnecessary suffering and death to thousands of defenseless animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity is unconscionable and a total waste of taxpayers' money! Please have a little compassion for animals and stop wasting taxpayers' hard-earned dollars.

Thank you,

Ms. Tezel Relyea
201 Glenwood Drive
Carriere, MS 39426

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:36 PM

From: Michelle Duplissis <mduplissis@irex.org>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten and Dr. Olden,

I am writing to you today to ask you to stop the planned tests on animals recommended to you in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

I am not sure if you have any pets at home, but if you do, I urge you to think about the test subjects in your labs in the same way you do your pets. Animals are not ours to use and abuse. There are other ways to determine whether or not something is dangerous. As highly educated scientists, I am sure you can see how ridiculous it is to torture animals with substances we know are not good for human or animal consumption. Why test these things when common sense dictates they are not good for ingestion?

Additionally, there are substances that have been used in "folk" medicine for many years which anecdotal evidence shows are perfectly safe and beneficial when taken in the appropriate doses. Why would you waste taxpayer money and your time inflicting harm on living beings when you know the substances are safe in appropriate amounts?

Please do not test these substances on animals. Please look into other ways to test the toxicity of substances that are not as well known or understood, and have these methods be cruelty free.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,
Michelle Duplissis

4901 Seminary Road # 1205
Alexandria, VA 22311

Scott Masten

Subject: A request

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:34 PM

From: JudithCST@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I can only imagine the pain and terror these poor little animals must endure. What I can't imagine is how someone could subject them to it in good conscience.

There has to be a more humane way to test products. Please reconsider. We really should be more respectful of the creatures we share this planet with.

**Judith Rock
966 Auburn Woods Drive
Palatine, IL 60067**

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:35 PM

From: Robert Rutkowski <rutkowski@terraworld.net>

Reply-To: "Robert Rutkowski" <rutkowski@terraworld.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: Nancy Pelosi <sf.nancy@mail.house.gov>, "George W. Bush" <president@whitehouse.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

Dear Gentlemen:

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

I remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and ask that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you for the opportunity to bring these remarks to your attention.

Mindful of the enormous responsibilities which stand before you, I am,

Yours sincerely,
Robert E. Rutkowski

cc:
Nancy Pelosi
Andrew H. Card, Jr.

2527 Faxon Court
Topeka, Kansas 66605-2086
P/F: 1 785 379-9671
r_e_rutkowski@myrealbox.com

Scott Masten

Subject: About this company

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:32 PM

From: Frederique Ouellet <ever_girl11@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Each year, You, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spend millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. You also actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

Please, stop this torture, do you have a dog, a cat, do you have kids? well, actually, i'm sure your kids wouldn't like to hear what you company is doing. You should think about stopping those cruel tests. Is it really useful? Animals aren't things, they are living creatures like you and me, they feel pain, they want to live. The only thing they can't do is make their rights respected. Please, respect animal rights. We should let them live and leave them alone.

Scan and help eliminate destructive viruses from your inbound and outbound e-mail and attachments.

http://join.msn.com/?pgmarket=en-ca&page=byoa/prem&xAPID=1994&DI=1034&SU=http://hotmail.com/enca&HL=Market_MSNIS_Taglines

Start enjoying all the benefits of MSN(r) Premium right now and get the first two months FREE*.

Scott Masten

Subject: Recommended testing by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:32 PM

From: Jeanne Choo-Yin <jchooyin@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

**Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental and Health Sciences**

**Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental and Health Sciences
Stop testing poisons on animals!!!!**

Torturing animals is inhumane and a common sense of decency should prevail. Pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Stop spending millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. These tests are cruel and inhuman.

Jeanne Choo-Yin
Ricardo Choo-Yin
Yemaya Choo-Yin

Free yourself from those irritating pop-up ads with MSN Premium: <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENCA/2734??PS=47575>> Join now and get the first two months FREE*

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:32 PM

From: Gina Davis <gdavis@bader-rutter.com>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Priority: Highest

Good Afternoon,

I am writing you in response to an e-mail I received from Peta. This e-mail indicates that NTP would like to conduct needless animal testing for Chemical Evaluation. I know this e-mail will probably mean nothing to you. However, I would not be able to sleep tonight, unless I tried.

All I would like you to do is consider the pain and suffering you will be putting those animals through. They too are living, breathing, feeling beings. Would you conduct these tests on humans? No, you wouldn't. So why do you feel that you have the right to subject that on an animal. Especially, when they do not have the ability or power to stop you. Animals look to us for love and compassion. They are helpless when it comes to the modern world, and people like you only take advantage of that. Do you have a pet? Think about if your dog, cat, rabbit, etc. where put though what you are going to put other animal though? How does that make you feel?

I just hope that you go to bed every night knowing that you are creating suffering and pain. God did not put animals on this earth for us to torture!

Please stop this before it is to late. If we can put an end to one experiment, then we have at least saved a few animals lives.

Thank you for taking the time to read this.

Gina Davis
Lake Geneva, Wisconsin

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:32 PM

From: Dede Reade <Dede_Reade@newton.mec.edu>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden and Dr. Masten:

This email is in response to an action alert from the People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals (PETA) regarding the animals tests recommended in June by the National Toxicology Program Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Given some of the examples PETA outlined in its alert, I urge you to stop spending taxpayers funds on proposals that involve the use of plant and diet extracts that have been used without harm for many years, as well as re-testing already known hazardous substances. Animals have suffered enough abuse at the hands of humans and subjecting them even further to unnecessary testing is unacceptable.

Thank you for taking the time to consider my comments.

Dede Reade

Scott Masten

Subject: tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:31 PM

From: Amie Shepard <amie_shepard@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

The NTP,s latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals.

Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination!!!!

If you wouldn't do it to a human - then it shouldn't be done to an animal.

Remember the Golden Rule. Do unto others as you would have others do unto you.

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: Petition

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:30 PM

From: jschrader <jschrader@geometix.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Masten:

I thank you before hand for reading this petition. I have copied and pasted a report that I just got from a very reliable source and I want to share it specially with you:

„Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What,s worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grape seed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze. True to form, the NTP,s latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „per fluorinated compounds‰ for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed‰ widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

- ☐ A „private individual‰ recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract‰ undergo „sub chronic‰ toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.‰

I politely want to remain you that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I demand as a taxpayer and human being to pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely,

Johanna Schrader.

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:28 PM

From: Carrie Shea <af3919@wayne.edu>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs:

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- o The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- o The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- o A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Please understand that animals are not test tubes with

whiskers, and please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you for helping animals,

Carrie Shea
White Lake, MI

Scott Masten

Subject: Toxicology Program

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:28 PM

From: Jjhf9@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program

Dear Dr. Masten:

Please, for heaven's sake, stop doing toxicology studies on primates! Even rats deserve humane treatment at least. And there are enough studies on toxicology to satisfy any demand.

Think of the animals.

Sign,

JJ Flowers (Novels: Jennifer Horsman. My newest novel, CAGED is represented by Rob McQuilkin of Lippincott McQuilkin & Co., 80 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1101, New York, New York 10011.)

Dr. John Flowers IRB Chairman Chapman University

Jaime Flowers

Jonpaul Flowers

Phoebe Horsman

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Recommendations

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:26 PM

From: Christine Roane <cmroane@cool-universe.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten:

I am writing specifically to request that you show bold leadership by pulling the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Please put an end to this medieval practice that is scientifically devoid of medical relevance to human beings.

Thank you for your attention to this important issue.

Sincerely,

Christine M. Roane
120 Mooreland Street
Springfield, MA 01104
413-733-3045 / cmroane@cool-universe.com

Scott Masten

Subject: Testing of AnimalsI am

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:24 PM

From: Donna Hilyard <dhilyard@jrdavis.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am asking that you cease all testing that was to be implemented relative to your June meeting. I do understand the need for clinical tests in certain situations, but this or these I deem do not fall into that category. We are stewards of our animals, not tormentors.

Thank you for your favorable actions.

Donna Hilyard

Donna M. Hilyard, AAI

Assistant Vice President

J. Rolfe Davis Insurance

850 Concourse Pkwy., South, Ste #200

Maitland, FL 32751

Voice 407.691.9830

Fax 407.691.9730

Note: The information contained in this message may be privileged, confidential and protected from disclosure. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. J. Rolfe Davis Insurance cannot bind coverage via fax, phone, and voice mail or email systems.

Replies Filtered: Any reply or other e-mail communication to us will be electronically filtered for "spam" and/or "viruses". That filtering process may result in such reply or other e-mail communication being quarantined (i.e., potentially not received at our site at all) and/or delayed in reaching us.

Scott Masten

Subject: important

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:26 PM

From: Tom Keating <Tjkeating1@adelphia.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I'm outraged at what I've learned about the animal testing you actively promote-it's absolutely disgusting to use animals in these types of tests- I implore you to cease these unethical practices immediately. Sincerely, Thomas Keating

Scott Masten

Subject: Testing - Please Read

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:26 PM

From: G&D Williams <Bronallt@farm9.fsnet.co.uk>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Priority: Highest

Please read my email before discarding along with many other messages you have had regarding animal testing. Please read through and appreciate that we are not 'people who have a heart', we are people who have a voice that can speak up for animals.

PLEASE STOP ALL THIS TESTING ON ANIMALS!! Dont get me wrong I not sitting here typing away furiously thinking about 'the poor little animals getting hurt' - I am wondering why on earth these tests are continued in this manner when you already have results from many previous tests recorded. And surely there must be an uptodate method of analysing the same chemical without animal involvement? Is it lack of money? Is that why this 'ancient' method is still currently used? If it is, then please do something to change this - it is not right!

As we have seen over many years (and tests/experiements) animals have emotions, feel anxiety/ scared/ frightened just as humans do. What gives us the right to treat these poor defenceless creatures in a way that we wouldnt do ourselves? And I say defenceless because animals do not have a voice/right to defend themselves against us - the humans always win. What life is that? How dare we breed a life, stick it in a cold cage and watch intently as the animal painfully responds to toxic chemicals?!

I can appreciate tests/experiements are crucial to discovery and science (I myself currently work in Cancer Research), but please try to change the way these are carried out. **STOP THE CRUELTY TO ANIMALS - PLEASE!**

Mrs D Williams

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop inhumane animal testing!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:25 PM

From: Dawn Dillon <Dawn@DOURON.COM>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please, please, please put a stop to the inhumane practice of testing chemicals and toxicity on animals! They are suffering - look into their eyes as you are poisoning them!!! I can't sleep thinking about it let alone the person who does this evil to the innocent creatures!

Scott Masten

Subject: STOP THIS

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:25 PM

From: Tchmm@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, non animal tests are more effective and cheaper, and I strongly request that you support ending the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Mike McCartin
3134 Grandview Drive
Fort Wayne, IN 46804

Scott Masten

Subject: TEST ANIMALS-

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:24 PM

From: Larson, Lucy <Lucye_Larson@icgcomm.com>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Priority: Highest

With the way our computers can do such incredible formulas for DNA and forensic research WITHOUT the use of animals, it would encouraged for you to see the reason for NOT USING LIVE VICTIMS.

A young man recently told of his discoveries on his advanced Science Lab Computer that all tests and results of those tests of new products can be tested "VIRTUALLY" through the use of the technical advances we have made in the computer world TOOLS & agenda. You put in any DNA of any animal or life form, bump it up against the chemicals, or new medicine being tested and the computer would give both the good and bad results of that test without sacrificing one animal!!!

PLEASE CONSIDER the inhumane actions that you perform in the name of SCIENCE. It may be cheaper your way - but a lot less human to torture these poor unsuspecting victims who look up at you with trust in their eyes, as you inject your toxic chemicals in to their living bodies. How do you deal with such things is beyond me. Not when there is an ALTERNATIVE WAY to get the same results!

Self-trust is the first secret of success.

- Ralph Waldo Emerson

Lucy E Larson

ICG COMMUNICATIONS

Audit Tech-Engineer II

Operations Receivables

Direct: 303-414-8033

Lucye_Larson@icgcomm.com

Scott Masten

Subject: Un-needed Testing!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:24 PM

From: Monica Minning <MMinning@synovainc.com>

To: "'olden@niehs.nih.gov'" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

In your words please explain to me "a concerned American Citizen" why in this day an age are we doing un- needed testing on poor defenseless animals?

It is bad enough that millions of animals need to suffer everyday in humans hands from abuse and neglect - and on some account I can even understand when "New" products are introduced to the public the "small amount" of testing that needs to be done to prove it safe. But what I do not understand is the needless testing over and over again of chemicals, dietary supplements and well know hazardous substances such as turpentine and anti freeze by re-introduced in testing when we already know the horrible end results?

It is un-caring people like you which upset's people like me, but now let me give you the chance to explain to me and others about the Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates? What if this was one of your pets, would that be OK to test un-needly on them, or worse yet one of your children?

Animals are not Test Tubes with Whiskers - They have hearts, feel pain, and try trusting in humans prior to them being put through the cruel in-humane treatment for you and yours to make a quick buck!

What is your side of the story?

Monica Minning
A strong PETA supporter!
mminning@synovainc.com

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop Animal tests!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:21 PM

From: Sawtooth Tye <sawtoothtye@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director

National Toxicology Program

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01

Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

919-541-2260 (fax)

Dr. Scott Masten

Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection

National Toxicology Program

National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07

Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

919-541-3647 (fax)

I would like to remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sarah Tye

Get ready for school! Find articles, homework help and more in the Back to School Guide! <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENUS/2743??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop these cruel and unnecessary animal tests!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:21 PM

From: Judith Gottesman <judithrg@yahoo.com>

Reply-To: judithrg@yahoo.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Judith Gottesman

San Diego, CA

Scott Masten

Subject: testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:16 PM

From: tawny dewey <tawnydewey@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten,

I am writing this to urge you to stop your testing of well known chemicals on baby animals (or animals for that fact). It is inhumane and would cause me and other consumers that I shop with to not purchase such items. And isn't that what it is all about..money? It is cruel and I hope that you will find other measures in your practice so that you will better be able to sleep at night. They may cost a little more, but won't the lack of suffering be worth it? Tawny Garrard

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail - You care about security. So do we.

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: Junes recommendation

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:21 PM

From: CRISSY PHILLIPS <crissy19_68@yahoo.com>

Reply-To: phillips.christine@sbcglobal.yahoo.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I demand that you will pull the plug on animal testing recommended in June by the NTP inter agency committee for chemical evaluation and coordination. animals are not test tubes with whiskers there living breathing creatures like you and me, who feel pain and suffering. in this case it is for no reason ,these poor animals should not suffer all over again for chemicals already know to man as toxic. there is no need for re-testing. and i would hope with all the latest technology that you could come up with other forms of testing which other company's use besides a living creature like yourself. PULL THE PLUG, ITS THE RIGHT THING TO DO. I hope you can set an example make a difference.
thank you for your time and concern

Christine Phillips

Scott Masten

Subject: miscellaneous studies

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 5:18 PM

From: smoeller <smoeller@columbus.rr.com>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: "Olden, Ken (NIH/NIEHS)" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten & Dr. Kenneth Olden
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07 & B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

I would like to request that my tax dollars not be spent on poisoning animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. I would also like to ask you not to encourage people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. The majority has no basis for making such recommendations let alone an incling of what the practical application in animals REALLY looks like. Instead, devise a creative plan that is not harmful to creatures great and small to continue receiving your federal funding. I would be impressed and i know i am not the only one, especially when you would finally avoid repeat and duplication testing.

* turn down Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

* turn down perfluorinated compounds studies, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, because large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

* turn down subchronic toxicity studies of bitter orange extract as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Thank you for caring enough to make a difference for animals and their plight as mere test subjects! Please abandon all animal test recommendations of the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination of June 2004.

Dr. Susan Fixler
Columbus, Ohio

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:20 PM

From: Oaknim Lamagna <kahman_yumsoh@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Masten,

I am writing to ask you to not conduct the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. I feel that it's illogical to test chemicals on animals for the simple reasons that they are undeserving of such treatment and that the results gained from animal testing really doesn't apply to humans. I am different than a dog or a monkey or a rat....just because it's harmful or not harmful to them, doesn't mean that it will have the same effects on humans.

Please don't follow through with these tests. It's not fair to animals or humans. Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Oaknim Lamagna
Hutchinson, Kansas

Do you Yahoo!?

Read only the mail you want - Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/spamguard/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/protection.html> .

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:20 PM

From: Dianna <diannalpw@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I'm writing today to request that the animals tests recommended in June by the NTP Intergency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination be stopped.

The old-fashioned, useless, unethical era of animal testing is coming to an end. It would be great to see your agency in the forefront of the movement away from these irresponsible methods.

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail - 100MB free storage!

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP & primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:20 PM

From: Jason Williams <jaymon@us.ibm.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Folks:

I was hoping that you could encourage the NTP to discontinue its horrid practice of poisoning newborn primates in the name of scientific "*progress*". To encourage the sustenance of life by destroying another is not only counterintuitive, it is downright offensive. Let's ignore the sandwichboardmen of yesterday's scientific revolution and promote scientific experimentation and results founded through research and analysis as opposed to animal testing. Where does the destruction of life end? Should we use hardened criminals such as rapists and murderers as opposed to innocent animals? Should we destroy any life at all?

The CCF erroneously accosts PETA and other welfare groups that place importance on animal rights. The CCF claims that PETA is influencing children and other youngsters with its "*poisonous message*" of compassion and duty to all life on this planet. My goodness, I hope that is an accurate statistic. The more people who join PETA and its war on animal cruelty, the better.

Thank you.

Jason Williams
55 Meyer Avenue
Poughkeepsie, NY 12603
(845) 452-2537

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:19 PM

From: Laurent OShea - Sherman Oaks (LO) <loshea@metrocity.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear sir:

We all know there are alternative ways to test chemical. I would urge you to reconsider the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

I Thank You in advance for your compassion.

Together we can make this world a better place for all its inhabitants

Sincerely

Larry O'Shea

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This communication and any documents, files or previous e-mail messages attached to it, constitute an electronic communication within the scope of the Electronic Communication Privacy Act, 18 USCA 2510. This communication may contain non-public, confidential, or legally privileged information intended for the sole use of the designated recipient(s). The unlawful interception, use or disclosure of such information is strictly prohibited pursuant to 18 USCA 2511 and any applicable laws. If you are not the intended recipient, or have received this communication in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email and delete all copies of this communication, including attachments, without reading them or saving them to disk.

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:16 PM

From: Kay.Collins@ky.gov

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing you both to beg of you to reconsider the cruel animal testing that so many animals have suffered through. Please do not allow the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

For the animals,
Kay Collins

Scott Masten

Subject: unbelievable

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:15 PM

From: KARI.THEIN@spps.org

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

It continues to amaze me that living in the United States of America, there are still people with such narrow minds exhibiting such crude, barbaric behavior.

It doesn't take a rocket scientist to tell the world you shouldn't drink anti-freeze, ingest poison, or smear gasoline in your eyes - duh!

Why don't you collect the data from emergency vet room on accidental ingestion instead of intentionally causing an innocent animal to suffer

horribly at the hands of your pathetic testing laboratories.

Come on guys, let's stop wasting our precious tax payer dollars on killing animals and use the funds to buy food for the poor or house the homeless.

Better yet, why don't we shave off all the hair on a dog and put him outside, without shelter or food and see what

happens in 30 below weather. Guess what Kenneth & Scott, you don't have to do that, we have human beings in every city who already fit the bill on that one.

These animals are God's creatures! They do not deserve this torture. I truly wonder how you sleep at night, is your conscience clear?

Take a moment to find the empathy within your soul, it is a wonderful gift we were all given at birth.

Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates! In case you have not heard, we live in a civilized world here in America!

Sincerely,

Kari Thein, Minnesota

Scott Masten

Subject: National Toxicology Program

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:14 PM

From: Shaun Runkel <runkdogg@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs,

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers! Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you for you time,

**Shaun Runkel
runkdogg@yahoo.com**

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:14 PM

From: Celenia Pendygraft <clpendygraft@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir,

All work in science has its costs. We need to respect God's creativity in all life and respect the innocent animals who pay the price, sometimes unnecessarily. Is the project involving the poisoning of newborn primates going to be worth the price that they pay. Their life needs to be factored into the scientific equation.

Celenia Pendygraft

New Albany, IN 47150

Celenia

Scott Masten

Subject: Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:12 PM

From: Nada Polanco <npvp2001@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden and Dr. Masten:

I recently learned that you conduct cruel, unnecessary chemical tests on animals.

I kindly ask you to reconsider these barbaric tests. They do not serve any purpose except to be cruel to the animals you are testing on. With today's advanced technology, there are surely other means of testing these chemicals.

Respectfully,
Nada Polanco

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail - 100MB free storage!

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: chemical tests using animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:12 PM

From: Theronris@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Surely in time the government will note the quality (sic) of your allocations of money used in testing animals and your funding will be reduced if not eliminated. I certainly wish I could keep you from using one penny of my tax money. Where are your morals and feelings for fellow sentient beings! Theron Caldwell Ris

Scott Masten

Subject: Ban animal tests!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:08 PM

From: Leonard Thornton <celestialleo@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

I think it is time to pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Animal were not put on this earth to be used as test tubes and guinea pigs, maimed and tortured to satisfy a monstrous human profit machine!!

Leonard Thornton

Take advantage of powerful junk e-mail filters built on patented Microsoft® SmartScreen Technology.

http://join.msn.com/?pgmarket=en-ca&page=byoa/prem&xAPID=1994&DI=1034&SU=http://hotmail.com/enca&HL=Market_MSNIS_Taglines

Start enjoying all the benefits of MSN® Premium right now and get the first two months FREE*.

Scott Masten

Subject: National Toxicology Program (NTP)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:12 PM

From: Ariel Thomas Nessel <anessel@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Drs. Olden and Masten,

Charles Darwin said that „There is no fundamental difference between man and the higher animals in their mental faculties... The lower animals, like man, manifestly feel pleasure and pain, happiness and misery.‰ Torturing animals to prolong human life for the supposed purpose of making our society healthy and safer, I believe, has separated science from the most important thing that life has produced...the human conscience! And while at one point it was believed that the only way to test the toxic effect of chemicals, without doing harm to humans, was by using animals. There now exists numerous non-animal ways to accomplish the same end. And the sooner you adopt these tests (which are already as good as the use of live animals), the better these tests will get with time. Here lies the opportunity to set a new standard for chemical toxicity testing that helps humans without maming animals. And once this precedent is set, more money will flow to such alte! rnatives, which will mean even better tests in the future. The NIEHS should adopt the new non-animal test methods IMMEDIATELY. The non-animal test methods have been considered for almost 20 years, and animals should not continue to suffer and die because of bureaucratic inertia.

While I am happy to hear that the NIEHS recommends using the non-animal method to set the starting dose for further animal- poisoning tests, it does not go far enough. Government agencies should use in vitro cell culture tests to completely replace the use of animals in lethal dose tests. At a minimum, all government agencies that currently require the acute animal-poisoning studies should immediately incorporate the in vitro cell culture method as a transitional means of reducing the number of animals killed and should fully support the use of this method as an eventual replacement for lethal-dose poisoning studies. In particular, the EPA/NIH should immediately incorporate the non-animal cell culture method into its HPV and NTP chemical program, as promised in **its October 1999 agreement with the animal protection community** < <http://www.peta.org/feat/epa/index.html> > .

"I believe I am not interested to know whether vivisection produces results that are profitable to the human race or doesn't. To know that the results are profitable to the race would not remove my hostility to it. The pain which it inflicts upon un-consenting animals is the basis of my enmity toward it, and it is to me sufficient justification of the enmity without looking further. "

Mark Twain said this 100 years ago. And today the same vivisection continues. The science is there to move on to much more ethical tests that are not injurious to other beings. Please, lets not wait another 100 years to make this change.

Thank you very much for considering these facts and ehtical considerations when deciding what test to do on which substances and on which animals.

-Ariel Thomas Nessel and Dr. Rebecca Nessel

Ariel Thomas Nessel
Nessel Development
Multifamily Acquisition and Redevelopment
346 Roosevelt Way
San Francisco, CA 94114
tel: 415.355.1225
fax: 415.355.1224
anessel@yahoo.com

Scott Masten

Subject: PLEASE Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:11 PM

From: valerie gilbert <valeriegilbert@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: valerie gilbert <valeriegilbert@earthlink.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals. Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, PLEASE pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination, and PLEASE CONSIDER BEING A LEADER IN THE MARCH TOWARD A MORE COMPASSIONATE WORLD.

Thank you very much.

Sincerely,

Valerie Gilbert

NYC

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:23 PM

From: Lee Diggs <ldiggs@dtl-inc.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers! Pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination!

Lee Diggs
36400 Gierk Street
Richmond, MI 48062
586-727-9468
jdiggs03@comcast.net

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:11 PM

From: Gemma Piscotti <gemma@7search.com>

Reply-To: <gemma@7search.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Attn:

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07

I urge both of your organization to stop unnecessary testing on animals. I cannot believe that intelligent people in important positions agree to the following:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

I am a human who is humane to other species living on this planet with us. Animals have feelings too and if you cannot understand or comprehend that, then you are far from the intelligence many people are at today. You conduct all of these testings to prove harmful substances are harmful (no kidding), but cannot prove that animals are suffering from your unnecessary testing?

Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Gemma Piscotti
Chicago IL

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:11 PM

From: Vstack@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dear Dr. Masten:

As a concerned board member for several environmental and animal welfare organizations, including International Fund for Animal Welfare and Center for Respect of Life and Environment, I am writing you to request that you reconsider performing animal testing recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. It is my understanding that large amounts of toxicity data on humans and animals are already in existence and repeating tests are unnecessary, unethical under the circumstances and a tremendous waste of time and money.

Sincerely,
Victoria Stack
Washington, DC

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:10 PM

From: George Hummel <george65202@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

Please don't test on (or torture) animals unless it is absolutely necessary. Please have some kind of compassion for the animals.

Thank you for your time and attention.

Sincerely,

George Hummel
Pasadena, CA

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/10/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/efficiency.html> - Send 10MB messages!

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:09 PM

From: Mark Bernstein <MBERNSTEIN@utsa.edu>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Olden-

I write to urge you, in the strongest possible terms, to get NTP to terminate the proposed animal testing scheduled to begin in June. As a Professor of practical ethics at The University of Texas, I am well aware of the usual rationalizations used to try to justify all such tests. They are all bogus and many books (including my own) validate this conclusion. It is not merely that they are cruel and redundant- although they are- but, even more importantly, they reduce members of other species to objects or mere resources whose only reason for being is to serve our human interests. It is simply immoral.

Please do what you can to alleviate this unwarranted suffering.

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Mark Bernstein
Peter T Flawn Professor of Philosophy
University of Texas at San Antonio
San Antonio, TX 78249

Scott Masten

Subject: animal tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:06 PM

From: jujem@juno.com

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I'm writing to urge you to pull the plug on the tests scheduled, and recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Animals are not test tubes..

Please do not add to the unnecessary animal testing that is already performed.

Thank you

Jerri Miller

13847 Bessemer St.

Van Nuys, Ca. 91401

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:09 PM

From: Shannon <shannonkadar@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear sir,

RE: NTP Tests

Please stop the suffering of animals for your tests! Many of the chemicals you are using are already well documented, and running these "experiments" are totally unnecessary. Animals are not test tubes, and endure endless suffering both physical and emotional when exposed.

You are in the position to stop these tests from happening. Many reputable lab and research companies use sophisticated technology to run experiments without harming live creatures, ie: computer programs & simulated animal tissues.

There is no reason to continue this torture today. This is the year 2004! Please, on behalf of all those who suffer under your command, stop these tests!!

Sincerely,

Mrs. Kadar
shannonkadar@yahoo.com

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:07 PM

From: George Leon <george_h_leon@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten:

Dear Dr. Olden:

The NTP,s latest animal testing recommendations include the following:

- ☐ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- ☐ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- ☐ A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Animal testing of this type is inhumane, unnecessary and a waste of taxpayer dollars. I urge the NTP to table the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Respectfully,
George H. Leon, Ph.D.

Do you Yahoo!?

Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!

<http://vote.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop the Killing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:06 PM

From: Diana Dixon <dianadixon7@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I demand that your agency pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Thank you

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail - 50x more storage than other providers!

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:06 PM

From: Lynne <simmons227@bellsouth.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop animal testing!

Lynne Simmons

227 Bandy Rd

Ashland City, TN 37015

Scott Masten

Subject: request

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:06 PM

From: Kim Fry <kimfry@theriver.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop testing on animals, especially since most if not all animal tests are unnecessary.

Sincerely,

Kim Fry

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:59 PM

From: Scott Sigel <sigel@gloria.cord.edu>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Gentlemen:

I received an email from PETA regarding testing on animals. It seems to me from the information in the email that the tests described are unnecessary and something that should be stopped. I urge you to take action to eliminate the unnecessary waste of life within your control.

Scott Sigel
Director of Francophone Studies
Minot State University
500 University Ave. W.
Minot ND 58707

Scott Masten

Subject: National Toxicology Program

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:05 PM

From: Heather Silvio <hlsilvio@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing to request that you cancel the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. It is my understanding that every year millions of our tax dollars are wasted poisoning innocent animals to test chemicals that have extensive histories demonstrating their safety and/or dangerousness. Why is it necessary, for example, to continue to test antifreeze on animals, when we already know how debilitating and deadly it can be for all living beings?

I urge you to reconsider this testing program, to spare the nonhuman animals the guaranteed torture and death. Thank you for your compassion.

Heather Silvio
Hartford, CT

=====

The time will come when men will look upon the murder of animals as they now look on the murder of men.

- Leonardo da Vinci

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail - 50x more storage than other providers!
http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Subject: Fwd: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:04 PM

From: Long Island <brookelynn1971@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

PETA <newsmanager@peta.org> wrote:

From: "PETA"

To:

Subject: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Fri, 01 Oct 2004 12:20:48 -0500

Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates
Your letter is needed by October 19, 2004!

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

* The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

* The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

* A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter

orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Your voice can make a difference for animals! Please take a few moments today to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

Thank you for helping animals,

Troy Seidle
Biological Science Advisor
People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals
StopAnimalTests.com <<http://cl.extm.us/?fe671570776403797112-fe1f1078766c0575741378>>

Go here <<http://cl.extm.us/?fe661570776403797113-fe1f1078766c0575741378>> to
leave this mailing list or modify <<http://cl.extm.us/?fe65157077640379711c-fe1f1078766c0575741378>> your email profile.

This email was sent by: **PETA**
501 Front St Norfolk, VA, 23510, United States

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail is new and improved - Check it out! <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/new/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail>

Scott Masten

Subject: poisoning tests on primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:14 PM

From: wendy cleaver <coolcats@sprint.ca>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Masten:

I am no longer shocked at what we humans will do to other species for the 'so called' good of our own. I am, however, still saddened by it.

I am writing to you today to ask you to stop the above tests. Yes there has to be testing on some substances but not on living, feeling beings. In this day and age I am sure there must be another way of testing without harming anyone.

I am appealing to you, to please find alternatives immediately to stop the suffering of these animals.

yours very sincerely

Wendy Cleaver

coolcats@sprint.ca

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:02 PM

From: natalie adams <natalie@nadesigns.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, I demand that ypu pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Scott Masten

Subject: NATIONAL TOXICOLOGY PROGRAM - POISONING TESTS ON ANIMALS

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:55 PM

From: Rita Bilbrey <bilbreyr@nftinc.com>

To: "Olden, Ken (NIH/NIEHS)" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Priority: Highest

Dear Drs. Kenneth Olden and Scott Masten,

I recently learned that the FDA has recommended a study of the chemical effects of injecting Di-phthalate into newborn primates and/or rats, the EPA has requested a study of perflourinated compounds effects of reproduction on lab animals (there are already numerous data available on animal and human being toxicity), and another study to force-feed various chemicals into animals.

Since computer research is now available with much success in determining toxicity levels, why persist in such archaic chemical or poison studies? Why subject innocent lab animals to continual archaic abuse? I truly do not believe God put animals on earth for mankind to use and abuse. All living creatures feel pain, agony, loneliness, fear.....

So, on behalf of all the innocent animals who cannot speak for themselves, I beg you to please put a stop to such archaic, unnecessary research.

Thank you for your time.

Sincerely,

Rita Bilbrey
207 Virginia Road
Oak Ridge, TN 37830

Scott Masten

Subject: no more suffering for primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:05 PM

From: Ruth Herrera <arherr@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: arherr@earthlink.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir:

I urge you to pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination,

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, why must they have more suffering/

**Very truly yours,
Ruth Herrera**

Ruth Herrera
arherr@earthlink.net
Why Wait? Move to EarthLink.

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:02 PM

From: Alice Briggs <alice_briggs_illustration@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I am opposed to the cruel and unnecessary testing of chemical compounds on animals. I urge you to reconsider the proposed tests by the National Toxicology Program, since many of the results are already known or can be arrived at without sacrificing animals.

Yours truly,

Alice Briggs

FREE pop-up blocking with the new MSN Toolbar - get it now!

<http://toolbar.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200415ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: Dr. Olden and Dr. Masten Re: Chemical Toxicity Tests - reply needed please

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:02 PM

From: Gord and Stacey Broderick <gbrod@shaw.ca>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am sickened and totally outraged to hear that each year the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

We have to put an end to this barbaric form of animal abuse!! Animals are not "test subjects" to be used and abused in any way we see fit. These are living, breathing animals who do feel pain and who deserve more respect than what us sick humans give.

I am not amazed that there have been major actions taken against laboratories who perform these abusive and totally useless tests. Animals Rights groups (in particular the ALF – an extremist animal rights group) do NOT stand for this kind of abuse and make it financially impossible to continue. It is just a matter of time when places like these "go-down". All these animal rights groups ask is for these companies to stop these absurd tests and adopt "animal-free" testing.

Why not start with you...make the right decision and stop conducting these tests on these innocent animals who have no other choice than to be your test subject.

Look forward to hearing from you.

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:02 PM

From: poldark77 <poldark77@comcast.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten:

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I implore you to pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Deborah Gandolfo
Kirkland, WA

Scott Masten

Subject: Primate Testing - Please Halt this Barbaric Process

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:02 PM

From: Xgerix@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Sir:

Pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely,

**Geri Beal
278 Norway Avenue
Staten Island, NY 10305-3535**

Scott Masten

Subject: (no subject)

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:02 PM

From: Judyjudyjudy18@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

Please stop testing on animals. They have feelings and are not test tubes.

Sincerely,

J. Cooke

Scott Masten

Subject: PLEASE Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:01 PM

From: XOXOXOprn@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

**Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I demand that the NTP pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.
Please consider the questionable benefits of such testing.**

**Thanks-
Patricia Marriott
Spokane, WA**

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP Interagency Committee

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:01 PM

From: Laur Pesola <stayglambecool1@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am writing to politely remind you (The NTP) that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Please be a compassionate human being and realize that these tests are unnecessary & cause a lot of pain. There are alternatives to animal testing and I ask you use those instead of wasting precious lives.

Thank you for your consideration.

**Sincerely,
Laurie Pesola
5071 Longview Drive
New Brighton, MN 55112**

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail is new and improved - Check it out! <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/new/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail>

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:00 PM

From: Alice Briggs <alice_briggs_illustration@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Olden,

I am opposed to unnecessary testing of chemical compounds on animals. I urge you to reconsider the proposed tests by the National Toxicology Program, since the results in many cases are already known or can be arrived at without sacrificing animals.

Yours truly,
Alice Briggs

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
<http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:00 PM

From: jodi weisberg <mereport@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop the testing immediately! These tests are unnecessary and result in needless pain and suffering for the animals. Thank you, jodi weisberg

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:57 PM

From: Nicole Zent Brunet <Nicole@sutherlandgold.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

PLEASE Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

* The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

* The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

* A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers- so please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you- Nicole, CA

Subject: Poisoning

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:00 PM

From: S.V. Greene <svgreene2002@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Do you have a family? Do you have children? Do you have any family pets?

Then how and why could you poison animals?

Especially infant primates?

How do you sleep at night after torturing animals all day?

It's not in the name of science either!

I'm going to boycott the purchase of your products.

How much funding did you get?

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/10/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/efficiency.html> - Send 10MB messages!

Scott Masten

Subject: animal tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:59 PM

From: sarah shorkey <sarahshorkey@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I demand that you stop the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. We as human beings have no right to inflict pain and suffering on another living creature. This behaviour is disgusting and must stop NOW.

Sarah Shorkey

Ottawa, Canada

Take charge with a pop-up guard built on patented Microsoft(r) SmartScreen Technology

http://join.msn.com/?pgmarket=en-ca&page=byoa/prem&xAPID=1994&DI=1034&SU=http://hotmail.com/enca&HL=Market_MSNIS_Taglines

Start enjoying all the benefits of MSN(r) Premium right now and get the first two months FREE*.

Scott Masten

Subject: animal tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:58 PM

From: valentina bettencourt <valentinabettencourt@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I would politely like to remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

valentina bettencourt

Don,t just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search!

<http://search.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200636ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal experimentation

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:59 PM

From: Dem4ever612@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am respectfully asking you to please stop animal testing. It is cruel and unnecessary in this day and age. You have to stop thinking of animals as "things". They have feelings just as we do. They feel fear, anxiety and most of all pain. I don't think God put animals on this earth to be tortured by being experimented on. Please seriously consider my request . What if higher animals did to you what you are doing to these poor helpless creatures?

Thank you for your kind attention.

Audrey

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:58 PM

From: Sarah Emond <semond@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am asking that you NOT use the recommendations made by the NTP in June. Animal testing is outdated and inaccurate.

Sincerely,

Sarah Emond

Don't just search. Find. Check out the new MSN Search! <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENUS/2740??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:58 PM

From: allan robertson <nostrebor60@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please pull the plugs on these inhumane tests.

Allan Roberston, South Africa

nostrebor

Fed up of receiving junk e-mail? Find out how to deal with spam here. <<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENUK/2746??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal experimentation

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:57 PM

From: Dem4ever612@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I am respectfully asking you to please stop animal testing. It is cruel and unnecessary in this day and age. You have to stop thinking of animals as "things". They have feelings just as we do. They feel fear, anxiety and most of all pain. I don't think God put animals on this earth to be tortured by being experimented on. Please seriously consider my request . What if higher animals did to you what you are doing to these poor helpless creatures? Think about it hard.
Thank you for your kind attention.
Audrey

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop toxicology tests on baby primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:56 PM

From: Wendy Lochner <wiccacat@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

What a colossal waste of money that could be better spent elsewhere, and what a sobering choice of cruelty this represents. Please rethink these ineffective, expensive, inhumane tests.

Wendy Lochner wiccacat@yahoo.com

"The animals of the world exist for their own reasons. They were not made for humans any more than black people were made for whites or women for men."--
Alice Walker

"Animals have a life of their own that is of importance to them apart from their utility to us. They are not only in the world, they are aware of it. What happens to them matters to them. Each has a life that fares better or worse for the one whose life it is."--

Tom Regan

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:03 PM

From: ashley schintz <ashaboo208@earthlink.net>

Reply-To: ashaboo208@earthlink.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I would just like to say that animals are not test tubes with whiskers!!! And I demand you to pull the plug on your animal experiments are you are going to get a big problem cuz you could bet your butt that we are going to put up a fight and protest so I advise that you stop this or you are going to have a MUCH BIGGER problem on your hands!!!! Just remember ANIMALS ARE NOT TEST TUBES WITH WHISKERS!!!!!! AND YOU ARE CRUEL AND NEED TO STOP THIS!!!

Ashley Schintz PETA Member
ashaboo208@earthlink.net

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:55 PM

From: Jon Worth <jonworth@yahoo.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs:

I recently was made aware of some of the animal testing practices used in your research, and I would respectfully ask you to please consider the ethical ramifications. Does the end always justify the means? I understand the importance of advancing medical science for the benefit of all people, but when this results from torturing animals I believe a line has to be drawn. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,
Jon Worth

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/10/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/efficiency.html> - Send 10MB messages!

Scott Masten

Subject: stop

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:52 PM

From: Karen Bergeron <kbergeron@zip411.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Sir,

Do you have any idea what it is like to suffer, to be in pain and not understand why you hurt so much, to want it to stop and to have someone hold you and tell you everything will be alright to just feel that someone love you and want your suffering to stop. if you don't you could just stop the pain you are inflicting on these beautiful creatures and just watch how they forgive you. For the animals please stop this insanity.

Karen Bergeron

Montreal Canada

Scott Masten

Subject: STOP

Date: Saturday, October 2, 2004 1:54 PM

From: Andrew Tweedy <atweedy@tbase.com>

Reply-To: "Andrew Tweedy" <atweedy@tbase.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

[TESTING ON INNOCENT CREATURES.](#)

Scott Masten

Subject: aniamls

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:54 PM

From: nksantiques <nksantiques@bellsouth.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. With the internet this type of testing will soon be a thing of the past.

Kaye Slimick

Scott Masten

Subject: Dear Dr. Masten,

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:55 PM

From: Dagmar Perez <GCEDRP@langate.gsu.edu>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I'm am writing on behalf of PETA and animals that suffer needlessly under laboratory studies. Animals are NOT test tubes with whiskers, and I ask that you stop the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Dagmar Perez

Dagmar Perez
Office Manager
Georgia Council on Economic Education
Georgia State University
P.O. Box 1619
Atlanta, GA 30301
404-651-3281

Scott Masten

Subject: animal tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:55 PM

From: Vegetarianhair33@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals should not be used for testing.They have feelings just like us and we wouldnt do these painful tests on other people.Please have a heart and do not allow the animal tests recommended by the NTP Interagency Committee for chemical and evaluation and coordination.

Thank you for your time,
Jen

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop the Poisoning Tests of New Born Primates!!!!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:54 PM

From: Lee Lee Lovely <little1_lee@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

**Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental and Health Sciences**

**Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental and Health Sciences**

Stop the poisoning tests of newborn primates!!!!

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Stop spending millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. These tests are cruel and inhuman.

Lee-Erin Mckenney & Carey L. Loughheed
British Columbia, Canada

Scott Masten

Subject: newborn primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:53 PM

From: Tami Kirkham <mistymorn@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop the poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates that you are doing.

There are better ways. This is inhumanity at a government level. You can make the difference if you search your heart.

Sincerely,

Tami Kirkham

Scott Masten

Subject: Federal Funding for Barbaric Mistreatment of Animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:51 PM

From: Steve Hack <sl_hack@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <robin.poz@verizon.net>, <lcv@lcv.org>

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Drs. Masten and Olden,

It is my understanding that each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grape seed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

As intended, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

¥ The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

¥ The Environmental Protection Agency nominated 10 perfluorinated compounds for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of 10 presumed widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

¥ A 10 private individual recommended that the dietary supplement 10 bitter

orange extractÓ undergo ÓsubchronicÓ toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Your toxicological studies are cruel and barbaric and federal funding needs to be pulled. Stop this mistreatment of our genetic cousins.

Theresa R. Hack
36 Titan Drive
San Pedro, CA 90732

email: sl_hack@hotmail.com

Express yourself instantly with MSN Messenger! Download today - it's FREE!
<http://messenger.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200471ave/direct/01/>

Scott Masten

Subject: Oppose Poison Animal Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:53 PM

From: Klucsor, Carmen <CKlucsor@cson.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

Dear Dr. Scott Masten,

I strongly urge you to stop the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

The National Toxicology Program (NTP) erroneously spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity.

The NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

- A “private individual” recommended that the dietary supplement “bitter orange extract” undergo “subchronic” toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Again, I strongly urge you to stop the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely,

Carmen A. Klucsor

663 East McKinley AVenue

Sunnyvale, CA 94086-6451

Scott Masten

Subject: Re: Tests in June w/animals

Date: Thursday, September 30, 2004 3:53 PM

From: rosemary crockett <romar11155@bluemarble.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop the tests recommended in 6/04 by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Eval. & Coordination. Please do not abuse and mistreat the creatures that God created for our "pleasure" here on earth. With all our modern means, abuse to our animals is NOT necessary.

Thank you.

Scott Masten

Subject: Toxicity tests on primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:52 PM

From: Zoe Satorie <zoesatorie@msn.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Mr. Olden and Mr. Masten,

I am writing to ask you to please stop the cruel, barbaric, and unnecessary tests conducted on primates. I appeal to your compassionate sides. As you well know, there are alternatives available. Having this knowledge, how can you in good conscience justify to yourselves that doing this is okay? Animals are not dispensable and should not be treated as such. Someday your children or grandchildren will find out what you are up to and hate you for your inhumane way of life. You are no better than the average animal abuser. You can no longer use the excuse that these tests are required and that there are no other options. How do you sleep at night?

Please do the right thing and use the alternatives to animal testing which are available to all researchers. It is inevitable that animal testing will end someday soon - why not make it start with you and be heroes rather than villains.

Thank you.

Zoe Satorie

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:52 PM

From: Dustin Sparks <dustin@dustin.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Hi Dr. Masten,

I and my colleagues implore you to stop Poisoning Tests on newborn primates, newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

Best regards,

Dustin Sparks

Mobile- 512.296.4024

Fax- 1(801)880-1766

Email- dustin@dustin.net

Web- <http://dustin.net>

Scott Masten

Subject: Oppose Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:51 PM

From: Klucsor, Carmen <CKlucsor@cson.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov <mailto:olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Kenneth Olden,

I strongly urge you to stop the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

The National Toxicology Program (NTP) erroneously spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity.

The NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of

human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Again, I strongly urge you to stop the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely,

Carmen A. Klucsor

663 East McKinley Avenue

Sunnyvale, CA 94086-6451

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:50 PM

From: Janet Hamilton <hamiltonjanet@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To Whom It May Concern,

Please consider using alternative methods of testing that do not include animals especially primates who are so intelligent and have the same feelings and emotions as we do...I encourage you to directly visit those animals that you are committing to a fate that is painful and horrible so that you can witness the amount of pain and suffering caused. This hopefully will change your mind and heart...

Janet Hamilton
P.O. Box 5042
Santa Rosa, CA 95402

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:50 PM

From: herod@crosstel.net

Reply-To: <herod@crosstel.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Mr. Masten : Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

I sometimes think that these tests on animals are done just to try to justify your programs reason to exist. What a terrible thing you do when you ask the public what you need to test.

Furthermore, I don't think it necessary to continue testing items you know are deadly...eg. antifreeze...most people know what a terrible death it causes when animals ingest it...why would anyone deliberately feed that to any living creature?

I think you probably represent a great many depts. in our government, if you do, it should be changed..so maybe a more environmentally friendly administration will be in there this next year.

Thank you for your time,

Rosa B. Herod

Scott Masten

Subject: Toxic testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:51 PM

From: Jubilee Messenger <jubileecdc1@earthlink.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten: Is you salary from the KILLER US Govt worth the pain & suffering you cause?

Mature, this is the 21st century.

Shalom,

Community is: "Unity-in-Diversity"

***Jack Kelly jubileecdc@earthlink.net
Jubilee Communities (Non-Profit Corp.)
Serving since 1987
Affordable Housing & Development
Offices: Portland, Or.& Tucson,Az.***

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop Using Animals for Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:50 PM

From: Elizabeth Ferrari <ldgraphics@comcast.net>

Reply-To: <ldgraphics@comcast.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Mr. Masten:

What is it that we humans feel that we can use living, breathing creatures to do our test on? I am confused and I would like for someone to tell me why you and the others have the rights to use living, breathing creatures, that have been given rights and freedoms the same as we have been given.

You and the others are taking the very freedom that has been given to these creatures and you seem to think that you have the rights to do whatever you feel you want on these creatures. Where does this come from? We use human to describe ourselves, and animals to use to describe these creatures, when in fact we are the animals. We are the ones who kill, destroy, take and take and never give back. We kill for no reason, and there are times when I feel that we humans (I use that word lightly) like the idea of hurting others.

You will tell me we need to use these creatures to get the results that are needed, when in fact it has been shown that you do not, let me say this again, do not need any living, breathing creatures to get these results. It is through our stupidity that we continue to use these creatures. So I take this to mean you and others like the idea of bringing pain to these beautiful creatures. They ask nothing, they want nothing except to be given food, water and the freedom to live on this earth without being killed or used for these horrible tests. Ask yourself what humans want! We want to be the only living creatures on this earth, because in our sick minds we feel we are special. Humans are the animals here. It is sad that we continue to be just that, animals without feelings for others. We are selfish and think only of ourselves and just let something get into our way, look out because we will remove it. This is our nature.

We are not GOD, we have not been given rights to do what you and these others are doing and it is time for you and the others to stop taking these liberties. Stop using these creatures. DO THE RIGHT THING. I almost have to laugh at that, you don't know what the right thing is or you would have stopped these inhumane acts many years ago.

Elizabeth Ferrari

Libby & Dennis Graphic Design

www.libby-dennisgraphicdesign/us <<http://www.libby-dennisgraphicdesign/us>>

904.379.5384 Phone/Fax

"There will be no justice as long as

man will stand with a knife or gun and

destroy those who are weaker than

he is." Isaac Singer

www.PETA.com <<http://www.PETA.com>>

Scott Masten

Subject: Man's inhumanity to man is only surpassed by his cruelty to animals.

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:52 PM

From: Jackie G <sschalet@isn.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:49 PM

From: Cynthia Kravitz <ckravitz@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs:

I am writing to demand the plug be pulled on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. The tests are inhumane and cruel.

Cynthia Kravitz

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP recommended animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:49 PM

From: Donna Caplan <DCAPLAN@co.riverside.ca.us>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten:

The purpose of this letter is to urge you to cancel plans to carry out the animal testing recommended by the FDA, the EPA, and an unidentified private individual this past June. The proposed testing is cruel and unnecessary and there is sufficient scientific data available on the chemicals.

Thank you in advance for your consideration of this issue.

Donna Caplan
26153 McLaughlin Rd.
Sun City CA 92585

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:48 PM

From: RosewoodMk@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I demand that the NTP pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

How many more animals need to die before you people get the fact that many non-animal alternatives to testing exist that are far more reliable than tests on animals who do not share our same genetic material? Even worse, how many more need to be sacrificed for unnecessary duplications of tests that you know the results of?

Sincerely,
Susan Feldman
40 Ludvigh Rd
Bardonia NY 10954

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:49 PM

From: Theronris@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Greeting; I urge you to consider cessation of chemical testing as it will be scheduled in June. The tests are often stupid and repetitive and use tax dollars. And they are lethal to animals;small sentient beings. Theron Caldwell Ris, 5542 Riverview Dr. Waunakee, Wi, 53597

Scott Masten

Subject: Fw: NTP Newborn Primate Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:51 PM

From: SMeyer@WINDES.COM

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

PLEASE pull the plug on on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP. These poor creatures are not test tubes. They feel fear and pain. It is barbaric and so cruel to test chemicals on them. Each is an individual creature with real feelings. How can your heart not break for their suffering? Please do what you can to stop this.

Subject: test tubes

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:58 PM

From: Roxanna Evans <roxannaevans@earthlink.net>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Priority: Highest

Please do not test on young primates. This is very cruel. Do not let the NTP Interagency committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination do this. Animals are our friends. They hurt just like we do. Please stop this.

roxanna Evans

Subject: animal tests recommended

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:47 PM

From: Dortch Anette T <Anette.T.Dortch@irs.gov>

To: "'masten@niehs.nih.gov'" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

**See the little fawn, move too fast and she'll be gone.
She knows to run away, when the hunter comes to spoil her play.**

**Have Mercy on the Animals, they have feelings too.
Have Mercy on the Animals, they feel just like we do.**

**Mother Cow's out in the field, so much milk she can yield.
Father bull he tills the land, providing grain for beast and man.**

**Have Mercy on the Animals, they have feelings too.
Have Mercy on the Animals, they feel just like we do.**

**See the birds up in the tree, they also have their families.
Build their nests way up high - just like you they don't want to die.**

**Have Mercy on the Animals, they have feelings too.
Have Mercy on the Animals, they feel just like we do.**

Have Mercy, and mercy will be shown to you.

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers!!!!

Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

·
Anie Dortch

Scott Masten

Subject: Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:46 PM

From: Sarah Stephenson <sarahjean3900@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please don't test on animals.

Sincerely,
Sarah Stephenson

Check out Election 2004 for up-to-date election news, plus voter tools and more! <http://special.msn.com/msn/election2004.armx>

Scott Masten

Subject: pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:46 PM

From: Kat White <katwhite01@hotmail.com>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I believe you have enough data that can already be used to determine toxic substances. It's pretty basic. If you think it's bad for humans, it is! How much desensitizing does a human go through before they can turn around and harm another living being?

I'm tired of my tax dollars paying the salary of cruel individuals who are not intelligent enough to come up with alternatives to animal testing.

Please be one of the wise ones and seek alternatives. The animals need champions not death dealers.

Thank You
Kat White

Scott Masten

Subject: Please halt animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:43 PM

From: Timothy R Jones <jonest23@juno.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Drs. Olden and Masten:

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

Please halt animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Timothy R. Jones
2601 Woodley Place, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20008
202-332-1008

Scott Masten

Subject: Re: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:47 PM

From: Keith_Mcneil@amat.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Drs,

Please stop these tests. Regardless of the perceived human benefit; I strongly oppose these animal tests and all others. Please search out alternatives.

Thank You
KM

The content of this message is Applied Materials Confidential. If you are not the intended recipient and have received this message in error, any use or distribution is prohibited. Please notify me immediately by reply e-mail and delete this message from your computer system. Thank you.

To: Keith Mcneil/APPLIED MATERIALS@AMAT

cc:

"PETA" <newsmanager@peta.org>

Subject: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

10/01/2004 12:20 PM

Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates
Your letter is needed by October 19, 2004!

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts

that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

Your voice can make a difference for animals! Please take a few moments today to politely remind the NTP that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-2260 (fax)
olden@niehs.nih.gov

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection

National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
919-541-3647 (fax)
masten@niehs.nih.gov

Thank you for helping animals,

Troy Seidle
Biological Science Advisor
People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals
StopAnimalTests.com

[Go here](#) to leave this mailing list or modify your email profile.

This email was sent by: PETA
501 Front St Norfolk, VA, 23510, United States

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:45 PM

From: Mark Feldman <happeemark@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

Dear Dr.Masten,

I am writing you to remind you that animals are NOT test tubes with whiskers, and demand that National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences NOW pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Show compassion and caring for these wonderful creations of nature! Would you send your family pet to the labs to be tortured and experimented on?

Sincerely,

Mark Feldman Santa Rosa, CA

<<http://us.f412.mail.yahoo.com/ym/Compose?To=olden@niehs.nih.gov>>

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:44 PM

From: Tammy Oswald <oswald@urh.buffalo.edu>

To: "'masten@niehs.nih.gov'" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

Please stop the abusive treatment of animals by conducting crude and hurtful testings. I don't think that God created animals with the intension of them becoming ginnie pigs on known toxins and other drugs.

Please save our animals, not kill them or have them suffer.

Tammy A. Oswald
University at Buffalo
Residence Halls & Apartments
379 Red Jacket
Buffalo, NY 14261
(716)645-2173 x229
(716)645-5596 - fax

Scott Masten

Subject: Poison Tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:48 PM

From: Joe Melton <Jmelton@bsoltd.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Gentlemen,

I am writing to ask that you stop testing poisons on newborn primates and other animals. I know of at least 3 good reasons to stop the tests:

- 1) It is inhumane to the animals
- 2) It is a gross waste of taxpayer's money. I am outraged that in these times of record deficits, our government is funding these tests with millions of our hard earned tax dollars!
- 3) Since many of the poisons tested are already known to be deadly, the tests are often repetitive and unnecessary.

Thank you for your consideration.

Joseph Melton
241 Bridgeford Blvd.
Ridgeland, MS 39157

Eliminate annoying spam!

My mailbox is protected by iHateSpam, the #1-rated spam buster.
<http://www.ihatespam.net> <<http://www.ihatespam.net/>>

Subject: Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:44 PM

From: Adrienne Kligman <akligman@lausd.k12.ca.us>

To: "Dr. Kenneth Olden" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: "Dr. Scott Masten" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Thank you for helping animals,

Adrienne Kligman
1560 El Verano Dr.
Thousand Oaks, CA
91362-2129

Scott Masten

Subject: NTP

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:44 PM

From: richie <richiebro1@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten:

I have been informed that each year the National Toxicology Program spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. I was also told that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals to test on animals. The NTP,s latest testing recommendations will mean suffering and death to many thousands of animals.

I simply do not know how you can live with yourself or face your famifly -- especially your children or grandchildren -- if you have them. These sick and outdated testing practices must stop.

Richard Brokaw
New York, NY

Do you Yahoo!?
Declare Yourself - Register online to vote today!
<http://vote.yahoo.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: experiments

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:39 PM

From: keebies b <superkeebies@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

It is very sad to me that we still are testing on animals, but it is far worse to know that the products that we are testing on these animals have already been tested and we know what they do or do not do. Please, keep in mind that animals feel just as much pain as all humans. Please, reconsider the experiments that you intend on doing and realize the pain and suffering that must be endured.

Erin Bechtol

Check out Election 2004 for up-to-date election news, plus voter tools and more! <
<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENUS/2746??PS=47575>>

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:42 PM

From: Miranda, Leslie <Leslie_Miranda@icgcomm.com>

To: "'olden@niehs.nih.gov'" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, "'masten@niehs.nih.gov'" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Olden and Dr. Masten,

I am writing you today to plea with you to stop animal testing. Animals are not test tubes with whiskers. I am begging you to pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Thank you in advance,

Leslie Miranda

Scott Masten

Subject: Please Stop Testing on Animals!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:40 PM

From: Shanarah25@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I write you to plead you to stop your inhumane testing on animals. Animals have feelings too. Please stop your inhumane torture.
Christine Turley~

Scott Masten

Subject: please stop cruel and unnecessary animal tests

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:40 PM

From: lee ann <lstiff@prohealth.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Dr. Masten,

I am writing to urge you to stop the poisoning tests on newborn primates. I received the following information from PETA today:

Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

- The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.
- The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.
- A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

I believe that animals are not "test tubes with whiskers," and I urge you to pull the plug on the animal tests as described above recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely,

Lee Ann Stiff

Lee Ann Stiff, Editor

ProHealth, Inc.

2040 Alameda Padre Serra, Suite 101

Santa Barbara, CA 93103

tel. (805) 564-3064, ext. 221

fax (805) 963-4515

www.ImmuneSupport.com <<http://www.ImmuneSupport.com>>

www.ProHealth.com <<http://www.ProHealth.com>>

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal toxicology testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:41 PM

From: Kallus, Paul <pkallus@state.pa.us>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please do not use animals in toxicology tests, there has got to be an alternative. Thank you.

Paul Kallus

PA DEP

NPDES Permits Section

Water Management

484-250-5196

Scott Masten

Subject: Recommendations for chemical eval & coordination by NTP Interagency Committee

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:40 PM

From: banjo212@comcast.net

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dear Doctors:

If an email I have recently received is correct, I understand taht the National Toxicology Program (NTP) spends millions of dollars on crude tests of chemical toxicity that cause suffering to animals. suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

Please remember that animals are living beings. In studies for both of my careers, I remember the horror I felt when I realized that the development of anesthesia happened while real humans suffered but were unable to express real pain. I remember reading literature that saif infants don't feel pain. Many still believe that about animals, but surely not educated medical personnel. Let's remember that pain is pain regardles of who suffers it. Please find a better way. Halt the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

Sincerely, Karen Kaminsky, MA Counseling Psych, Licensed Practical Nurse
Tucson, AZ, banjo212@comcast.net

Scott Masten

Subject: Fwd: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:39 PM

From: Kayce M. Clark <talk2kayce@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>, <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Is this true? This sounds absolutely disgusting and completely unnecessary. Please provide clarification and more information.

Thank you,

Kayce Clark

--- PETA <newsmanager@peta.org> wrote:

> From: "PETA" <newsmanager@peta.org>
> To: <talk2kayce@yahoo.com>
> Subject: Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning
> Tests on Newborn Primates
> Date: Fri, 01 Oct 2004 12:20:48 -0500
>
> Ask the NTP to Pull the Plug on Poisoning Tests on
> Newborn Primates
> Your letter is needed by October 19, 2004!
> Each year, the National Toxicology Program (NTP)
> spends millions of tax dollars to poison animals in
> crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity.
> What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages
> people to nominate chemicals that they would like to
> see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable
> laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing
> recommendations, including proposals to conduct
> extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have
> been used without harm for generations as dietary
> supplements (e.g., grapeseed and pine bark
> extracts), as well as proposals to retest such
> well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and
> antifreeze.
>
> True to form, the NTP's latest testing
> recommendations spell suffering and death to many
> thousands of animals:
>
> - The Food and Drug Administration nominated
> Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in

> plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive,
> immune, and other effects that would involve
> injection of the substance into newborn male rats
> and nonhuman primates.
>
> - The Environmental Protection Agency nominated
> "perfluorinated compounds" for extensive study,
> including cancer and reproduction testing, on the
> basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure,
> despite the fact that large amounts of human and
> animal toxicity data are already available for these
> chemicals.
>
> - A "private individual" recommended that the
> dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo
> "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means
> force-feeding the substance to animals every day for
> three to six months), as well as testing for
> metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth
> defects in animals.
>
> Your voice can make a difference for animals! Please
> take a few moments today to politely remind the NTP
> that animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and
> demand that it pull the plug on the animal tests
> recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee
> for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:
>
> Dr. Kenneth Olden, Director
> National Toxicology Program
> National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
> P.O. Box 12233, MD B2-01
> Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
> 919-541-2260 (fax)
> olden@niehs.nih.gov
>
> Dr. Scott Masten
> Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
> National Toxicology Program
> National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
> P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
> Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
> 919-541-3647 (fax)
> masten@niehs.nih.gov
>
>
> Thank you for helping animals,

>
> Troy Seidle
> Biological Science Advisor
> People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals
> [<http://www.stopanimaltests.com>]
>
>
> Go here to leave this mailing list or modify your
> email profile:
>
> <http://cl.extm.us/accountinfo.asp?fe2f17777d67007c771474>
>
>
> This e-mail was sent by:PETA
> 501 Front St Norfolk, VA, 23510, United States
>
>

=====

Austin Wildlife Rescue Inc.
<http://www.austinwildliferescue.org/>

Scott Masten

Subject: please stop testing on animals

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:38 PM

From: Kapur, Divya, ALABS <divya@att.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

We cannot continue testing on animals especially primates. It is no different from testing on humans.

thanks,

Divya Kapur
Middletown, NJ

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop Testing!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:40 PM

From: Miyatake, Mari <mmyatake@allenmatkins.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

This letter is my plea to cease animal testings of Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate, perfluorinated compounds, subchronic and/or any other proposed chemicals as contemplated and/or approved by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. This is cruel and inhumane, and with all the animal rights laws currently in effect in each state against abuse and torture of animals by individuals, I cannot believe that this is ethical or humane treatment of a fellow inhabitant of this earth and if such testings are done, those individuals conducting such tests should be prosecuted for animal abuse!

Scott Masten

Subject: Pull the plug....this is outrageous!!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:38 PM

From: brandi <phoebony@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. This is an atrocity. Animals are not test tubes. They are meant to be companions or free in the wild. This is nothing but pointless, needless suffering. It is completely wasting large amounts of money that could go to a way better causes.....there are plenty out there. Please if you have a single ounce of compassion, heart or soul, pull the plug.

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail - 50x more storage than other providers!

http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal abuse

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:38 PM

From: Libra1962@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, and I request that it pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination:

Dawn Cassin

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:38 PM

From: Corrie Lenon <corriejlenon@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop animal testing. Animals are not test tubes with whiskers! I demand that you pull the plug on the animal tests. This is so cruel and inhumane. How would you like it if you were treating like that?

Do you Yahoo!?

New and Improved Yahoo! Mail <http://us.rd.yahoo.com/mail_us/taglines/10/*http://promotions.yahoo.com/new_mail/static/efficiency.html> - Send 10MB messages!

Scott Masten

Subject: PLEASE STOP TESTING ON ANIMALS-USE YOUR CHILDREN

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:36 PM

From: Andrew Fiscus <afiscus@poggenpohllusa.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Scott Masten

Subject: Poisoning Tests on Newborn Primates

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:37 PM

From: Jodi Krohn-Reichert <xfordgirlx@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

To Whom it May Concern:

It has come to my attention that there are several unnecessary tests being performed by your organization to innocent animals. I am writing today to ask you to please consider an alternative. Thank you for your time!

Jodi

=====

Do you Yahoo!?

Take Yahoo! Mail with you! Get it on your mobile phone.

<http://mobile.yahoo.com/maildemo>

Scott Masten

Subject: Stop this testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:38 PM

From: Mark McKee <voxmckee@telus.net>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop this testing! Animals are not test tubes with whiskers, pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

M McKee

The information in this email, including attachments, is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or any other action taken or omitted to be taken in reliance on it, is prohibited and may be unlawful.

Ce courriel est confidentiel et protégé. L'expéditeur ne renonce pas aux droits et obligations qui s'y rapportent. Toute diffusion, utilisation ou copie de ce message ou des renseignements qu'il contient par une personne autre que le (les) destinataire(s) désigné(s) est interdite. Si vous recevez ce courriel par erreur, veuillez m'en aviser immédiatement, par retour de courriel ou par un autre moyen.

Scott Masten

Subject: animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:37 PM

From: sandra moon <sandramoon16@hotmail.com>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Masten,

Animals are not test tubes. Please cancel the tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. Thank you for your time and consideration in this matter,

Sandra Moon
2657 Lakeshore Blvd. #11
Lakeport, CA. 95453
707-263-1655
sandramoon16@hotmail.com

Scott Masten

Subject: toxicology testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:36 PM

From: Victoria Briggs <vbriggs@MIT.EDU>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sir

I am writing to in the hope that you will think long and hard before giving you stamp of approval on yet more toxicology testing using animals. The practice is not only cruel and out dated but does not even lead to meaningful results in terms of how the tested chemical will effect humans. I am a scientist myself and it makes no sense to me to use animals in this way. You are performing experiments on one organism and trying to apply it to another - this is like loosing your keys in office but looking for them at home. The monies allocated to these tests would be far better spent elsewhere in science, on questions that can be answered. Animals are not just another resource in our quest to dominate the planet. I am sure that most people would agree that is is better to avoid using the chemicals than to use irrelevant scientific tests to form some so called safety level.

This outdated and cruel form of science has to stop.

sincerely

Victoria Briggs

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:35 PM

From: Cleve Kingston <clevek@adelphia.net>

To: "Olden, Ken (NIH/NIEHS)" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Sirs...

Animals are not test tubes with whiskers!

Please stop the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

How cruel it is.

Thank you!

Cleve Kingston

818 505 9644

818 512 2790

Then God said, "I give your every seed-bearing plant on the face of the whole earth and every tree that has fruit with seed in it."

Genesis 1:29

Scott Masten

Subject: cruelty

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:40 PM

From: Colleen Cronin <colleenc@drcnh.org>

To: "'olden@niehs.nih.gov'" <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: "'masten@niehs.nih.gov'" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

I encourage your organization to re-think its horrendous policies on animal testing. Any individual with common sense understands that primates are complex animals with emotion. Moreover, they experience physical pain. Your organization ignores both those facts.

With the advent of television stations on animals (i.e. Animal Planet), more and more average Americans are becoming sensitized to the reality that animals are certain animals are similar to humans in many ways. Your organization would be well-advised to consider that fact.

Asking private individuals to "nominate" chemicals for testing goes outside the ethical boundaries of animal testing. Whether we agree or disagree on the issue of testing in general, it is certainly sickening to learn that my tax dollars are spent on such frivolous experiments.

Colleen Micavich

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:35 PM

From: Zachary Rapport <professorrapport@yahoo.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Scott Masten,

I just received an email from PETA. I am writing to remind you that animals are not test tubes with whiskers. Pull the plug on animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination.

**Concerned Citizen,
Zachary Rapport**

Do you Yahoo!?

vote.yahoo.com <<http://vote.yahoo.com>> - Register online to vote today!

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:34 PM

From: Monika Malone <MonikaM@Buy.com>

To: "'masten@niehs.nih.gov'" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop inquiring minds from imposing tests upon animals that are NOT scientifically NECESSARY for the well-being of humans.

Monika Malone

Human Resources Manager

BuyServices & Buy.com

' : 949.389.2380 | 6 : 949.389.2817

Do not go where the path may lead, go instead where there is no path and leave a trail...
-- Ralph Waldo Emerson

Attention: This message is intended only for the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential or privileged. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or person responsible for delivering it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify the sender and destroy or delete this communication immediately."

Scott Masten

Subject: Animal Testing

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:34 PM

From: Gloria J. Dunnavant <GDunnavant@deltaairport.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Please stop the unnecessary cruel testing on live animals. This is wrong!

Gloria J. Dunnavant

Delta Airport Consultants, Inc.

9711 Farrar Court, Suite 100

Richmond, VA 23236

804 275-8301

804 275-8371 (FAX)

Email: gdunnavant@deltaairport.com <mailto:gdunnavant@deltaairport.com>

Scott Masten

Subject: don't poison primates anymore

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:31 PM

From: Cvine5@aol.com

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:27 PM

From: Lori Toplosky <Lori@dominionsample.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Shame on you for animal testing – it's passé.

Humans and animals do not have the same immune system. Therefore these tests are done in vain.

Please stop!!!

Scott Masten

Subject: Please stop testing on animals.

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 12:42 PM

From: Tasha Savage <faerie303@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>

Cc: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Animals should not be forced to withstand cruel abuse no matter what the cause. Please stop spending tax dollars to poison animals and asking other people to recomend different poisons to test on them.

Animals should not be sustained to pointless tests just to discover something that we already knew.

Thank you for your time, Tasha Savage

Enjoy 25MB of inbox storage and 10MB per file attachment with MSN Premium. <
<http://g.msn.com/8HMBENCA/2746??PS=47575>> Join now and get the first two
months FREE*

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:57 AM

From: diana saxena <dianasaxena@hotmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Honorable Dr. Scott Masten ,

This is to request you to kindly read this letter and take immediate, positive action.

THIS IS TO REMIND YOU THAT ANIMALS ARE NOT TEST TUBES WITH WHISKERS. WE DEMAND THAT YOU PULL THE PLUG ON THE ANIMAL TESTS RECOMMENDED IN JUNE BY THE NTP INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR CHEMICAL EVALUATION AND COORDINATION.

Each year, YOU spend millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What,s worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grape seed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP,s latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds% for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed% widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

A „private individual% recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract% undergo „subchronic% toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

ALL ANIMALS ARE ALSO CREATION OF THE SAME GOD WHO CREATED HUMANS SO KINDLY HELP THE INNOCENT, SPEECHLESS ANIMALS.

Thank you on behalf of animals.

With warm regards,
diana

Win a trip to Singapore! <http://eu.xmts.net/80450> Experience the magic!

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:57 AM

From: romali joshi <romalijoshi@yahoo.ca>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Honorable Dr. Scott Masten ,

This is to request you to kindly read this letter and take immediate, positive action.

THIS IS TO REMIND YOU THAT ANIMALS ARE NOT TEST TUBES WITH WHISKERS. WE DEMAND THAT YOU PULL THE PLUG ON THE ANIMAL TESTS RECOMMENDED IN JUNE BY THE NTP INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR CHEMICAL EVALUATION AND COORDINATION.

Each year, YOU spend millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grape seed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

The Environmental Protection Agency nominated "perfluorinated compounds" for

extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of "presumed" widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

A "private individual" recommended that the dietary supplement "bitter orange extract" undergo "subchronic" toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

ALL ANIMALS ARE ALSO CREATION OF THE SAME GOD WHO CREATED HUMANS SO
KINDLY HELP THE INNOCENT, SPEECHLESS ANIMALS.

Thank you on behalf of animals.

With warm regards,
romali

Post your free ad now! **Yahoo! Canada Personals** <<http://ca.personals.yahoo.com/>>

Scott Masten

Subject: <no subject>

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 11:57 AM

From: sonia sen <soniasen@rediffmail.com>

Reply-To: "sonia sen" <soniasen@rediffmail.com>

To: <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Honorable Dr. Scott Masten ,

This is to request you to kindly read this letter and take immediate, positive action.

THIS IS TO REMIND YOU THAT ANIMALS ARE NOT TEST TUBES WITH WHISKERS. WE DEMAND THAT YOU PULL THE PLUG ON THE ANIMAL TESTS RECOMMENDED IN JUNE BY THE NTP INTERAGENCY COMMITTEE FOR CHEMICAL EVALUATION AND COORDINATION.

Each year, YOU spend millions of tax dollars to poison animals in crude and outdated tests of chemical toxicity. What's worse is that the NTP actively encourages people to nominate chemicals that they would like to see tested on animals. This has led to a veritable laundry list of ill-conceived NTP testing recommendations, including proposals to conduct extensive animal testing of plant extracts that have been used without harm for generations as dietary supplements (e.g., grape seed and pine bark extracts), as well as proposals to retest such well-known hazardous substances as turpentine and antifreeze.

True to form, the NTP's latest testing recommendations spell suffering and death to many thousands of animals:

The Food and Drug Administration nominated Di-(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate (a chemical used in plastic IV bags) for studies of reproductive, immune, and other effects that would involve injection of the substance into newborn male rats and nonhuman primates.

The Environmental Protection Agency nominated „perfluorinated compounds“ for extensive study, including cancer and reproduction testing, on the basis of „presumed“ widespread human exposure, despite the fact that large amounts of human and animal toxicity data are already available for these chemicals.

A „private individual“ recommended that the dietary supplement „bitter orange extract“ undergo „subchronic“ toxicity studies (which means force-feeding the substance to animals every day for three to six months), as well as testing for metabolic and cardiovascular responses and birth defects in animals.

ALL ANIMALS ARE ALSO CREATION OF THE SAME GOD WHO CREATED HUMANS SO KINDLY HELP THE INNOCENT, SPEECHLESS ANIMALS.

Thank you on behalf of animals.

With warm regards,

sonia

Scott Masten

Subject: PLEASE PHASE OUT YOUR CRUEL/SADISTIC CHEMICAL TOX. TESTS!

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 2:13 AM

From: Bassam Imam <primateperson2004@yahoo.ca>

To: "Masten, Scott (NIH/NIEHS)" <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dr. Scott Masten
Office of Chemical Nomination and Selection
National Toxicology Program
National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
P.O. Box 12233, MD A3-07
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dear Dr. Masten:

This is a letter of protest and deep concern regarding National Toxicology Program's continued spending of millions of dollars on cruel and extremely intrusive chemical toxicology tests on innocent animals!

It is imperative that National Toxicology Program begin the gradual process of phasing out animal experiments to be replaced by alternative (non-animal) experiments.

Animals are of GOD's beautiful creations! They make this world a much more beautiful and habitable place. Furthermore, animals are sentient beings; they feel physical and mental pain.

Please be humane in your actions!

Very Sincerely,

Bassam Imam of Montreal, Canada
PrimatePerson2004@yahoo.ca

Post your free ad now! [Yahoo! Canada Personals](#)

Scott Masten

Subject: Chemical Evaluation and Coordination

Date: Friday, October 1, 2004 1:18 AM

From: christi stogner <chrislynnner@hotmail.com>

To: <olden@niehs.nih.gov>, <masten@niehs.nih.gov>

Dear Doctors,

I am writing to ask that you pull the plug on the animal tests recommended in June by the NTP Interagency Committee for Chemical Evaluation and Coordination. These tests are unnecessary and cruel.

Sincerely,
Christi Stogner

FREE pop-up blocking with the new MSN Toolbar - get it now!
<http://toolbar.msn.click-url.com/go/onm00200415ave/direct/01/>